

This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

---

## Carleson interpolating sequences for Banach spaces of analytic functions

Lindström, Mikael; Mastyló, Mieczysław; Mleczko, Paweł; Norrbo, David; Rzeczkowski, Michał

*Published in:*  
Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu

*DOI:*  
[10.1017/S1474748021000049](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748021000049)

Published: 01/01/2021

*Document Version*  
Accepted author manuscript

*Document License*  
CC BY-NC-ND

[Link to publication](#)

*Please cite the original version:*  
Lindström, M., Mastyló, M., Mleczko, P., Norrbo, D., & Rzeczkowski, M. (2021). Carleson interpolating sequences for Banach spaces of analytic functions. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1474748021000049>

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Carleson interpolating sequences for Banach spaces of analytic functions

M. Lindström, M. Mastyło, P. Mleczko, D. Norrbo, and M. Rzeczkowski

ABSTRACT. The paper presents an interpolation theory of operators based approach to the study of interpolating sequences for interpolation Banach spaces between Hardy spaces. It is shown that the famous Carleson result for  $H^\infty$  can be lifted to a large class of abstract Hardy spaces. A description of the range of the Carleson operator defined on interpolation spaces between the classical Hardy spaces in terms of uniformly separated sequences is provided. A key role in this description play some general interpolation results proved in the paper. As by-products novel results are obtained, which extend the Shapiro and Shields result on the characterization of interpolation sequences for the classical Hardy spaces  $H^p$ . Applications to Hardy–Lorentz, Hardy–Marcinkiewicz, and Hardy–Orlicz spaces are presented.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H^\infty$  denote the space of bounded analytic functions on the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  of the complex plane and assume that  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of distinct points in  $\mathbb{D}$ . A linear mapping  $T_\lambda$  given by

$$T_\lambda f = \{f(\lambda_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty, \quad f \in H^\infty,$$

is a contraction from  $H^\infty$  into  $\ell^\infty$ . If in addition  $T_\lambda$  is surjective, then the sequence  $\lambda$  is called a (*universal*) *interpolating sequence* (for  $H^\infty$ ). The phrase ‘interpolating’ is justified by the following observation. If  $T_\lambda$  is surjective, then by the open mapping theorem there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that for a given sequence  $w = \{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell^\infty$  there exists  $f \in H^\infty$  with  $f(\lambda_j) = w_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\|f\|_{H^\infty} \leq \gamma \|w\|_{\ell^\infty}$ .

The characterization of interpolating sequences for  $H^\infty$  was given by Carleson in 1958 in his seminal paper [9]. He proved that  $\lambda$  is an interpolating sequence for  $H^\infty$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is *uniformly separated*, that is,

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{1 - \overline{\lambda_k} \lambda_j} \right| > 0.$$

Formulation of an analogous problem for Hardy spaces  $H^p$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , requires weighting the situation. A sequence  $\lambda$  is called an *interpolating sequence for  $H^p$*  if an operator  $T_\lambda$  given by

$$T_\lambda f = \{(1 - |\lambda_j|^2)^{1/p} f(\lambda_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty, \quad f \in H^p,$$

is surjective from  $H^p$  onto  $\ell^p$ . It was proved by Shapiro and Shields in [26] that  $\lambda$  is interpolating sequence for  $H^p$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated. We refer the reader to Duren’s book [11] for more background information on the subject. Also the study of interpolating sequences

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30E05; Secondary 46B70, 30H10.

*Key words and phrases*. Carleson interpolating sequences; interpolation of operators; Hardy spaces.

for various spaces (e.g. Bergman spaces) has attracted much attention in recent years (see for example the monograph [25] by Seip and references therein). The classical theorems related to these topics for Hardy spaces  $H^p$  raise the question whether it is possible to extend these results for abstract Hardy spaces. We mention here the paper of Hartmann [15] (see also [14]), in which the author studied the associated notion of so called free-interpolating sequences for Hardy–Orlicz spaces.

Interpolating sequences play a remarkable role in function theory on the disc and related operators, for example, within the theory of model spaces, multipliers, Toeplitz and Hankel operators (see [10]). Moreover, through their connection with Carleson measures, interpolating sequences are of importance far beyond the theory of analytic functions, for example, in harmonic analysis (see [1, 12]) or linear systems (see [13]). We point out that uniformly separated sequences have found an important application in the study of the existence of invariant subspaces for polynomially bounded operators on a complex Banach space with spectra containing the unit circle (see [3]).

The aim of this paper is to analyse very general variants of the mentioned classical results and prove a kind of interpolation formula for interpolating sequences (notice here the double meaning of the phrase ‘interpolation’). We cast the problem of interpolating sequences into the framework of Banach spaces of analytic functions on the unit disc generated by Banach lattices and study surjectivity of a suitable operator  $T_\lambda$  for such abstract settings. To give a taste of ideas that support our research we sketch one of the main results of this paper (see Theorem 7 for precise formulation and compare with Theorem 11). Let  $F$  be an exact interpolation functor satisfying some Köthe duality condition and let  $H(X)$  be the Hardy space generated by some  $X$ , which is an interpolation space between  $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{T})$  and  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T})$  given by  $X = F(L^{p_0}(\mathbb{T}), L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T}))$  with  $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $p_0 < p_1$ . Then the sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}$  is uniformly separated if and only if

$$\left\{ \left\{ f(\lambda_j) \right\}_{j=1}^\infty; f \in H(X) \right\} = E,$$

where  $E$  is an interpolation Banach sequence space between  $\ell^{p_0}(\nu)$  and  $\ell^{p_1}(\nu)$  given by  $E = F(\ell^{p_0}(\nu), \ell^{p_1}(\nu))$  with measure  $\nu$  on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  defined by  $\nu(\{j\}) = (1 - |\lambda_j|^2)$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We point out that such approach allows us to give a new proof of the Shapiro and Shields result. Moreover, we provide thorough studies of functors that can be used as above. In particular, we prove that any  $K$ - or  $J$ -method of interpolation is a suitable functor that can be used in the above interpolation kind formula. The key ingredient of our considerations which allows us to employ advanced interpolation methods is that Hardy spaces behave well under interpolation, that is for any interpolation functor  $F$ ,  $HF(L^{p_0, p_1}) = F(H^{p_0}, H^{p_1})$ ,  $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$  (see [29]).

As an outcome we apply the obtained results for the particular functors and we receive direct generalizations of the interpolating problem for Hardy–Lorentz, Hardy–Marcinkiewicz and Hardy–Orlicz spaces, which play an important role in the theory of rearrangement invariant spaces. Note that we manage to derive the characterization of interpolating sequences for abstract Hardy spaces from the Carleson theorem solely. We present a novel approach with some modern refinements based on interpolation methods in the theory of operators and Banach spaces.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect the required notation and prove some auxiliary results. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological linear spaces, then  $X \hookrightarrow Y$  means that  $X \subset Y$  and the inclusion map is continuous. In the case when  $X$  and  $Y$  are normed spaces, we write  $X = Y$  whenever  $X \hookrightarrow Y$  and  $Y \hookrightarrow X$ , that is,  $X = Y$  up to equivalence of norms. We also write  $X \cong Y$  if  $X = Y$  with *equality* of norms. Given two non-negative functions  $f$  and  $g$  defined on the same set  $A$ , we write  $f \sim g$ , if there exist positive constants  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  such that  $\gamma_1 g(x) \leq f(x) \leq \gamma_2 g(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ .

Throughout the paper we only consider complete  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. For a given measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  we let  $L^0(\Omega) := L^0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  denote the space of all real-valued measurable functions on  $\Omega$  with the topology of convergence in measure on  $\mu$ -finite sets. If  $f, g \in L^0(\Omega)$ , then  $f \leq g$  means that  $f(t) \leq g(t)$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $t \in \Omega$ . A Banach space  $X \subset L^0(\mu)$  is said to be a Banach lattice on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  (on  $\Omega$  for short) if for all  $f \in L^0(\Omega)$  it holds that  $|f| \leq |g|$  with  $g \in X$  implies  $f \in X$  and  $\|f\|_X \leq \|g\|_X$ . We work only with Banach lattices  $X$  such that  $\text{supp } X = \Omega$  (up to a set of measure zero), that is, there exists  $h \in X$  with  $h > 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. on  $\Omega$  (for more details we refer to [4, Chapter 10]). The *weighted Banach space*  $E(w)$ , where  $w$  is a weight (that is a measurable positive function on  $\Omega$ ) consists of those  $f \in L^0(\Omega)$  for which  $fw \in E$  and is equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_{E(w)} = \|fw\|_E$ .

A lattice modelled on natural numbers is called a *sequence space*. The set of all sequences will be denoted by  $\omega(\mathbb{N})$ . As usual, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e_j$  denotes the standard unit vector. For the simplicity of presentation we will avoid writing (unless it leads to ambiguity) indices when defining sequences. A notation  $\{a_j\}$  should be read as  $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ . For simplicity of presentation, we will often consider the sequence space  $\ell^p(\nu)$  as the  $L_p(\nu)$ -space, where for a given weight sequence  $\{w_j\}$  modelled on the set  $J = \mathbb{N}$  or  $J = \mathbb{Z}$ , the measure  $\nu$  is given by  $\nu(\{j\}) := w_j$  for each  $j \in J$ . Clearly,  $\ell^p(\nu)$  coincides isometrically with the weighted space  $\ell^p(\{w_j^{1/p}\})$ .

An important class of Banach lattices are rearrangement invariant spaces. Given  $f \in L^0(\Omega)$ , its *distribution function* is defined by  $\mu_f(s) = \mu(\{t \in \Omega; |f(t)| > s\})$ ,  $s \geq 0$ . A Banach lattice  $X$  is called to be *rearrangement invariant* (*r.i. space*, for short), if for any  $f \in X$  and  $g \in L^0(\Omega)$  such that  $\mu_f = \mu_g$ , we have  $g \in X$  and  $\|f\|_X = \|g\|_X$ . It is well known that if  $X$  is an r.i. space for some finite measure space  $\Omega$ , then  $L^\infty(\Omega) \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega)$  (see [18]).

If  $X$  is an r.i. space then for any measurable set  $A$ , the expression  $\|\chi_A\|$  depends only on  $\mu(A)$ . Thus, for every  $t \in \{\mu(A); A \in \Sigma\}$ , we define a function  $\phi_X$  by the formula  $\phi_X(t) = \|\chi_A\|$ , where  $A$  is any measurable set with  $\mu(A) = t$ . This function is called the *fundamental function* of  $X$ . If  $X$  is an r.i. space on a non-atomic measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , then  $\phi_X$  is quasi-concave on  $[0, \tau)$  with  $\tau = \mu(\Omega)$ , that is,  $\phi_X(0) = 0$  and both  $\phi_X$  and  $t \mapsto \frac{t}{\phi_X(t)}$  are positive and non-decreasing on  $(0, \tau)$  (see [5]). Note also that  $\phi_X$  is continuous at 0 if and only if  $X \neq L^\infty$ .

The Köthe dual space  $X^\times$  of a normed space  $X \subset L^0(\Omega)$  is defined as the space of all  $f \in L^0(\Omega)$  such that  $fg \in L^1(\Omega)$  for every  $g \in X$ . Note that  $X^\times$  is a Banach lattice on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  when equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_{X^\times} := \sup\{|\int_\Omega fg \, d\mu|; \|g\|_X \leq 1\}$ . A Banach lattice  $X$  is said to be *maximal* if  $X^{\times\times} \cong X$ . Equivalently,  $X$  possesses the *Fatou property*, that is for any sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $X$  and  $f \in L^0(\Omega)$  satisfying  $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$   $\mu$ -a.e. as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\sup\{\|f_n\|_X; n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$ , it follows that  $f \in X$  and  $\|f_n\|_X \rightarrow \|f\|_X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We say that  $X$  has the *weak Fatou*

*property*, if for any sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $X$  and  $f \in X$  such that  $0 \leq f_n \uparrow f$   $\mu$ -a.e., it follows that  $\|f_n\|_X \rightarrow \|f\|_X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

A point  $f \in X$  is said to have an *order continuous norm* if for any sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset X$  such that  $0 \leq f_n \leq |f|$  and  $f_n \rightarrow 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. on  $\Omega$  we have  $\|f_n\|_X \rightarrow 0$ . The symbol  $X_a$  will denote the subspace of all order continuous elements of  $X$ . A Banach lattice  $X$  is called *order continuous* if every element of  $X$  has an order continuous norm, that is  $X = X_a$ . If  $X$  is an order continuous Banach lattice on  $(\Omega, \mu)$ , then the dual space  $X^*$  can be identified with  $X^\times$ . We note that  $X^\times$  always has the Fatou property.

In what follows we will consider *complex* Banach lattices. The term *complex space* refers to the *complexification* of a real Banach lattices space, that is, if  $X$  denotes the (real) Banach lattice, the complexification  $X^c$  of  $X$  is the Banach space of all complex valued measurable functions  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that the element  $|f|$  defined by  $|f|(t) = |f(t)|$  for  $t \in \Omega$  is in  $X$  and  $\|f\|_{X^c} = \||f|\|_X$ . For simplicity of presentation, we will often write *Banach lattice* or *r.i. space* instead of *complex Banach lattice* or *complex r.i. space* and avoid using the symbol  $X^c$ .

**2.1. Hardy spaces.** In this paper we study Hardy spaces generated by Banach lattices. The prototype for them are the classical Hardy spaces  $H^p$  (see Duren's monograph [11]). For the convenience of the reader we start with the definition of those standard objects.

The space of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  will be denoted by  $H(\mathbb{D})$ . As usual we let  $\mathbb{T}$  denote the unit circle,  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| = 1\}$ . Throughout the paper we let  $m$  to be the probability Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Let  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  denote the usual Lebesgue spaces (of equivalence classes) of measurable functions  $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  normed by  $\|f\|_{L^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f|^p dm = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$  and  $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = \text{ess sup}\{|f(e^{i\theta})|; \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$ . The *Fourier coefficients* of a function  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  are given by

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If a function  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  is such that

$$\widetilde{f}(e^{i\theta}) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(re^{i\theta}), \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi)$$

exists a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then  $\widetilde{f}$  is called the radial limit function of  $f$ .

For  $p \in [1, \infty)$  the *Hardy space*  $H^p$  consists of functions  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p := \sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < \infty.$$

It is well known that for  $f \in H^p$ , the radial limit function  $\widetilde{f}$  exists a.e. on  $[0, 2\pi)$ . Moreover, if  $f \in H^p$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , then  $\widetilde{f} \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\|f\|_{H^p} = \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})}$  (see [11, Theorem 2.2]).

Let  $X \subset L^0(\mathbb{T})$  be a Banach lattice such that  $X \subset L^1(\mathbb{T})$ . A *Hardy space*  $H(X)$  consists of all  $f \in H^1$  such that  $\widetilde{f} \in X$ .

We will use the following lemma which is surely well known to specialists.

**Lemma 1.** *Let be a Banach lattice on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $X \subset L^1(\mathbb{T})$ .*

(i) *The Hardy space  $H(X)$  is a Banach space equipped with the norm*

$$\|f\|_{H(X)} := \|\widetilde{f}\|_X, \quad f \in H(X).$$

- (ii) The map  $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ ,  $f \in H(X)$ , is an isometrical isomorphism from  $H(X)$  onto  $H[X]$ , where  $H[X]$  denotes the space of all  $g \in X$  for which the negative Fourier coefficients vanish and equipped with the norm  $\|g\|_{H[X]} := \|g\|_X$ .

In the next sections, when considering Banach spaces of analytic functions, we will need to know that the limit function of a sequence of elements from the space, uniformly convergent on compact subsets, belongs to the space. It appears that this happens in a wide class of spaces as the lemma below indicates. Since this result seems to be of independent interest we decided to introduce the following definition: we say that a Banach space  $X$  of analytic functions on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  has the *analytic* (resp., *weak*) *Fatou property* if for every bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $X$  that converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Omega$  to a function  $f$  (resp.,  $f \in X$ ), one has  $f \in X$  and  $\|f\|_X \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k\|_X$  (resp.,  $\|f\|_X \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k\|_X$ ). It is well known that  $H^p$  has the analytic Fatou property for any  $p \in [1, \infty]$ . The following lemma shows that a wide class of Hardy spaces  $H(X)$  also possesses this property.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach lattice on  $\mathbb{T}$  with the Fatou (resp., weak Fatou) property.*

- (i) *If  $X \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T})$  and  $\text{supp}(X^\times)_a = \mathbb{T}$ , then the Hardy space  $H(X)$  has the analytic (resp., weak) Fatou property.*
- (ii) *If  $X$  is an r.i. space on  $\mathbb{T}$ , then the Hardy space  $H(X)$  has the analytic (resp., weak) Fatou property.*

*Proof.* (i). From the well-known theorem due to Nakano (see [4]) it follows that the set of order continuous functionals on a Banach lattice with the weak Fatou property is a norming set, that is,

$$\|h\|_X = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} hg \, dm \right|; \|g\|_{X^\times} \leq 1 \right\}, \quad h \in X.$$

The assumption  $\text{supp}(X^\times)_a = \mathbb{T}$  implies that for any  $g \in X^\times$  there exists a sequence  $\{g_n\} \subset (X^\times)_a$  such that  $0 \leq g_n \uparrow |g|$   $m$ -a.e. Hence,

$$\|h\|_X = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} hg \, dm \right|; \|g\|_{(X^\times)_a} \leq 1 \right\}, \quad h \in X.$$

Since  $(X^\times)_a$  is order continuous, the set of simple functions of  $(X^\times)_a$  is dense in  $(X^\times)_a$ . Then, by the results of Luzin and Weierstrass, the set  $\mathcal{P}$  of trigonometric polynomials on  $\mathbb{T}$  is dense in  $(X^\times)_a$ . Thus

$$(1) \quad \|h\|_X = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} hp \, dm \right|; \|p\|_{(X^\times)_a} \leq 1, p \in \mathcal{P} \right\}, \quad h \in X.$$

Take any bounded sequence  $\{f_k\}$  in  $H(X)$  such that  $f_k \rightarrow f$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Note that from the Cauchy integral formula it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f}_k(n) = \widehat{f}(n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Since  $H(X) \hookrightarrow H^1$ ,  $\widehat{f}(n) = \widehat{\tilde{f}}(n)$  for all  $f \in H(X)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $\widehat{f}(n) = \widehat{\tilde{f}}(n) = 0$  for  $n < 0$ , we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{f}_k(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} \, d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{f}(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} \, d\theta, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This implies that, for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \tilde{f}_k p \, dm = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \tilde{f} p \, dm.$$

Therefore for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathbb{T}$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \tilde{f} p \, dm \right| = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \tilde{f}_k p \, dm \right| \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{f}_k\|_X \|p\|_{(X^\times)_a}$$

and so based on our hypothesis on  $X$ , we conclude from equality (1) the required statement.

(ii). We consider two cases  $\phi_{X^\times}(0+) = 0$  and  $\phi_{X^\times}(0+) > 0$ . Clearly,  $\phi_{X^\times}(0+) = 0$  implies  $(X^\times)_a \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $(X^\times)_a$  is an r.i. space,  $\text{supp}(X^\times)_a = \mathbb{T}$  by  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow (X^\times)_a$ . Thus the required statement follows from (i).

If  $\gamma = \phi_{X^\times}(0+) > 0$ , we have by the well-known formula (see [5])

$$\phi_{X^\times}(t) = \frac{t}{\phi_X(t)}, \quad t \in (0, 1]$$

that  $\phi_X(t) \leq \gamma^{-1}t$  for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ . This easily yields  $L^1(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow X$  and so  $X = L^1(\mathbb{T})$ . In consequence  $H(X) = H^1$  and the proof is completed.  $\square$

### 3. INTERPOLATING SEQUENCES

In this section we introduce the notion of  $E$ -interpolating sequences and study those sequences for a wide class of Banach spaces of analytic functions on the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . This part generalizes results for the classical Hardy spaces  $H^p$  from [11, Chapter 9], [22] and [28].

Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of distinct points of the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . If in addition  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty (1 - |\lambda_j|) < \infty$ , then  $\lambda$  will be called a *Blaschke sequence*. It is commonly known that the infinite zero set of any not identically zero function  $f \in H^p$  is a Blaschke sequence. If  $E$  is a complex Banach sequence space, then  $\lambda$  is said to be an  *$E$ -interpolating sequence* for a Banach space  $\mathcal{X} \subset H(\mathbb{D})$  if

$$E \subset \{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in \mathcal{X}\}.$$

This notion extends the well-known concept of interpolation sequences. Indeed, it is evident that the sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}$  is called an interpolating sequence if it is an  $\ell^\infty$ -interpolation sequence for  $H^\infty$ .

We will need some preliminary results. Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\mathcal{Y}$  a topological linear space and let  $T: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a linear and continuous map. We denote the *range*  $\{Tx; x \in X\}$  of  $T$  by  $R(T)$ .

The following technical result is obvious and so we omit the proof.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\mathcal{Y}$  a topological linear space and let  $T: X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a continuous and linear map. Then  $R(T)$  is a Banach space equipped with the norm*

$$\|y\|_{R(T)} := \inf\{\|x\|_X; Tx = y\}, \quad y \in R(T).$$

*In addition  $R(T) \hookrightarrow Y$  and moreover, if  $Y$  is a Banach space with  $Y \subset R(T)$  and  $Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ , then there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\|y\|_{R(T)} \leq \gamma \|y\|_Y$  for all  $y \in Y$ .*

Furthermore, we will use the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Assume that a Banach space  $\mathcal{X} \subset H(\mathbb{D})$  is such that for every  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  the functional  $\delta_z: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\delta_z f = f(z)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{X}$ , is continuous. If  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  is an  $E$ -interpolating sequence for  $\mathcal{X}$ , then there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all  $w = \{w_j\} \in E$*

$$\inf\{\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}}; f(\lambda_j) = w_j, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \gamma \|w\|_E.$$

*Proof.* Let  $T_\lambda: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \omega(\mathbb{N})$  be the linear mapping defined by

$$T_\lambda f = \{f(\lambda_j)\}_{n=1}^\infty, \quad f \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then, it easily follows from the closed graph theorem that  $T_\lambda$  is continuous. Applying Proposition 1 and using the fact that  $E \hookrightarrow \omega(\mathbb{N})$ , give the required statement.  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. For a closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ , the *annihilator*  $Y^\perp$  of  $Y$  is defined as

$$Y^\perp = \{x^* \in X^*; x^*(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in Y\}.$$

Recall that if  $Q: X \rightarrow X/Y$  is the quotient map, then  $Q^*: (X/Y)^* \rightarrow X^*$  is an isometrical isomorphism onto  $Y^\perp$ . From this we obtain the following well-known fact.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ ,  $x \in X$ . Then*

$$\inf\{\|x - y\|_X; y \in Y\} = \sup\{|y^*(x)|; y^* \in Y^\perp, \|y^*\|_{X^*} \leq 1\}.$$

The above lemma easily yields the following statement.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be an order continuous Banach lattice on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $X \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T})$ . For every  $f \in X$  the following formula holds*

$$\inf\{\|f - g\|_X; g \in H(X)\} = \sup\left\{\left|\int_{\mathbb{T}} fg \, dm\right|; g \in H_0[X^\times], \|g\|_{H[X^\times]} \leq 1\right\},$$

where  $H_0[X^\times] := \{h \in H[X^\times]; \widehat{h}(0) = 0\}$ .

Our goal in this section is to characterize  $E$ -interpolating sequences in terms of an appropriate inclusion. As in the classical case, the main role is played by Blaschke products. Let  $\{\lambda_j\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty (1 - |\lambda_j|) < \infty$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define a finite Blaschke product

$$B_n(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{z - \lambda_j}{1 - \overline{\lambda_j}z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

and for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$B_{n,j}(z) = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{z - \lambda_k}{1 - \overline{\lambda_k}z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We also let

$$b_{n,j} := B_{n,j}(\lambda_j), \quad \rho_{n,j} := |b_{n,j}| \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_j := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n,j}.$$

In what follows we will need a technical fact which states that if  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , then for any  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ,  $\delta_z: H(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\delta_z f = f(z)$  is a bounded linear functional on  $H(X)$ .



Indeed, when  $X \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $H(X) \hookrightarrow H^1$ . Thus for any  $f \in H(X)$  the radial limit function  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  exists and we have by the well-known Poisson representation

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_z \tilde{f} dm, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow X^\times$ , it follows that

$$(2) \quad |f(z)| \leq \|P_z\|_{X^\times} \|\tilde{f}\|_X \leq c \|P_z\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})} \|f\|_{H(X)} \leq \frac{2c}{1-|z|} \|f\|_{H(X)},$$

where  $c$  is the norm of the inclusion map  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow X^\times$ .

The following theorem will play a key role in our investigations.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a Blaschke sequence. Consider the following statements for Banach lattices  $E \subset \omega(\mathbb{N})$  and minimal  $X$  such that  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T})$ :*

- (i)  $E \subset \{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H(X)\}$ .
- (ii) *There exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all  $w = \{w_j\} \in E$  one has*

$$\sup_{\|g\|_{H(X^\times)} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{b_{nj}} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) g(\lambda_j) \right| \leq \gamma \|w\|_E, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then (i) implies (ii). If in addition  $H(X)$  has the analytic Fatou property, then (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $w = \{w_j\} \in E$  we let

$$m_{E,n}(w) := \inf_{f \in H(X)} \{ \|f\|_{H(X)}; f(\lambda_j) = w_j, 1 \leq j \leq n \}$$

and define

$$f_n(z) := \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \frac{B_{n,j}(z)}{b_{n,j}} = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \frac{B_{n,j}(z)}{B_{n,j}(\lambda_j)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Clearly  $f_n \in H^\infty$  and  $f_n(\lambda_j) = w_j$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $H^\infty \hookrightarrow H(X)$ ,

$$(3) \quad m_{E,n}(w) = \inf_{h \in H(X)} \|f_n - B_n h\|_{H(X)}.$$

Observe that since  $|\tilde{B}_n(e^{i\theta})| = 1$ ,

$$m_{E,n}(w) = \inf_{h \in H(X)} \|\tilde{f}_n - \tilde{B}_n \tilde{h}\|_X = \inf_{h \in H(X)} \left\| \frac{\tilde{f}_n}{\tilde{B}_n} - \tilde{h} \right\|_X.$$

Applying Theorem 1 we obtain

$$(4) \quad m_{E,n}(w) = \sup_{\|g\|_{H(X^\times)} \leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{f}_n(e^{i\theta})}{\tilde{B}_n(e^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} \tilde{g}(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \right|.$$

Fix  $g \in H(X^\times)$  and notice that

$$\frac{\tilde{f}_n(e^{i\theta})}{\tilde{B}_n(e^{i\theta})} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{B_j(\lambda_j)} \frac{1 - \bar{\lambda}_j e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - \lambda_j}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi),$$

whence it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{f}_n(e^{i\theta})}{\tilde{B}_n(e^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} \tilde{g}(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{B_j(\lambda_j)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - \bar{\lambda}_j e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - \lambda_j} e^{i\theta} \tilde{g}(e^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Since  $H(X^\times) \hookrightarrow H^1$ , the function  $G$  given by  $G(z) = (1 - \bar{\lambda}_j z)g(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  belongs to  $H^1$  and consequently, for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - \bar{\lambda}_j e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - \lambda_j} e^{i\theta} \tilde{g}(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{G}(e^{i\theta})}{1 - e^{-i\theta} \lambda_j} d\theta = G(\lambda_j) = (1 - |\lambda_j|^2)g(\lambda_j).$$

Combining this with formula (4), we obtain another, crucial, representation

$$(5) \quad m_{E,n}(w) = \sup_{\|g\|_{H(X^\times)} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{b_{n,j}} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2)g(\lambda_j) \right|.$$

Assume that  $E \subset \{f(\lambda_j); f \in H(X)\}$ . Since  $\delta_z$  is a continuous linear functional on  $H(X)$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , it follows from Corollary 1 that there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\inf\{\|f\|_{H(X)}; f(\lambda_j) = w_j, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \gamma \|w\|_E, \quad w = \{w_j\} \in E.$$

It is clear that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$m_{E,n}(w) \leq \inf\{\|f\|_{H(X)}; f(\lambda_j) = w_j, j \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and hence,

$$m_{E,n}(w) \leq \gamma \|w\|_E, \quad w \in E,$$

which is the required statement.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assume now that there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all  $w = \{w_j\} \in E$ ,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\|g\|_{H(X^\times)} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{b_{n,j}} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2)g(\lambda_j) \right| \leq \gamma \|w\|_E.$$

This estimate combined with formulas (3) and (5) yields, for every  $w \in E$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\inf_{h \in H(X)} \|f_n - B_n h\|_{H(X)} \leq \gamma \|w\|_E.$$

We can, therefore, for each  $n$  find a function  $h_n \in H(X)$  such that  $\|g_n\|_{H(X)} \leq M$ , where  $g_n = f_n - B_n h_n \in H(X)$  and  $M := \gamma \|w\|_E + 1$ . It follows from (2) that the sequence  $\{g_n\}$  is locally uniformly bounded in  $\mathbb{D}$ . By Montel's theorem we can extract a subsequence  $\{g_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\{g_n\}$  such that  $g_{k_n} \rightarrow g \in H(\mathbb{D})$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Since  $H(X)$  has the analytic Fatou property,  $g \in H(X)$ . In particular this yields, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$w_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{k_n}(\lambda_j) = g(\lambda_j).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

In what follows we will use Theorem 3 in the proof of the main result of this section. One of the main tools we use is interpolation theory. Here we present some basic concepts; for a more detailed study we refer the reader to the monographs [5, 6, 7, 18].

Let  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  be Banach spaces. The pair  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  is called a *Banach couple* if there exists a Hausdorff topological vector space  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $X_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ ,  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ . A Banach space  $X$  is called an *intermediate space* with respect to  $\vec{X}$ , if  $X_0 \cap X_1 \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow X_0 + X_1$ .

If  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  and  $\vec{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$  are Banach couples and  $T: X_0 + X_1 \rightarrow Y_0 + Y_1$  is a linear map such that  $T|_{X_k}: X_k \rightarrow Y_k$  for  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ , then we write  $T: \vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{Y}$ .

Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be *interpolation spaces* with respect to  $\vec{X}$  and  $\vec{Y}$  if  $X$  and  $Y$  are intermediate with respect to  $\vec{X}$  and  $\vec{Y}$ , respectively, and if  $T$  maps  $X$  into  $Y$  for every  $T: \vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{Y}$ . A mapping  $F$  from the category of all couples of Banach spaces into the category of all Banach spaces is said to be a bounded *interpolation functor* if there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that for every Banach couple  $\vec{X}$ ,  $F(\vec{X})$  is a Banach space intermediate with respect to  $\vec{X}$  and for any Banach couples  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  and  $\vec{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$  if the condition  $T: \vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{Y}$  implies  $T(F(\vec{X})) \subset F(\vec{Y})$  with

$$\|T\|_{X \rightarrow Y} \leq \gamma \max\{\|T|_{X_0}\|_{X_0 \rightarrow Y_0}, \|T|_{X_1}\|_{X_1 \rightarrow Y_1}\}.$$

If  $\gamma = 1$ , then  $F$  is said to be an *exact* interpolation functor. Later we will need to quantify an intermediate space with respect to a given Banach couple. For that we define the characteristic function of a functor.

Let  $F$  be an exact interpolation functor. The *characteristic function*  $\psi_F$  of  $F$  is defined by

$$\psi_F(s, t)\mathbb{R} \cong F(s\mathbb{R}, t\mathbb{R}), \quad s, t > 0,$$

where  $\alpha\mathbb{R}$  with  $\alpha > 0$  is equipped with the norm  $\|x\| = \alpha|x|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is easy to see that  $\psi_F$  is homogeneous of degree one, that is,  $\psi_F(\gamma s, \gamma t) = \gamma\psi_F(s, t)$  for all  $\gamma, s, t > 0$  and in addition,  $\psi_F$  is non-decreasing in each variable. For applications of characteristic functions in interpolation of operators on Banach spaces we refer the reader to [23].

We are ready to prove the main result of this section. Let us recall (see page 7) that for a Blaschke sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}$  and finite Blaschke product  $B_n$

$$\rho_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{n,j},$$

where  $\rho_{n,j} = |B_{n,j}(\lambda_j)|$  and  $B_{n,j}(z) = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{z - \lambda_k}{1 - \bar{\lambda}_k z}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $E \subset \omega(\mathbb{N})$  be a Banach sequence lattice and let  $X$  be minimal and maximal Banach lattice such that  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T})$ . If  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  is a Blaschke sequence, then  $E \subset \{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H(X)\}$  if and only if there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all  $g \in H(X^\times)$*

$$\|\{\rho_j^{-1}(1 - |\lambda_j|^2)g(\lambda_j)\}\|_{E^\times} \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H(X^\times)}.$$

*Proof.* Assume that there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\|g\|_{H(X^\times)} \leq 1} \|\{\rho_j^{-1}(1 - |\lambda_j|^2)g(\lambda_j)\}\|_{E^\times} \leq \gamma.$$

Since  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty (1 - |\lambda_j|) < \infty$ ,  $\rho_{n,j} \geq \rho_j > 0$  for each positive integer  $j \leq n$ . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\|g\|_{H(X^\times)} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{b_{n,j}} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2)g(\lambda_j) \right| &\leq \sup_{\|g\|_{H(X^\times)} \leq 1} \sum_{j=1}^n |w_j| \rho_{n,j}^{-1} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) |g(\lambda_j)| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|g\|_{H(X^\times)} \leq 1} \|\{\rho_j^{-1}(1 - |\lambda_j|^2) |g(\lambda_j)|\}\|_{E^\times} \|w\|_E \\ &\leq \gamma \|w\|_E. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Theorem 3 applies and the implication follows.

To prove the opposite implication, assume that  $E \subset \{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H(X)\}$ . From Theorem 3 it follows that there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{\|w\|_E \leq 1} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{\|g\|_{H(X^\times)} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{b_{n,j}} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) g(\lambda_j) \right| \leq \gamma.$$

Clearly, for all  $g \in H(X^\times)$ , we have (by  $|b_{n,j}| = \rho_{n,j}$ )

$$\left\| \left\{ \rho_{n,j}^{-1} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) |g(\lambda_j)| \right\}_{j=1}^n \right\|_{E^\times} \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H(X^\times)}.$$

Now observe that  $\rho_{n,j} \geq \rho_{n+1,j}$  for each  $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\rho_{n,j} \rightarrow \rho_j$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This means that the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  given by

$$x_n = \left\{ \rho_{n,j}^{-1} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) |g(\lambda_j)| \right\}_{j=1}^\infty$$

satisfies  $\|x_n\|_{E^\times} \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H(X^\times)}$  and  $0 \leq x_n \uparrow x$  in  $\omega(\mathbb{N})$ , where

$$x := \left\{ \rho_j^{-1} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) |g(\lambda_j)| \right\}_{j=1}^\infty.$$

Since  $E^\times$  has the Fatou property,  $x \in E^\times$  and  $\|x\|_{E^\times} \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H(X^\times)}$ , that is,

$$\left\| \left\{ \rho_j^{-1} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) |g(\lambda_j)| \right\}_{j=1}^\infty \right\|_{E^\times} \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H(X^\times)}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a Blaschke sequence and let  $E \subset \omega(\mathbb{N})$  be a Banach sequence lattice. Then*

$$E \subset \{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H^\infty\}$$

*if and only if there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all  $f \in H^1$*

$$\left\| \left\{ \rho_j^{-1} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) |f(\lambda_j)| \right\}_{j=1}^\infty \right\|_{E^\times} \leq \gamma \|f\|_{H^1}.$$

*Proof.* Following the notation from the proof of Theorem 3 with  $E = \ell^\infty$  for  $w = \{w_j\} \in \ell^\infty$  we let

$$m_{\ell^\infty, n}(w) := \inf \left\{ \|f_n - B_n g\|_{H^\infty}; g \in H^\infty \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $f_n(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{n,j}^{-1} w_j B_{n,j}(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Then we have (see [16, pp. 197–198])

$$m_{\ell^\infty, n}(w) = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{w_j}{b_{n,j}} f(\lambda_j) (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) \right|; \|f\|_{H^1} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Since  $H^\infty$  has the analytic Fatou property we can now complete the proof of the theorem by repeating the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

We conclude this section with two corollaries. The first one follows from Theorems 3 and 3.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a Blaschke sequence and let  $E \subset \omega(\mathbb{N})$  be a Banach sequence lattice. Then, for any  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , we have*

$$E \subset \{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H^p\}$$

*if and only if there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all  $g \in H^q$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$*

$$\left\| \left\{ \rho_j^{-1} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) |g(\lambda_j)| \right\}_{j=1}^\infty \right\|_{E^\times} \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H^q}.$$

**Corollary 3.** *The following statements about the Blaschke sequence  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\ell^\infty \subset \{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H^\infty\}$ .
- (ii) *There exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all  $g \in H^1$*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho_j^{-1} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) |g(\lambda_j)| \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H^1}.$$

- (iii) *The sequence  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated.*

*Proof.* For the equivalence of (i) and (ii) we apply Corollary 2 for  $p = \infty$  and  $E = \ell^\infty$ . Since the conditions (i) and (iii) are equivalent by the Carleson theorem, the proof is complete.  $\square$

Note here that we may as well use the outcome of [28] to get the equivalence of (ii) and (iii). Similarly, we could refer to [22, Corollary 4] for the equivalence of (i) and (ii).

#### 4. THE RESULT OF SHAPIRO AND SHIELDS REVISITED

In this section we give a new proof of the characterization of interpolating sequences for the classical Hardy spaces  $H^p$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . We note that a necessary and sufficient condition for the sequence to be interpolating for the Bergman space  $A^p$ ,  $p \in (0, \infty)$ , was discovered by Schuster and Seip in [24]. They also noticed that their argument yields a constructive proof that the notation of uniformly separated sequence is a sufficient condition for interpolation in  $H^p$  with  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . A constructive proof of this fact but of a different nature was given also by Amar in [2].

Our approach is heavily based on the Banach space theory and interpolation of operators. The result of this part serves as a motivation for considering more general and abstract settings in the next sections.

To obtain a characterization of interpolating sequences for  $H^p$  spaces we will use the complex method of interpolation introduced by Calderón in [8]. For a complex Banach couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  we define  $\mathcal{F}(\vec{X})$  to be the space of all continuous functions on the closed strip  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re}(z) \in [0, 1]\}$  with values in  $X_0 + X_1$  that are analytic on the interior, bounded and continuous into  $X_j$  on the complex line  $j + i\mathbb{R}$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1\}$  equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\vec{X})} = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(it)\|_{X_0}, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(1 + it)\|_{X_1} \right\}.$$

For a given  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  the *complex interpolation space*  $[X_0, X_1]_\theta$  is defined as  $\{f(\theta); f \in \mathcal{F}(\vec{X})\}$  and equipped with the quotient norm

$$\|x\|_{[X_0, X_1]_\theta} = \inf \{ \|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\vec{X})}; x = f(\theta), f \in \mathcal{F}(\vec{X}) \}.$$

Further the key role will be played by the well-known formula (see [6, Theorem 5.5.3]), which states that for any Banach couple  $(L^{p_0}(w_0), L^{p_1}(w_1))$ ,  $p_0 \neq p_1$ , of weighted  $L^p$  spaces on a measure space,

$$[L^{p_0}(w_0), L^{p_1}(w_1)]_\theta \cong L^{p_\theta}(w_0^{1-\theta} w_1^\theta), \quad \theta \in (0, 1),$$

where  $\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ .

We will now present the new proof of the characterization of interpolating sequences for Hardy spaces  $H^p$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . To this aim we will need some auxiliary facts.

From now on,  $X$  is a Banach lattice on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T})$ . The next lemma can be derived by using the closed graph theorem.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach sequence space such that  $E \hookrightarrow \omega(\mathbb{N})$  and  $T_\lambda(H(X)) \subset E$ . Then  $T_\lambda$  is a bounded operator from  $H(X)$  into  $E$ .*

Let us recall that  $e_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , denotes the standard unit vector.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Suppose that  $E$  is a Banach sequence space such that  $E \hookrightarrow \omega(\mathbb{N})$  and there exists  $M > 0$  such that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|e_j\|_E \leq M \|\delta_{\lambda_j}\|_{H(X)^*}^{-1}$ . If  $T_\lambda(H(X)) = E$ , then  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated.*

*Proof.* If  $T_\lambda(H(X)) = E$ , then we can apply Lemma 4 to conclude that  $T_\lambda: H(X) \rightarrow E$  is a bounded linear surjection. By the open mapping theorem there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\gamma\{f \in E; \|f\|_E \leq 1\} \subset T_\lambda(\{f \in H(X); \|f\|_{H(X)} \leq 1\}).$$

In particular, for each positive integer  $k$  there exists a function  $f_k \in H(X)$  with  $T_\lambda f_k := \{f_k(\lambda_j)\} = M^{-1}e_k \|\delta_{\lambda_k}\|_{H(X)^*}$  and

$$\|f_k\|_{H(X)} \leq \gamma \|\{M^{-1}e_k \|\delta_{\lambda_k}\|_{H(X)^*}\}\|_E \leq \gamma.$$

For  $n > k$  put

$$g_{n,k}(z) = f_k(z) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{1 - \bar{\lambda}_j z}{z - \lambda_j}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Clearly  $g_{n,k} \in H(X)$  and  $\|g_{n,k}\|_{H(X)} = \|f_k\|_{H(X)} \leq \gamma$ . Note also that for every  $f \in H(X)$  we have

$$|f(z)| \leq \|\delta_z\|_{H(X)^*} \|f\|_{H(X)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Notice that  $f_k(\lambda_k) = M^{-1} \|\delta_{\lambda_k}\|_{H(X)^*}$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, for all  $n > k$ , we have

$$\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \left| \frac{1 - \bar{\lambda}_j \lambda_k}{\lambda_k - \lambda_j} \right| = \frac{|g_{n,k}(\lambda_k)|}{M^{-1} \|\delta_{\lambda_k}\|_{H(X)^*}} \leq M \|g_{n,k}\|_{H(X)} \leq \gamma M.$$

Consequently,  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $X$  be an r.i. space  $X$  with a fundamental function  $\phi_X$  and suppose that  $E$  is a Banach sequence space such that  $E \hookrightarrow \omega(\mathbb{N})$  and there exists  $M > 0$  such that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|e_j\|_E \leq M \phi_X(1 - |\lambda_j|)$ . If  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\} \subset \mathbb{D}$  is a sequence of distinct points and  $T_\lambda(H(X)) = E$ , then  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated.*

*Proof.* From [21, Lemma 1.2] it follows that for every  $z \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\frac{1}{4} \phi_X(1 - |z|)^{-1} \leq \|\delta_z\|_{H(X)^*} \leq 2 \phi_X(1 - |z|)^{-1}.$$

Thus Theorem 5 applies.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $\mathbb{D}$  and let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Then*

$$(6) \quad \{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H^p\} = \ell^p(\nu)$$

*if and only if  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated, where  $\nu$  is the measure defined on  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  by  $\nu(\{j\}) = 1 - |\lambda_j|^2$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* We claim that (6) implies that  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated. Indeed, it is well known that  $\|\delta_z\|_{(H^p)^*} = (1 - |z|^2)^{-1/p}$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . This implies that, for  $E := \ell^p(\nu)$ , we have

$$\|e_j\|_E = (1 - |\lambda_j|^2)^{1/p} = \|\delta_{\lambda_j}\|_{(H^p)^*}^{-1}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus if we assume that the Carleson operator  $T_\lambda$  given by  $T_\lambda f = \{f(\lambda_j)\}$  for all  $f \in H^p$  satisfies  $T_\lambda(H^p) = \ell^p(\nu)$ , then it follows from Theorem 5 that  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated.

To prove the reverse statement we assume that  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  is uniformly separated. First, we handle the case when  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Define a linear mapping  $S_\lambda: H^1 \rightarrow \omega(\mathbb{N})$  by

$$S_\lambda g := \{g(\lambda_j)\}, \quad g \in H^1.$$

From Corollary 3 (ii), we conclude that  $S_\lambda: H^1 \rightarrow \ell^1(u)$  with  $u := \{\rho_j^{-1}(1 - |\lambda_j|^2)\}$  is a bounded operator. Clearly,  $S_\lambda: H^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$  is also bounded. Thus

$$S_\lambda: (H^1, H^\infty) \rightarrow (\ell^1(u), \ell^\infty)$$

and in consequence by the interpolation property

$$S_\lambda: [H^1, H^\infty]_\theta \rightarrow [\ell^1(u), \ell^\infty]_\theta$$

is bounded for any  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Using the interpolation formula established in [17], that is  $[H^1, H^\infty]_\theta = H^{p\theta}$  with  $\frac{1}{p\theta} = 1 - \theta$ , we deduce by taking  $\theta = \frac{1}{p}$  that for  $\frac{1}{q} := 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ ,

$$S_\lambda: H^q \rightarrow [\ell^1(u), \ell^\infty]_\theta.$$

Since  $[\ell^1(u), \ell^\infty]_\theta = \ell^q(u^{1-\theta}) = \ell^q(u^{1/q})$ , it follows that there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$ , such that for all  $g \in H^q$  we have

$$\|S_\lambda g\|_{\ell^q(u^{1/q})} \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H^q}.$$

Now observe that since  $E := \ell^p(\nu) \cong \ell^p(u^{1/p})$ , we have  $E^\times \cong \ell^q(u^{-1/p})$ . Hence

$$\|\{\rho_j^{-1}(1 - |\lambda_j|^2)g(\lambda_j)\}\|_{E^\times} \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H^q}, \quad g \in H^q$$

implies by Corollary 2 that

$$E \subset \{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H^p\}.$$

To prove the reverse inclusion we note that  $\rho_j \leq 1$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by Corollary 3 (ii), the operator  $T_\lambda$  defined above is bounded from  $H^1$  into  $\ell^1(\nu)$ . In consequence

$$T_\lambda: (H^1, H^\infty) \rightarrow (\ell^1(\nu), \ell^\infty).$$

Applying the interpolation formulas for the complex method generated by  $\theta = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ , we obtain

$$[H^1, H^\infty]_\theta = H^p$$

and

$$[\ell^1(\nu), \ell^\infty]_\theta = \ell^p((1 - |\lambda_j|^2)^{1-\theta}) = \ell^p(w).$$

This implies by the interpolation property that  $T_\lambda: H^p \rightarrow \ell^p(\nu)$  is bounded and so the required inclusion  $T_\lambda(H^p) \subset \ell^p(\nu)$  follows.

In the case  $p = 1$  we apply Corollary 2 for  $E = \ell^1(\nu)$ . Since  $E^\times = \ell^\infty(w^{-1})$  with  $w = \{1 - |\lambda_j|^2\}$ , for  $T_\lambda f := \{f(\lambda_j)\}$  we have  $\ell^1(w) \subset T_\lambda(H^1)$  if and only if

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} \rho_j^{-1} |g(\lambda_j)| = \left\| \{ \rho_j^{-1} (1 - |\lambda_j|^2) g(\lambda_j) \} \right\|_{\ell^\infty(w^{-1})} \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H^\infty}$$

for all  $g \in H^\infty$  with some  $\gamma > 0$ . This is clearly equivalent to  $\inf_{j \geq 1} \rho_j > 0$ , and hence, the sequence  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated.

If  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated, then we notice that it follows from Corollary 3 (ii) by  $\rho_j \leq 1$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , that  $T_\lambda(H^1) \subset \ell^1(\nu)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. CARLESON OPERATOR

The new proof of the characterization of interpolating sequences for  $H^p$  spaces (see Theorem 6) is an inspiration for considering a more general and abstract approach. For a given sequence  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\} \subset \mathbb{D}$  we define a linear map  $T_\lambda: H(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \omega(\mathbb{N})$  by

$$T_\lambda f = \{f(\lambda_j)\}, \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

In this section we address the question whether for a given Banach lattice  $X$  there exists a sequence space  $E$  such that  $T_\lambda$  is surjective as an operator from  $H(X)$  onto  $E$ .

Let us remark, as it has already been done in the introduction, that the above problem was solved for  $H^\infty$  by Carleson (see [9]) and by Shapiro and Shields (see [26]) for  $H^p$  spaces,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , see also Theorem 6. Their results state that  $T_\lambda: H^p \rightarrow \ell^p(\nu)$  is surjective if and only if  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated, that is,

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{1 - \bar{\lambda}_k \lambda_j} \right| > 0.$$

We extend those classical results for a wide class of abstract Hardy spaces  $H(X)$  generated by r.i. spaces  $X$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ . The main result of this section is contained in Theorem 7. However, to prove this general result we need some additional observations.

Let  $F$  be an exact interpolation functor. We restrict  $F$  to the class of couples of Banach function lattices on measure spaces. Let  $(X_0, X_1)$  be a couple of Banach function lattices on a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . An exact interpolation functor  $G$  is said to be the *Köthe dual functor to  $F$*  on a couple  $(X_0, X_1)$  if

$$G(X_0^\times, X_1^\times) = F(X_0, X_1)^\times.$$

We also recall the following easily verified estimate, which holds for any exact interpolation functor and any Banach couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  (see [23, p. 372]),

$$(7) \quad \|x\|_{F(\vec{X})} \leq \psi_F(\|x\|_{X_0}, \|x\|_{X_1}), \quad x \in X_0 \cap X_1,$$

where  $\psi_F$  is the characteristic function of the functor  $F$ .

Now we are ready to prove the main result of the paper.



**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $X$  be minimal and maximal r.i. space on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $X = F(L^{p_0}(\mathbb{T}), L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T}))$  for some  $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $p_0 < p_1$ , where  $F$  is an exact interpolation functor for which the Köthe dual functor exists on all couples of  $L^p$ -spaces. Then*

$$(8) \quad \{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H(X)\} = F(\ell^{p_0}(\nu), \ell^{p_1}(\nu))$$

*if and only if  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated.*

*Proof.* First, we prove that being a uniformly separated sequence is a necessary condition for the equality (8) to hold.

With this aim let  $E := F(\ell^{p_0}(\nu), \ell^{p_1}(\nu))$  and observe that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $e_j \in \ell^{p_0}(\nu) \cap \ell^{p_1}(\nu)$ . Applying the interpolation kind estimate (7), we get

$$\|e_j\|_E \leq \psi_F(\|e_j\|_{\ell^{p_0}(\nu)}, \|e_j\|_{\ell^{p_1}(\nu)}) = \psi_F((1 - |\lambda_j|^2)^{1/p_0}, (1 - |\lambda_j|^2)^{1/p_1}),$$

where  $\psi_F$  is the characteristic function of  $F$ . Since  $X = F(L^{p_0}(\mathbb{T}), L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T}))$ , it follows by the interpolation property that

$$\phi_X(t) \sim \psi_F(t^{1/p_0}, t^{1/p_1}), \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Thus there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$\|e_j\|_E \leq M \phi_X(1 - |\lambda_j|^2), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If we assume that  $T_\lambda f = \{f(\lambda_j)\}$  for  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$  satisfies the equality  $T_\lambda(H(X)) = E$ , then by the above estimate and Corollary 4 we see that  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated.

To prove the reverse statement, assume that  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  is uniformly separated. Then by results of Carleson [9] and Shapiro and Shields [26], it follows that  $T_\lambda(H^{p_k}) = \ell^{p_k}(\nu)$  for  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ . Thus  $T_\lambda: (H^{p_0}, H^{p_1}) \rightarrow (\ell^{p_0}(\nu), \ell^{p_1}(\nu))$  and so by interpolation

$$T_\lambda: F(H^{p_0}, H^{p_1}) \rightarrow F(\ell^{p_0}(\nu), \ell^{p_1}(\nu)) = E$$

is a bounded operator. Applying a result from [29], we obtain  $F(H^{p_0}, H^{p_1}) = HF(L^{p_0}, L^{p_1})$ . In consequence  $H(X) = F(H^{p_0}, H^{p_1})$  and by the interpolation property, we get

$$T_\lambda: H(X) \rightarrow E$$

is bounded and so  $T_\lambda(H(X)) \subset E$ .

We claim that  $E \subset T_\lambda(H(X))$ . To prove this, define a linear map  $S_\lambda: H(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \omega(\mathbb{N})$  by the formula

$$S_\lambda g = \{\rho_j^{-1}(1 - |\lambda_j|^2)g(\lambda_j)\}, \quad g \in H(\mathbb{D}).$$

From Corollary 1 it follows that  $\ell^{p_k}(w_k) \subset T_\lambda(H^{p_k})$  for  $k \in \{0, 1\}$  is equivalent to the statement that the restriction of  $S_\lambda$ , which satisfies

$$S_\lambda: (H((L^{p_0})^\times), H((L^{p_1})^\times)) \rightarrow (\ell^{p_0}(\nu)^\times, \ell^{p_1}(\nu)^\times),$$

is a bounded operator. Then by interpolation, we conclude that if  $G$  is the Köthe dual functor to  $F$ ,

$$S_\lambda: G(H((L^{p_0})^\times), H((L^{p_1})^\times)) \rightarrow G(\ell^{p_0}(\nu)^\times, \ell^{p_1}(\nu)^\times)$$

is a bounded operator. Applying again the result from [29], we obtain

$$G(H((L^{p_0})^\times), H((L^{p_1})^\times)) = H(G((L^{p_0})^\times, (L^{p_1})^\times)).$$

Thus we get

$$G(H((L^{p_0})^\times), H((L^{p_1})^\times)) = H(F(L^{p_0}, L^{p_1})^\times) = H(X^\times).$$

Since  $G(\ell^{p_0}(\nu)^\times, \ell^{p_1}(\nu)^\times) = F(\ell^{p_0}(\nu), \ell^{p_1}(\nu))^\times = E^\times$ , we conclude that

$$S_\lambda: H(X^\times) \rightarrow E^\times$$

is bounded. This yields the existence of  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\|\{\rho_j^{-1}(1 - |\lambda_j|^2)g(\lambda_j)\}\|_{E^\times} \leq \gamma \|g\|_{H(X^\times)}, \quad g \in H(X^\times).$$

Hence by Lemma 2 and Theorem 3 we get  $E \subset T_\lambda(H(X))$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We show that Theorem 7 can be directly applied to get the description of interpolating sequences for Hardy–Lorentz spaces. Before we give the proof of this result we recall the definition of the Lorentz spaces  $L^{p,q}$ .

If  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $q \in [1, \infty]$ , then the *Lorentz space*  $L^{p,q}(\Omega)$  is the space of all  $f \in L^0(\Omega)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{p,q} := \left( \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} f^{**}(t)]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

with a natural modification when  $q = \infty$ . Here, for  $f \in L^0(\Omega)$ ,  $f^{**}$  denotes the function given by  $t \mapsto f^{**}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $f^*$  of  $f \in L^0(\Omega)$  is given by the formula  $f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0; \mu_f(s) \leq t\}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . It is well known that  $L^{p,q}(\Omega)$  is an r.i. space for which we have (see [5])

$$\|f\|_{p,q} \sim \left( \int_0^\infty [t^{1/p} f^*(t)]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}.$$

Moreover,  $L^{p,q}(\Omega)$  is always maximal and order continuous provided that  $q \in [1, \infty)$ . If this is the case, then (see [5])

$$(L^{p,q}(\Omega))^\times = L^{p',q'}(\Omega),$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$ . If  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) = (\mathbb{N}, 2^\mathbb{N}, \mu)$ , then  $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{N})$  is denoted by  $\ell^{p,q}(\mu)$  and is called a *Lorentz sequence space*.

In the case when  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}$  and  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure  $m$ , the Hardy space  $H(L^{p,q})$  is denoted by  $H^{p,q}$  and is denominated a *Hardy–Lorentz space*. The following result contains the version of the Carleson result for Hardy–Lorentz spaces. In the proof we will use the classical real interpolation method  $(\cdot)_{\theta,q}$  (see [5], for a more abstract approach we refer to the next section).

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $\mathbb{D}$  and let  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $q \in [1, \infty)$ . Then*

$$\{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H^{p,q}\} = \ell^{p,q}(\nu)$$

*if and only if  $\{\lambda_k\}$  is uniformly separated.*

*Proof.* For a given  $p \in (1, \infty)$  let  $p_0, p_1 \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $p_0 < p < p_1$ . Furthermore, there exists  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ . Then it follows from [6, Theorem 5.3.1] that for the following spaces on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$

$$(L^{p_0}(\mu), L^{p_1}(\mu))_{\theta, q} = L^{p, q}(\mu).$$

Since, as noted before,  $L^{p, q}(\mu)^\times = L^{p', q'}(\mu)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$  we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (L^{p_0}(\mu)^\times, L^{p_1}(\mu)^\times)_{\theta, q'} &= (L^{p'_0}(\mu), L^{p'_1}(\mu))_{\theta, q'} \\ &= L^{p', q'}(\mu) = ((L^{p_0}(\mu), L^{p_1}(\mu))_{\theta, q})^\times. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that if we take  $F := (\cdot)_{\theta, q}$ , then  $G := (\cdot)_{\theta, q'}$  is an exact interpolation functor, which is the Köthe dual to  $F$  with respect to a Banach couple  $(L^{p_0}(\mu), L^{p_1}(\mu))$  for any measure space. Applying this fact to  $(\mathbb{T}, m)$  and  $(\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, \nu)$  we are in the position to apply Theorem 7. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. KÖTHE DUAL FUNCTORS

The aim of this section is to give general applications of Theorem 7 which deals with the so-called Köthe dual functors. This motivates to study this notion in more details. In particular we prove that the abstract  $K$ -method and  $J$ -method is a Köthe dual functor. This allows us to obtain the characterization of interpolating sequences for interpolation spaces between  $L^{p_0}(\mathbb{T})$  and  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T})$  for some  $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $p_0 < p_1$  (see Theorem 11). We apply this result for some particular spaces and obtain variants of the Carleson theorem for Hardy spaces generated by selected classes of important Banach lattices.

The most important methods of interpolation are the so-called  $K$ -method and  $J$ -method. For the convenience of the reader we recall shortly the main definitions and facts relating to these concepts. If  $\Phi$  is a Banach sequence lattice modelled on  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\{\min(1, 2^n)\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty \in \Phi$ , then for every Banach couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  the  $K$ -method space  $K_\Phi(\vec{X})$  is defined to be the Banach space of all  $x \in X_0 + X_1$  such that  $\{K(2^n, x; \vec{X})\} \in \Phi$  equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_{K_\Phi(\vec{X})} = \|\{K(2^n, x; \vec{X})\}\|_\Phi.$$

Here  $K$  is the famous Petree  $K$ -functional defined for every  $x \in X_0 + X_1$  by

$$K(t, x; \vec{X}) = \inf\{\|x_0\|_{X_0} + t\|x_1\|_{X_1}; x_0 + x_1 = x\}, \quad t > 0.$$

We also recall the definition of the  $J$ -method. For a given Banach couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  and  $x \in X_0 \cap X_1$  define

$$J(t, x; \vec{X}) = \max\{\|x\|_{X_0}, t\|x\|_{X_1}\}, \quad t > 0.$$

Let  $(\ell^1, \ell^1(2^{-n}))$  be a couple of sequence spaces and let  $E$  be an intermediate space with respect to  $(\ell^1, \ell^1(2^{-n}))$ . Denote by  $J_E(\vec{X})$  the space of all  $x \in X_0 \cap X_1$ , which can be represented in the form

$$x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n \quad (\text{convergence in } X_0 + X_1),$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_{J_E(\vec{X})} = \inf\left\{\|\{J(2^n, u_n; \vec{X})\}\|_E; x = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_n\right\},$$

where  $\{J(2^n, u_n; \vec{X})\} \in E$ . It is well known (see for example [7]) that the  $K$ -space  $K_\Phi(\vec{X})$  and  $J$ -space  $J_E(\vec{X})$  are Banach spaces and the mappings  $\vec{X} \mapsto K_\Phi(\vec{X})$  and  $\vec{X} \mapsto J_E(\vec{X})$  are exact interpolation functors. The space  $\Phi$  (respectively,  $E$ ) from the definition of a  $K$ -method (respectively,  $J$ -method) is called a *parameter*.

We note that in the case of  $E = \ell^p(2^{-n\theta})$ , where  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , the  $K$ -space and  $J$ -space generated by  $E$  coincide, that is,

$$K_{\ell^p(2^{-n\theta})}(\vec{X}) = J_{\ell^p(2^{-n\theta})}(\vec{X}).$$

We point out that the constants of equivalence of norms in the above formula are independent of  $\vec{X}$  (see [6]). In the sequel these spaces are denoted by  $\vec{X}_{\theta,p}$  (or  $(X_0, X_1)_{\theta,p}$ ).

We also need the notion of a dual Banach couple (in the interpolation sense). Let  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  be a Banach couple and let  $X$  be an intermediate space with respect to  $\vec{X}$ . We define

$$X' := (X_0 \cap X_1, \|\cdot\|_X)^*.$$

The Banach spaces  $X'_0$  and  $X'_1$  form a Banach couple, which is denoted by  $\vec{X}' = (X'_0, X'_1)$ . We recall that the following isometrical duality formulas hold (see [6, Theorem 2.7.1] or [7, Proposition 2.4.5])

$$(X_0 \cap X_1)' \cong X'_0 + X'_1, \quad \text{and} \quad (X_0 + X_1)' \cong X'_0 \cap X'_1.$$

To formulate the Köthe duality result for the  $K$ -method we recall that a Banach couple  $(X_0, X_1)$  is *relatively complete* if the unit ball of the space  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is a closed subset of the space  $X_0 + X_1$ .

If  $\Phi$  is a parameter of the  $K$ -method, then by  $\Phi^+$  we denote the Banach sequence lattice modelled on  $\mathbb{Z}$  and equipped with the norm

$$\|\xi\|_{\Phi^+} := \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_n \eta_{-n} \right|; \|\{\eta_n\}\|_{\Phi} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

In the proof we will need the following Köthe duality formulas (see for example [19]). If  $(X_0, X_1)$  is a Banach couple of Banach function lattices on a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , then

$$(X_0 \cap X_1)^\times \cong X_0^\times + X_1^\times \quad \text{and} \quad (X_0 + X_1)^\times \cong X_0^\times \cap X_1^\times.$$

We are ready to formulate and prove the Köthe duality result for  $K$ -method spaces. In what follows,  $X_k^\circ$  denotes the closure of  $X_0 \cap X_1$  in  $X_k$ ,  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\Phi$  be a parameter of the  $K$ -method and let  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  be a couple of Banach function lattices on a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- (i)  $K_\Phi(X_0, X_1) \hookrightarrow X_0^\circ + X_1^\circ$ ,
- (ii) both  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  have the Fatou property.

Then  $K_{\Psi^+}$  with  $\Psi := K_\Phi(\ell^1, \ell^1(2^{-n}))$  is the Köthe dual functor of  $K_\Phi$  on  $\vec{X}$ , that is

$$K_\Phi(X_0, X_1)^\times = K_{\Psi^+}(X_0^\times, X_1^\times).$$

Moreover, the constants of equivalence of norms in the above formula are independent of  $\vec{X}$ .

*Proof.* We start with the following formula

$$(9) \quad K_{\Phi}(Y_0, Y_1)' = K_{\Psi+}(Y_0', Y_1'),$$

where the isomorphism constant does not exceed 18, provided that a Banach couple  $(Y_0, Y_1)$  is relatively complete or the parameter  $\Phi$  is such that  $K_{\Phi}(Y_0, Y_1) \hookrightarrow Y_0^{\circ} + Y_1^{\circ}$ . This fact follows directly from Theorems 3.5.9, 3.7.2 and Proposition 3.1.17 in [7].

Now observe that our hypothesis (ii) yields

$$(X_0 \cap X_1)^{\times\times} \cong (X_0^{\times} + X_1^{\times})^{\times} \cong X_0^{\times\times} \cap X_1^{\times\times} = X_0 \cap X_1.$$

Clearly, the Fatou property of both  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  imply that  $(X_0, X_1)$  is a relatively complete couple. We claim that

$$K_{\Psi+}(X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times}) \hookrightarrow K_{\Phi}(X_0, X_1)^{\times}.$$

To show this we apply the interpolation duality formula (9) for  $(X_0, X_1)$ . We define a linear map  $\sigma$  by

$$\sigma x^{\times}(x) = \langle x, x^{\times} \rangle := \int_{\Omega} x x^{\times} d\mu, \quad x^{\times} \in X_0^{\times} + X_1^{\times}, \quad x \in X_0 \cap X_1.$$

Clearly,  $\sigma: X_0^{\times} + X_1^{\times} \rightarrow X_0' + X_1'$  and moreover  $\sigma: (X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times}) \rightarrow (X_0', X_1')$  with

$$\|\sigma\|_{X_k^{\times} \rightarrow X_k'} \leq 1, \quad k \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Since  $K_{\Psi+}$  is an exact interpolation functor, we obtain that

$$\sigma: K_{\Psi+}(X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times}) \rightarrow K_{\Psi+}(X_0', X_1')$$

with

$$\|\sigma x^{\times}\|_{K_{\Psi+}(X_0', X_1')} \leq \|x^{\times}\|_{K_{\Psi+}(X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times})}, \quad x^{\times} \in K_{\Psi+}(X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times}).$$

From this and the equivalence (9) it follows that

$$\sup\{|\langle x, x^{\times} \rangle|; x \in X_0 \cap X_1, \|x\|_{K_{\Phi}(\vec{X})} \leq 1\} \leq \gamma \|x^{\times}\|_{K_{\Psi+}(X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times})},$$

where  $\gamma \leq 18$ . Now, since  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is a Banach lattice, the left hand side of the above inequality equals  $\|x^{\times}\|_{K_{\Phi}(\vec{X})^{\times}}$  (by order density of  $X_0 \cap X_1$  in  $L^0(\mu)$ ) and so the claim is proved.

To finish the proof, we need to show that

$$K_{\Phi}(X_0, X_1)^{\times} \hookrightarrow K_{\Psi+}(X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times}).$$

Applying [7, Corollar 3.5.16], we deduce that the following formula holds

$$K_{\Phi}(X_0, X_1) = J_{\Psi}(X_0, X_1),$$

where the isomorphism constant does not depend on  $\vec{X}$ . Thus it is enough to prove that

$$(10) \quad J_{\Psi}(X_0, X_1)^{\times} \hookrightarrow K_{\Psi+}(X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times}).$$

First, we observe that for every  $t > 0$  and  $x^{\times} \in X_0^{\times} + X_1^{\times}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, x^{\times}; (X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times})) &= \|x^{\times}\|_{(X_0^{\times} + tX_1^{\times})} = \|x^{\times}\|_{(X_0 \cap t^{-1}X_1)^{\times}} \\ &= \sup\left\{ \frac{|\langle x, x^{\times} \rangle|}{J(t^{-1}, x; \vec{X})}; x \in X_0 \cap X_1, x \neq 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Given non-negative  $x^\times \in J_\Psi(X_0, X_1)^\times \hookrightarrow X_0^\times + X_1^\times$ , we can find a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  with  $x_n \in X_0 \cap X_1$ , such that  $\langle x_n, x^\times \rangle \geq 0$  and

$$(11) \quad K(2^{-n}, x^\times; \vec{X}^\times) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\langle x_n, x^\times \rangle}{J(2^n, x_n; \vec{X})}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now fix  $\eta = \{\eta_n\}$  in the unit ball of  $\Psi$  and define a sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  in  $X_0 \cap X_1$  by  $u_n := J(2^n, x_n; \vec{X})^{-1} |\eta_n| x_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\eta \in \Psi \hookrightarrow \ell^1 + \ell^1(2^{-n})$ , it holds  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \min\{1, 2^{-n}\} |\eta_n| < \infty$ .

This implies that for some  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \|u_n\|_{X_0+X_1} &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 \|u_n\|_{X_0+X_1} + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|u_n\|_{X_0+X_1} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 \frac{\|x_n\|_{X_0}}{J(2^n, x_n; \vec{X})} |\eta_n| + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{2^n \|x_n\|_{X_1}}{J(2^n, x_n; \vec{X})} \frac{|\eta_n|}{2^n} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 |\eta_n| + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{|\eta_n|}{2^n} = \|\eta\|_{\ell^1 + \ell^1(2^{-n})} \leq \gamma \|\eta\|_\Psi \leq \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

and so the series  $\sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty u_n$  converges in  $X_0 + X_1$ . We let

$$x_\eta := \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty u_n.$$

Since  $J(2^n, u_n; \vec{X}) = |\eta_n|$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x_\eta \in J_\Psi(\vec{X})$  with

$$\|x_\eta\|_{J_\Psi(\vec{X})} \leq \|\eta\|_\Phi.$$

Combining the above estimate with (11), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty K(2^{-n}, x^\times; \vec{X}^\times) \eta_n \right| &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \langle x_n, x^\times \rangle J(2^n, x_n; \vec{X})^{-1} |\eta_n| \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon) \left\langle \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty J(2^n, x_n; \vec{X})^{-1} x_n |\eta_n|, x^\times \right\rangle \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon) \left\langle \sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty u_n, x^\times \right\rangle \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x_\eta\|_{J_\Psi(\vec{X})} \|x^\times\|_{J_\Psi(\vec{X})^\times} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|x^\times\|_{J_\Psi(\vec{X})^\times}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\eta \in \Psi$  with  $\|\eta\|_\Psi \leq 1$  were arbitrary, it follows that  $x^\times \in K_{\Psi^+}(X_0^\times, X_1^\times)$  and

$$\|x^\times\|_{K_{\Psi^+}(X_0^\times, X_1^\times)} \leq \|x^\times\|_{J_\Psi(\vec{X})^\times}.$$

This yields the required continuous inclusion (10), which completes the proof.  $\square$

Theorem 9 implies the description of the Köthe dual functor of the functor  $J_E$ .

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $E$  be a parameter of the  $J$ -method and let  $\Phi := J_E(\ell^\infty, \ell^\infty(2^{-n}))$ . Then  $K_{\Psi^+}$  with  $\Psi := K_\Phi(\ell^1, \ell^1(2^{-n}))$  is the Köthe dual functor of  $J_E$  on any couple  $(X_0, X_1)$  of maximal Banach lattices on a measure space, that is,*

$$J_E(X_0, X_1)^\times = K_{\Psi^+}(X_0^\times, X_1^\times),$$

where the constants of equivalence of norms are independent of  $(X_0, X_1)$ .

*Proof.* From [7, Corollary 3.5.16] it follows for any relatively complete Banach couple  $(Y_0, Y_1)$  that

$$J_E(Y_0, Y_1) = K_\Phi(Y_0, Y_1),$$

where  $\Phi := J_E(\ell^\infty, \ell^\infty(2^{-n}))$ . Since any couple of maximal Banach lattices is relatively complete, the required statement follows from Theorem 9.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section, namely, we characterize interpolating sequences of interpolation spaces with respect to the couple of weighted Lebesgue spaces. We note here that for some Banach couples all interpolation spaces are  $K$ -spaces and so they can be parameterized by the parameters of the  $K$ -method. An important example of such couples was presented in [27], where it was proved that the couple  $(L^{p_0}(w_0), L^{p_1}(w_1))$  of weighted Lebesgue spaces on any measure is a uniform Calderón couple. Then by [7, Lemma 4.1.12], it follows that all interpolation spaces with respect to  $(L^{p_0}(w_0), L^{p_1}(w_1))$  are  $K$ -spaces. Recall that the Banach couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  is called a *uniform Calderón couple* if there exists  $\gamma = \gamma(\vec{X}) \geq 1$  such that for any  $x, y \in X_0 + X_1$  satisfying the inequality

$$K(t, y; \vec{X}) \leq K(t, x; \vec{X}), \quad t > 0,$$

it follows that there exists an operator  $T: \vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{X}$  with  $\|T\|_{\vec{X} \rightarrow \vec{X}} \leq \gamma$  such that  $y = Tx$ .

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $\{\lambda_j\}$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $\mathbb{D}$  and let  $X$  be minimal and maximal r.i. space on  $\mathbb{T}$ , such that  $X$  is an interpolation space with respect to the couple  $(L^{p_0}(\mathbb{T}), L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T}))$  for some  $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $p_0 < p_1$ . Then there exists a parameter  $\Phi$  of the  $K$ -method such that*

$$\{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H(X)\} = K_\Phi(\ell^{p_0}(\nu), \ell^{p_1}(\nu)),$$

*if and only if  $\{\lambda_j\}$  is uniformly separated.*

*Proof.* The couple  $\vec{X} = (L^{p_0}(\mathbb{T}), L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T}))$  is the uniform Calderón couple of maximal spaces. Thus by the results mentioned above there exists a parameter  $\Phi$  of the  $K$ -method such that

$$X = K_\Phi(L^{p_0}(\mathbb{T}), L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T})).$$

Therefore, Theorems 7 and 9 apply and yield the desired conclusion.  $\square$

We conclude this section with the following surprising result, which is – in a sense – a reverse statement to Theorem 7.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a uniformly separated sequence in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Then for every separable maximal r.i. space  $E$  over a measure space  $(\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, \nu_\lambda)$  there exists a separable maximal r.i. space  $X$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that*

$$\{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H(X)\} = E,$$

*and so, the Carleson operator  $T_\lambda: H(X) \rightarrow E$  is a bounded surjection.*

*Proof.* As usual we let  $\nu := \nu_\lambda$ . Since  $E$  is separable, it is an interpolation space between  $\ell^1(\nu)$  and  $\ell^\infty$ . From [8] it follows that  $(\ell^1(\nu), \ell^\infty)$  is a uniform Calderón–Mityagin couple. Thus by [7, Lemma 4.1.12] there exists a parameter  $\Phi$  of the  $K$ -method such that

$$E = K_\Phi(\ell^1(\nu), \ell^\infty).$$

We consider an exact interpolation functor  $F := K_\Phi$  chosen in such a way that  $X$  given by

$$X := F(L^1(\mathbb{T}), L^\infty(\mathbb{T}))$$

is a separable maximal r.i. space on  $\mathbb{T}$ . For any couple of Banach lattices on a measure space we have

$$F(X_0, X_1)^\times = K_\Phi(X_0, X_1)^\times.$$

Therefore, it follows from Theorem 9 that  $G := K_{\Psi^+}$  with  $\Psi := K_\Phi(\ell^1, \ell^1(2^{-n}))$  is the Köthe dual functor to  $F$  on couples of maximal Banach lattices. Now Theorem 7 yields

$$\{\{f(\lambda_j)\}; f \in H(X)\} = F(\ell^1(\nu), \ell^\infty) = K_\Phi(\ell^1(\nu), \ell^\infty) = E,$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 7. APPLICATIONS FOR SPECIAL HARDY SPACES

In this final part of the paper we apply the obtained results to some important examples of r.i. spaces and in particular, we get a description of the interpolating sequences for Hardy–Lorentz and Hardy–Orlicz spaces. At first, we start with a brief *résumé* on the Calderón–Lozanovskii construction since it will be used throughout this section.

We recall that if  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  is a couple of Banach lattices on  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $\rho: [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a concave and positively homogeneous function, then the *Calderón–Lozanovskii space*  $\rho(\vec{X}) = \rho(X_0, X_1)$  consists of all  $f \in L^0(\mu)$  such that  $|f| \leq \kappa \rho(|f_0|, |f_1|)$   $\mu$ -a.e on  $\Omega$  for some  $\kappa > 0$  and  $f_k \in X_k$  with  $\|f_k\|_{X_k} \leq 1$ ,  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ . The space  $\rho(\vec{X})$  is a Banach lattice equipped with the norm (see [19, 20])

$$\|f\|_{\rho(\vec{X})} = \inf \{ \kappa > 0; |f| \leq \kappa \rho(|f_0|, |f_1|), \|f_0\|_{X_0} \leq 1, \|f_1\|_{X_1} \leq 1 \}.$$

In the case of the power function  $\rho(s, t) = s^{1-\theta}t^\theta$  with  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\rho(\vec{X})$  is the well-known Calderón space denoted by  $X_0^{1-\theta}X_1^\theta$  (see [8]).

We will use an easily verified formula true for any concave and positively homogeneous functions  $\rho, p \in [1, \infty]$ , and all weighted sequence  $w_0 = \{w_n^0\}$ ,  $w_1 = \{w_n^1\}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$

$$(12) \quad \rho(\ell^p(w_0), \ell^{p_1}) = \ell^p(w),$$

where  $w = \{\rho_*(w_n^0, w_n^1)\}$  and  $\rho_*(s, t) := 1/\rho(s^{-1}, t^{-1})$  for every  $s, t > 0$ .

We will also apply Lozanovskii's duality formula from [20], which states for any couple  $(X_0, X_1)$  of Banach lattices on a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,

$$\rho(X_0, X_1)^\times = \widehat{\rho}(X_0^\times, X_1^\times)$$

up to equivalence of norms independent of  $\rho$ , where  $\widehat{\rho}(s, t) = \inf_{a, b > 0} \frac{as+bt}{\rho(a, b)}$  for all  $s, t > 0$ .

Let  $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a concave function and  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  any measure space. We define the *Lorentz space*  $\Lambda_\phi = \Lambda_\phi(\mu)$  to be the space of all  $f \in L^0(\mu)$  such that  $\int_0^\infty f^*(s) d\phi(s) < \infty$ . This is a maximal r.i. space when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_\phi(\mu)} = \int_0^\infty f^*(s) d\phi(s).$$

In the theorem below we present a description of interpolating sequences for *Hardy–Lorentz spaces*  $H(\Lambda_\phi)$  generated by separable Lorentz spaces  $\Lambda_\phi(m)$  on  $(\mathbb{T}, m)$ .



**Theorem 13.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $\mathbb{D}$  and let  $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a concave function. Then the Carleson operator  $T_\lambda$  is a bounded surjection from the Hardy–Lorentz space  $H(\Lambda_\phi)$  onto the Lorentz space  $\Lambda_\phi(\nu)$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated.*

*Proof.* If  $\phi(0+) > 0$ , then  $\Lambda_\phi = L^\infty$  and so  $H(\Lambda_\phi) = H^\infty$  from which the result follows by the Carleson theorem. In the case when  $\phi(0+) = 0$ , it follows that  $\Lambda_\phi(m)$  is order continuous and so is minimal and maximal. Thus, by Lemma 2,  $H(\Lambda_\phi)$  has the analytic Fatou property. We let  $\psi(s, t) = \frac{t}{\phi(t/s)}$  for all  $s, t \in (0, \infty)$  and take a concave function  $\rho \sim \psi$ . Then by formula (12)

$$\rho(\ell^1, \ell^1(2^{-n})) = \ell^1(w),$$

where  $w := \{2^{-n}\phi(2^n)\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$ . This shows that  $E := \ell^1(w)$  is the parameter of the  $J$ -method.

Using the standard properties of the Lorentz spaces (see [5]) it is not difficult to show that for any measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$

$$\Lambda_\phi(\mu) = J_E(L^1(\mu), L^\infty(\mu)),$$

where  $E$  is defined as above. In particular, we get that

$$\Lambda_\phi(m) = J_E(L^1(m), L^\infty(m)).$$

Now the characterization of interpolating sequences for the Hardy–Lorentz spaces follows from the description of the Köthe dual functor to  $J_E$  (see Theorem 10) and Theorem 7.  $\square$

Next we discuss an application for Hardy spaces generated by an order continuous part of the Marcinkiewicz space  $M_\phi(m)$  on  $(\mathbb{T}, m)$ . If  $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a concave function and  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a measure space, then the *Marcinkiewicz space*  $M_\phi(\mu)$  consists of those  $f \in L^0(\mu)$  for which  $\sup\{\phi(t) f^{**}(t); t > 0\} < \infty$ . This is an r.i. space equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{M_\phi(\mu)} = \sup\{\phi(t) f^{**}(t); t > 0\}.$$

The symbol  $M_\phi^\circ(\mu)$  will denote the closure of simple functions in  $M_\phi(\mu)$ .

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a uniformly separated sequence of distinct points in  $\mathbb{D}$  and assume that  $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a concave function continuous at 0. Then the Carleson operator  $T_\lambda$  is bounded from the Hardy–Marcinkiewicz space  $H(M_\phi^\circ)$  into the space  $M_\phi^\circ(\nu)$ .*

*Proof.* We note that  $\phi(0+) = 0$  implies  $M_\phi \neq L^\infty$ . Thus  $M_\phi^\circ = (M_\phi)_a$  has an order continuous norm. By the same argument as in the proof of the previous theorem we have (with the same  $\rho$  and  $\psi$ )

$$\rho(\ell^\infty, \ell^\infty(2^{-n})) = \ell^\infty(\rho_*(1, 2^{-n})) = \ell^\infty(w),$$

where  $w = \{2^{-n}\phi(2^n)\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$ . Hence  $\Phi = \ell^\infty(w)$  is the parameter of the  $K$ -method.

By applying the well-known formula for the  $K$ -functional for the couple  $(L^1(\mu), L^\infty(\mu))$  on any measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  (see for example [6, Theorem 5.2.1]) we get that for every  $f \in L^1(\mu) + L^\infty(\mu)$

$$K(t, f; (L^1(\mu), L^\infty(\mu))) = \int_0^t f^*(s) ds, \quad t > 0.$$

In consequence

$$M_\phi(\mu) = K_\Phi(L^1(\mu), L^\infty(\mu)).$$

Now we consider an exact interpolation functor  $F := K_{\Phi}^{\circ}$  and apply the formula above to obtain that

$$F(L^1(\mu), L^{\infty}(\mu)) = M_{\Phi}^{\circ}(\mu)$$

and

$$F(\ell^1(\nu), \ell^{\infty}) = M_{\Phi}^{\circ}(\nu).$$

We finish in a similar fashion as at the end of the proof of Theorem 7 with  $p_0 = 1$  and  $p_1 = \infty$ .  $\square$

We conclude the paper with the description of interpolating sequences for Hardy–Orlicz spaces. Recall that if  $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is an Orlicz function (that is,  $\varphi(s) = 0$  if and only if  $s = 0$ ,  $\varphi$  is nondecreasing convex and left continuous), then the Orlicz space  $L_{\varphi}$  over a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is defined to be the space of all  $f \in L^0(\mu)$  with  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi(\gamma|f|) d\mu < \infty$  for some  $\gamma > 0$  and it is equipped with the Luxemburg norm

$$\|f\|_{L_{\varphi}} = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0; \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(\frac{|f|}{\varepsilon}\right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If  $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$  and  $\Sigma = 2^{\Omega}$ , the Orlicz space  $L_{\varphi}(\mu)$  is called an Orlicz sequence space and it is usually denoted by  $\ell_{\varphi}(\mu)$ . We note that the Orlicz space  $L_{\varphi}(\mu)$  over a finite measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is order continuous whenever  $\varphi$  satisfies the so-called  $\Delta_2$  condition at infinity (that is, there exist  $\gamma > 0$  and  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $\varphi(2t) \leq \gamma \varphi(t)$  for all  $t \geq t_0$ ). If  $X = L_{\varphi}(\mathbb{T})$ , the space  $H(X)$  is denoted by  $H_{\varphi}$ .

We are ready to state and prove the following characterization of interpolating sequences for Hardy–Orlicz spaces.

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $\lambda = \{\lambda_j\}$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $L_{\varphi}(\mathbb{T})$  be a minimal Orlicz space. Then the Carleson operator  $T_{\lambda}$  given by  $T_{\lambda}f = \{f(\lambda_j)\}$ ,  $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ , is a bounded surjection from  $H_{\varphi}$  onto  $\ell_{\varphi}(\nu)$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is uniformly separated.*

*Proof.* Following [23], for any concave function  $\rho: (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ , we let  $a_{\rho} = \{\rho(1, 2^n)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  and we define the lower functor  $\rho_{\ell}$  by

$$\rho_{\ell}(X_0, X_1) := \{Ta_{\rho}: (\ell^{\infty}, \ell^{\infty}(2^{-n})) \rightarrow (X_0, X_1); T: (\ell^{\infty}, \ell^{\infty}(2^{-n})) \rightarrow (X_0, X_1)\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\rho_{\ell}(\vec{X})} := \inf \{ \|T\|_{(\ell^{\infty}, \ell^{\infty}(2^{-n})) \rightarrow \vec{X}}; x = Ta_{\rho} \}.$$

The Ovchinnikov result from [23] states that for any couple  $\vec{X} = (X_0, X_1)$  of maximal Banach lattices it holds that

$$\rho_{\ell}(X_0, X_1) = \rho(X_0, X_1),$$

and the constants of equivalence of norms are independent of  $\vec{X}$ .

We combine this result with the Lozanovskii formula on the Köthe duality to get

$$\rho_{\ell}(X_0, X_1)^{\times} = \widehat{\rho}(X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times}) = (\widehat{\rho})_{\ell}(X_0^{\times}, X_1^{\times}).$$

Thus  $(\widehat{\rho})_{\ell}$  is the Köthe dual functor of  $\rho_{\ell}$  on  $\vec{X}$ .

Now we note that it is easy to verify that for any measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$

$$L_{\varphi}(\mu) \cong \rho(L^1(\mu), L^{\infty}(\mu)),$$

where

$$\rho(s, t) = \begin{cases} t\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right), & t > 0, \\ 0, & t = 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $\varphi^{-1}$  is the right continuous inverse of  $\phi$ .

We consider the exact interpolation functor  $F := (\rho_\ell)^\circ$ . Then by the formula above we have

$$F(L^1(\mathbb{T}), L^\infty(\mathbb{T})) = L_\varphi(\mathbb{T})^\circ \cong L_\varphi(\mathbb{T})$$

and

$$F(\ell^1(\nu), \ell^\infty) = \ell_\varphi(\nu)^\circ \cong \ell_\varphi(\nu).$$

To finish the proof we apply Theorem 7 with  $p_0 = 1$  and  $p_1 = \infty$ , to get the required equivalence.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** Mieczysław Mastyło was supported by the National Science Centre, Poland, Grant no. 2019/33/B/ST1/00165; Paweł Mleczko was supported by the National Science Center (Narodowe Centrum Nauki), Poland (project no. 2017/26/D/ST1/00060); Mikael Lindström was partially supported by the Academy of Finland project 296718.

Competing interests: The authors declare none.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy, *Pick interpolation and Hilbert function spaces*, American Mathematical Society, Providence Rhode Island 2002.
- [2] É. Amar, *Extension de fonctions holomorphes et courants*, Bull. Sc. Math. 107 (1983), 25–48.
- [3] C. Ambrosie and V. Müller, *Invariant subspaces for polynomially bounded operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 213 (2004), 321–345.
- [4] G. P. Akilov and L. V. Kantorovich, *Functional analysis*, 2nd edition, Pergamon Press, Oxford-Elmsford, N.Y., 1982.
- [5] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Academic Press, Boston 1988.
- [6] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1976.
- [7] Yu. A. Brudnyi and N. Ya. Krugljak, *Interpolation functors and interpolation spaces I*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1991.
- [8] A. P. Calderón, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math. 24 (1964), 113–190.
- [9] L. Carleson, *An interpolation problem for analytic functions*, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 921–930.
- [10] D. N. Clark, *On interpolating sequences and the theory of Hankel and Toeplitz matrices*, J. Funct. Anal. 5 (1970), 247–258.
- [11] P. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  spaces*, Academic Press, New York 1970.
- [12] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Springer, New York 2007.
- [13] T. T. Georgiou and M. C. Smith, *Graphs, causality, and stabilizability: linear, shift-invariant systems on  $L^2[0, \infty)$* , Math. Control Signals Systems, 6 (1993), no. 3, 195–223.
- [14] A. Hartmann, *The generalized Carleson condition in certain spaces of analytic functions*, Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Banach Algebras, University of Tübingen, Blaubeuren, Germany, July 20 – August 3, 1997 Berlin, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin 1998, 245–260.
- [15] A. Hartmann, *Free interpolation in Hardy–Orlicz spaces*, Studia Math. 135 (1999), 179–190.
- [16] K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 1962.
- [17] P. W. Jones, *Interpolation between Hardy spaces*, Conference on harmonic analysis in honor of Antoni Zygmund, Vol. I, II (Chicago, Ill., 1981), 437–451, Wadsworth Math. Ser., Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1983.
- [18] S. G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin, and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Tranl. Math. Monogr., vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI 1982.

- [19] G. Ya. Lozanovskii, *On some Banach lattices IV*, Sibirsk. Mat. Z. 14 (1973), 140–155 (in Russian). English transl.: Siberian. Math. J. 14 (1973), 97–108.
- [20] G. Ya. Lozanovskii, *Transformations of ideal Banach spaces by means of concave functions*, in: Qualitative and approximate methods for the investigation of operator equations, Yaroslavl 1978, 122–147 (in Russian).
- [21] M. Mastyło and L. Rodríguez-Piazza, *Carleson measures and embeddings of abstract Hardy spaces into function lattices*, J. Funct. Anal. 268 (2015), 902–928.
- [22] T. Nakazi, *Interpolation of weighted  $\ell^q$  sequences by  $H^p$  functions*, Taiwan. J. Math. 9 (2005), no. 3, 457–467.
- [23] V.I. Ovchinnikov, *The method of orbits in interpolation theory*, Math. Rep. 1 (1984), no. 2, i–x and 349–515.
- [24] A. P. Schuster and K. Seip, *A Carleson-type condition for interpolation in Bergman spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 497 (1998), 223–233.
- [25] K. Seip *Interpolation and sampling in spaces of analytic functions*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, United States 2004.
- [26] H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, *On some interpolation problems for analytic functions* Amer. J. Math. 83 (1961), no. 3, 513–532.
- [27] G. Sparr, *Interpolation of weighted spaces*, Studia Math. 62 (1978), 229–271.
- [28] B. A. Taylor and D. L. Williams, *Interpolation of  $\ell^q$  sequences by a  $H^p$  functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1972), no. 1, 181–186.
- [29] Q. Xu, *Notes on interpolation of Hardy spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 42 (1992), 875–889.

(Lindström) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ÅBO AKADEMI UNIVERSITY. FI-20500 ÅBO, FINLAND  
*Email address:* mikael.lindstrom@abo.fi

(Mastyło) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY POZNAŃ,  
 UNIwersYTETU POZNAŃSKIEGO 4, 61-614 POZNAŃ, POLAND  
*Email address:* mastylo@amu.edu.pl

(Mleczko) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY POZNAŃ,  
 UNIwersYTETU POZNAŃSKIEGO 4, 61-614 POZNAŃ, POLAND  
*Email address:* pml@amu.edu.pl

(Norrbo) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ÅBO AKADEMI UNIVERSITY. FI-20500 ÅBO, FINLAND  
*Email address:* dnorrbo@abo.fi

(Rzeczkowski) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY POZNAŃ,  
 UNIwersYTETU POZNAŃSKIEGO 4, 61-614 POZNAŃ, POLAND  
*Email address:* rzeczkow@amu.edu.pl