



This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Effects of Ginger on Disgust, Sexual Arousal, and Sexual Engagement

Wen, Guangju; Zhang, Yikang; Nyman, Thomas J.; Jern, Patrick; Santtila, Pekka

Published in: Journal of Sex Research

DOI: 10.1080/00224499.2023.2175191

E-pub ahead of print: 21/02/2023

Document Version Accepted author manuscript

Document License CC BY-NC

Link to publication

Please cite the original version: Wen, G., Zhang, Y., Nyman, T. J., Jern, P., & Santtila, P. (2023). Effects of Ginger on Disgust, Sexual Arousal, and Sexual Engagement: A Placebo-Controlled Experiment. *Journal of Sex Research*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2023.2175191

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 2	Effects of ginger on disgust, sexual arousal and sexual engagement: A placebo-controlled experiment
3	Guangju Wen ^{a,b,}
4	Yikang Zhang ^{c,d}
5	Thomas J. Nyman ^b
6	Patrick Jern ^e
7	Pekka Santtila ^{a,b}
8 9	^a School of Psychology and Cognitive Science, East China Normal University, Shanghai, People's Republic of China
10	^b Faculty of Arts and Sciences, NYU Shanghai, Shanghai, People's Republic of China
11 12	^c Faculty of Psychology and Neuroscience, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands.
13 14	^d NYU-ECNU Institute for Social Development, NYU Shanghai, Shanghai, People's Republic of China.
15	^e Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland
16	
17	Corresponding author: Pekka Santtila
18 19	School of Psychology and Cognitive Science, East China Normal University, Shanghai/Faculty of Arts and Sciences, NYU Shanghai, Shanghai
20	E-mail: pekka.santtila@nyu.edu
21	Key Words: Ginger, Sexual Arousal, Disgust, Sexual Dysfunction, Testosterone, Body Fluids,
22	Trait Characteristics
23	
24	Acknowledgments
25	The authors would like to thank Yikang Zhang and Qianhui Gao for their powerful help on
26	materials preparation. The authors also wish to thank Dr. Li for the use of picture database and
21	Chengshi Huang for his help on investigation.
∠8 29	
43	

1 2

Effects of ginger on disgust, sexual arousal, and sexual engagement: A placebo-controlled experiment

3 Abstract:

Sexual problems are common complaints across countries and cultures, and behavioral 4 5 immune system theory suggests disgust plays an essential role in sexual functioning. The 6 current study investigated 1) if disgust induced by sexual body fluids would reduce sexual 7 arousal, reduce the likelihood of sexual engagement, and enhance disgust toward the 8 subsequent erotic stimuli, and 2) if the administration of ginger would affect these reactions. We administered either ginger or placebo pills to a sample of 247 participants ($M_{age} = 21.59$, 9 10 SD = 2.52; 122 women) and asked them to complete either behavioral approach tasks with 11 sexual body fluids or with neutral fluids. Next, participants viewed and responded to 12 questions concerning erotic stimuli (nude and semi-nude pictures of opposite-sex models). As expected, the sexual body fluids tasks induced disgust. The elevated disgust induced by 13 14 sexual body fluids tasks resulted in lower sexual arousal in women, whereas ginger 15 consumption counteracted this inhibiting effect of disgust on sexual arousal. Disgust elicited 16 by sexual body fluids also increased disgust toward the subsequent erotic stimuli. Ginger 17 increased sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli in both men and women who had 18 completed the neutral fluids tasks. Findings provide further evidence of the role of disgust in 19 sexual problems, and, importantly, that ginger may improve the sexual function of individuals 20 via its sexual arousal-enhancing effect.

21



23

1 Introduction

2 Sexuality is an essential part of human beings and sexual problems are associated with a series of negative outcomes, including depression, anxiety, personal distress, as 3 4 well as low well-being, and have negative effects on sexual and relationship satisfaction in couples (Hendrickx et al., 2014; Laurent & Simons, 2009; McCabe & 5 6 Connaughton, 2017; Sanchez-Zarza et al., 2020). Lack of sexual desire is a common sexual difficulty and the risk of low sexual desire increases as people age (Araujo et 7 al., 2004; Bacon et al., 2003; Khani et al., 2021). In fact, 30-50% of women (for a 8 9 recent review, see Khani et al., 2021) and 20-30% of men (Brotto et al., 2012; Laumann et al., 2005; Mercer et al., 2003) report low sexual desire. However, when 10 11 the specific severity and duration diagnostic criteria from the Diagnostic and 12 Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) are applied, the prevalence rates 13 markedly reduce (4.2%; Laumann et al., 2005; Mitchell et al., 2016). Given the prevalence and the widespread negative impact of low sexual desire on individuals' 14 15 physical and psychological health, it is important to investigate the underlying factors and find effective ways of restoring sexual function. 16

17 Disgust and sexual function

Disgust likely evolves as a behavioral immune system reaction to avoid contagion 18 19 risk (Crosby et al., 2019; Hlay et al., 2021; Koleva et al., 2012; Oaten et al., 2009). 20 However, maladapted disgust reactions are a potential cause of sexual problems through decreasing individuals' sexual desire, sexual arousal, and increasing sexual 21 avoidance (Al-Shawaf et al., 2019; Crosby et al., 2019; Fleischman et al., 2015). In 22 23 fact, research has found an association between disgust and sexual problems, especially in women (de Jong et al., 2013). For example, women with vaginismus 24 reported higher disgust propensity and increased disgust reactions to sexual stimuli 25

(e.g., automatic associations with disgust and enhanced subjective disgust; Borg et al.,
 2010, 2011; de Jong et al., 2009).

Experimental investigations in non-clinical populations have also found that 3 4 disgust inhibited sexual arousal and increased avoidance of sexual stimuli. For example, research has shown that disgust reduced the subjective feelings of sexual 5 arousal toward sexual stimuli (Fleischman et al., 2015), reduced objectively measured 6 7 genital sexual arousal (Andrews et al., 2015), and increased intentions to use condoms (Tybur et al., 2011). Besides experimental manipulation of disgust, trait disgust 8 9 sensitivity, which has been divided into moral disgust, sexual disgust, and pathogen 10 disgust (Tybur et al., 2011), has also been found to be related to individuals' sexual 11 function. For example, studies have found that moral disgust and sexual disgust 12 suppressed potentially risky sexual behaviors such as not using condoms or having a 13 large number of sexual partners (Zhang et al., 2017), whereas core disgust sensitivity, dispositional concerns about disease (Duncan et al., 2009; Olatunji et al., 2008), was 14 15 associated with risky sexual decision-making such as the willingness to have sex with sex workers (Oaten et al., 2019). 16

17 The behavioral immune system theory suggests that sexual stimuli can induce both sexual arousal and disgust, given that sexual intercourse includes exchanges of 18 19 body fluids such as saliva and semen that increase the likelihood of transmitting 20 infection (Ackerman et al., 2018; Crosby et al., 2019; de Jong et al., 2013; Stevenson 21 et al., 2011). The risk is particularly salient during sexual interactions, as the bodily apertures involved in sexual interactions (such as the mouth or the vagina) present 22 23 high contamination risk (Rozin et al., 1995). According to de Jong et al. (2013), a healthy sexual function loop occurs when sexual arousal outweighs disgust from 24 sexual stimuli and facilitates sexual approach behavior. In contrast, if individuals 25

experience higher disgust than sexual arousal, a sexually dysfunctional loop (disgust
 inhibiting sexual arousal and increasing sexual avoidance behavior) can result.

3 However, although limited research has explored the association between disgust 4 and sexual arousal (Borg & de Jong, 2012; Borg et al., 2019; Stevenson et al., 2011), the stimuli used to induce sexual disgust (pictures of scars on naked women, 5 6 disgusting odor containing elements similar to skunk odor, rotten garlic, and smelly 7 feet, or a behavioral approach task to lubricate a vibrator with one's own hands) do not adequately match the contamination risk related to stimuli during actual sexual 8 9 encounters. Therefore, the first aim of this experiment was to test this hypothesis by 10 examining whether body fluids that specifically relate to sexual activity induce 11 feelings of disgust, which could in turn inhibit the sexual arousal toward and 12 willingness to sexually engage with subsequently presented erotic stimuli.

13 **Potential effect of ginger on sexual function**

As suggested by de Jong et al. (2013), a sexual dysfunction loop occurs when 14 15 disgust overwhelms sexual excitation. Hence, the down-regulation of disgust might be a potentially effective pathway to prevent sexual problems from occurring. Several 16 17 studies have found that ginger can be used to reduce nausea (Marx et al., 2013, 2017; Toth et al., 2018). Ginger can reduce both the incidence and severity of nausea and 18 19 vomiting during chemotherapy (Li et al., 2018; Thamlikitkul et al., 2017), in surgical 20 patients (Montazeri et al., 2013; Toth et al., 2018), in pregnant women (Lete & Allue, 2016) as well as in patients undergoing antiretroviral therapy (Dabaghzadeh et al., 21 22 2014), but other evidence shows that the antiemetic effects of ginger are not 23 statistically significant compared to placebo (Li et al., 2018; Toth et al., 2018). Additionally, research has also found that ginger can reduce psychological disgust as 24 well as subsequent moral condemnation (severity of participants' judgments of 25

moderately severe purity violations, e.g., "A man who is not in a romantic
relationship orders an inflatable sex doll that looks like his secretary"; Tracy et al.,
2019). Due to the suppressing effect of disgust on sexual arousal, we hypothesized
that ginger might reduce disgust toward sexual body fluids and toward the erotic
stimuli directly, thereby counteracting the inhibitory effects of disgust on sexual
arousal toward and willingness to sexually engage with subsequently presented erotic
stimuli.

8 Previous research on the effects of ginger on sexual function in humans is limited. 9 Only two studies have been conducted previously, one involving men with erectile dysfunction and one involving women with decreased sexual desire. Specifically, the 10 11 first experimental study found that ginger consumption improved erectile function and 12 sexual satisfaction in older men with mild erectile dysfunction after 30-day treatment 13 with ginger (Stein et al., 2018). However, the lack of a placebo control group in this study limits the conclusions that can be drawn. Shabanian et al. (2018) conducted a 14 15 placebo-controlled study to compare the effects of ginger, cinnamon, rose drops, and placebo on the sexual function of depressed women with decreased sexual desire. 16 17 Results showed that compared with baseline, participants reported significant improvements in desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, and satisfaction (five subscales 18 19 of the Female Sexual Function Index; Rosen et al., 2000) after treatment with ginger 20 for two months. Regarding the differences between ginger and placebo groups, the improvement in desire and arousal (differences between baseline and scores after two 21 months of intervention) in the ginger group was higher than the placebo group. 22 23 However, women in the placebo group also had significant improvements in arousal and showed non-significant improvements in lubrication (p = .069) and satisfaction (p24 = .054) compared to baseline. This appears to provide evidence of efficacy for 25

1 secondary sexual problems given that depressed people are two to three times more 2 likely to develop sexual dysfunction than those without depression (Bonierbale et al., 2003; Shabanian et al., 2018). Given that there are significant sex differences in 3 4 disgust and sexual arousal reactions (Crosby et al., 2021), as well as differences in sexual desire level and sexual arousal patterns between men and women (Basson, 5 6 2002; Santtila et al., 2008), placebo-controlled experiments recruiting both men and women without health problems or healthy people with sexual complaints are needed 7 8 to further explore the effect of ginger on sexual function and compare the treatment 9 effect of ginger in men and women.

10 Moderation of the effects of sexual body fluids by sex and ginger

11 We also expected that sex and ginger could moderate the effects of sexual body 12 fluids on the feelings toward subsequent erotic stimuli. According to the sexual 13 function loop model suggested by de Jong et al. (2013), disgust propensity and sexual excitability moderate the strength of sexual arousal and disgust toward sexual stimuli. 14 15 Due to differences in minimum obligatory costs of parental investment between men and women (Bjorklund & Kipp, 1996; Trivers, 1972) as well as women's higher 16 17likelihood of being infected by a sexually transmitted infection (Coombs et al., 2003; Panchanadeswaran et al., 2006), and of being sexually assaulted (Schou-Bredal et al., 18 19 2022), women are expected to display higher disgust sensitivity, higher sexual 20 inhibition, and lower sexual excitation (Crosby et al., 2021). Previous studies have found that men scored higher on measures of sexual excitation and lower on measures 21 22 of sexual inhibition compared to women, while women reported higher disgust 23 sensitivity and disgust propensity compared to men (Crosby et al., 2021). Therefore, we expected that women would experience more disgust from sexual body fluids than 24 men, and that the inhibiting effect of sexual body fluids on sexual arousal toward and 25

willingness to sexually engage with subsequent erotic stimuli would be stronger in
women than in men. Given that ginger was expected to reduce feelings of disgust
toward sexual body fluids, we hypothesized that ginger would reduce feelings of
disgust induced by sexual body fluids, thereby attenuating the inhibiting effects of
sexual body fluids on sexual arousal toward and willingness to sexually engage with
subsequently presented erotic stimuli.

7 The Current Study

8 Our main hypotheses were as follows:

9 H1: Exposing participants to sexual body fluids will result in feelings of disgust.
10 This disgust-eliciting effect of sexual body fluids will be stronger in women than in
11 men.

H1b: Ginger will attenuate this disgust-eliciting effect of sexual body fluids, with
 the effect being weaker in those who consumed ginger than those who consumed
 placebo.

H2: Exposing participants to sexual body fluids will also result in feelings of
 sexual arousal. This sexual arousal-eliciting effect of sexual body fluids will be
 stronger in men than in women.

H2b: Ginger will enhance this sexual arousal-eliciting effect of sexual body fluids,
with the effect being stronger in those who consumed ginger than those who
consumed placebo.

H3: Sexual arousal elicited by erotic stimuli and willingness to sexually engage with the persons in erotic stimuli will be reduced if preceded by exposure to sexual body fluids. This inhibiting effect of sexual body fluids will be stronger in women than in men.

H3b: Ginger will attenuate this inhibiting effect of sexual body fluids, with the

effect being weaker in those who consumed ginger than those who consumed placebo.
 H4: The level of disgust elicited by erotic stimuli will be increased if preceded by
 exposure to sexual body fluids. This disgust-eliciting effect of sexual body fluids will
 be stronger in women than in men.

5 H5: Finally, ginger will also directly increase sexual arousal, increase the
6 likelihood of sexual engagement, and directly decrease disgust elicited by erotic
7 stimuli.

8 Method

9 Participants

The final sample included 247 Chinese participants (125 men) with a mean age of
 21.59 ± 2.52 recruited at East China Normal University and through social media (e.g.,
 QQ, WeChat). Other background information is presented in Table 1.

[Table 1 near here]

13

14 The inclusion criteria were: 1) older than 18; 2) Chinese (nationality); 3)

15 heterosexual; 4) not a psychology student/researcher; 5) not pregnant or currently

16 breastfeeding; 6) have eaten ginger before and have no allergy against ginger; 7) no

17 Rhinitis, nasosinusitis or other illness that has those symptoms, such as fever, cough,

and others; 8) without severe physical or mental illness (e.g., hepatic and renal

19 dysfunction, anemia or diabetes). Four participants who participated in the lab

20 experiment did not answer the online questionnaire the next day. Data from twelve

21 participants who reported being heterosexual in the screening test but reported their

22 orientation as non-heterosexual in the online questionnaire were removed.

23 *Materials and measures*

24 *Study edibles:*

25 Ginger: Ginger powder in gelatin-coated capsules with a total dose of 1500mg

ginger was administered to the participants in the experimental group to attain the
 anti-emetic effect (Tracy et al., 2019).

Calcium: An equivalent dose of 1500mg calcium in capsules was administered to
 the participants in the placebo group.

5

Erotic stimuli material

6 The erotic stimuli consisted of eight 1-minute erotic videos (four videos 7 presenting static pictures of nude and semi-nude Asian male models for female 8 participants and four videos presenting static pictures of nude and semi-nude Asian 9 female models for male participants). Each video included 15 pictures (seven or eight 10 were pictures of nude models) and each picture was presented for 4 seconds.

The pictures used were derived from a Chinese erotic picture database and have been shown to successfully induce feelings of sexual arousal in Chinese populations (Cui et al., 2020). We selected 120 sexually arousing pictures randomly from this database (60 nude models and 60 semi-nude models). The usage of the pictures was approved by the owner.

16

Behavioral Approach Tasks

A behavioral approach task paradigm was used to induce sexuality-related disgust. To induce these feelings, we created four behavioral tasks that the participants in the sexual body fluids group were asked to carry out. The tasks ostensibly involved body fluids related to sexual activities, including sweat (sweat from the head and neck), saliva, body odor (sweat from an armpit), semen (only for female participants), and vaginal secretions (only for male participants). Participants were asked to smell and touch the body fluids of the opposite sex while wearing plastic gloves.

The four ostensibly disgusting body fluids were all fake. Specifically, sweat and body odor used the same fluids but with different labels. They were made of a mixture

1 of water and an odorless, watery, and yellowish skin care product. We placed a few 2 drops of this mixture on the surface of a cotton ball. Water was used for fake saliva. We used a plastic spray bottle to spray the water into the dish so that this fake saliva 3 4 would include small bubbles, thus making it appear more realistic. Semen-like lubricant (white) gel, used frequently in adult videos, was used to achieve the effect of 5 6 fake semen, while colorless and transparent lubricant was used for vaginal secretions. 7 All these stimuli were put in a dish with a label, indicating the type of fluid. In 8 contrast, four neutral fluids (sweet water, saline water, soda water, and water) were 9 used for participants in the control group. These four neutral fluids were real. 10 Each task included three steps with the participant being asked to 1) Observe the fluid in the dish; 2) Lift the dish and smell the fluid; and 3) Touch the fluid using their 11 12 finger (while wearing a plastic glove). After finishing each task, the PANAS-R was

13 used to assess participants' feelings (see Measures).

14 Introductory videos for fluids in behavior approach tasks

For each fluid in the tasks, we recruited two research assistants (a man and a woman) to record two 30-second introductory videos to introduce fake information concerning how we acquired the fluids (https://osf.io/89m2k/). The content of each of the two videos was the same, the only difference being the gender of the research assistant in the videos. We presented the female version of the videos (recorded by a female research assistant) to the male participants, while we showed the male version of the videos (recorded by a male research assistant) to the female participants.

For the introductory videos of sweat, we recorded the process where the assistants wiped the sweat on their forehead, face, and neck using a cotton ball and put the ball into a dish. For the introductory videos of body odors, the assistants put the cotton ball under their armpit and took it out after 15 seconds (we informed the participants in writing that the cotton ball had been placed under the armpit for 5 minutes) and then placed it in a dish. Semen and vaginal secretions (lubricant) were put in a medical sterile sampling cup. In the introductory video for the semen sample, the video displayed a research assistant who pipetted and dropped a sample of "semen" from a sterile sampling cup into a dish. In the video for vaginal secretions sample, the video displayed a research assistant who took the secretions out of the medical sterile sampling cup using a cotton swab.

As for the water and soda water, we recorded the assistants pouring some water or soda water into a beaker and then dropping small amounts of water/soda water into the dish via dropper. Regarding the saline water and sweet water, the videos included the assistants pouring salt or sugar as well as water into the beaker, and dropping some mixtures into the dish after stirring well via a glass rod.

13 Neutral stimuli

Three BBC movies were selected (Snow Bears; Wonders of the Moon; Earth from Space) and participants would watch these films randomly when they were waiting for the administered treatment to take effect. *Snow Bears* presents a migration journey of polar bear cubs and their mother; *Wonders of the Moon* shows detailed images of the moon and gives descriptions on how it shapes life on Earth. *Earth from Space* tells stories of life on Earth from a new perspective using cameras in space.

20 Measures

Background information questions included sex, age, relationship status, sexual orientation, education, occupation, relationship length, monthly income, general physical health, and the lifetime number of sexual partners (see Table 1 for response options).

25

Positive and Negative Affect Schedule-Revised (The PANAS-R; Watson et al.,

1988)

2	The PANAS-R is a self-report questionnaire that consists of two 10-item scales to
3	measure both positive and negative affects. Each item is rated on a 5-point scale of 1
4	(not at all) to 5 (very much). To measure participants' affects after viewing the videos
5	and completing the behavior approach tasks, we selected 9 items (including 4 positive
6	items, 4 negative items, and 1 neutral item) from the PANAS and added two
7	additional items (disgusting, sexually arousing). Only items measuring disgust and
8	sexual arousal after completing the behavior approach tasks and viewing the erotic
9	stimuli were used in the data analysis, while measurement of other items was used to
10	disguise our experiment's purpose.
11	Likelihood of sexual engagement
12	After viewing the erotic stimuli, the participants had to mark their intention on a
13	graphic slider that ranged from 0 (not at all) to 10 (very) to indicate their likelihood of
14	sexual engagement ("If now an attractive opposite-sex you met in the videos wants to
15	have sex with you, how likely are you to accept this invitation?").
16	Measures of trait characteristics
17	The Sexual Desire Inventory developed by Spector et al. (SDI; 1996) was used to
18	measure people's dyadic (α = .90) and solitary (α = .78) sexual desire. The Sexual
19	Inhibition/Sexual Excitation Scales – Short Form (SIS/SES-SF; Janssen et al., 2020)
20	was used to measure participants' sexual inhibition 1 (Inhibition because of the threat
21	of performance failure; $\alpha = .29$), sexual inhibition 2 (Inhibition because of the threat
22	of performance consequences; $\alpha = .62$), and sexual excitation ($\alpha = .80$).
23	The Disgust Propensity and Disgust Sensitivity Scale (DPDSS; van Overveld et
24	al., 2006) was used to measure the disgust propensity ($\alpha = .78$) and disgust sensitivity
25	$(\alpha = .74)$ of participants. The Three-Domain Disgust Scale (TDDS; Tybur et al., 2009)

1	was used to measure participants' pathogen disgust ($\alpha = .68$), sexual disgust ($\alpha = .82$),
2	and moral disgust (α = .75). The Disgust Scale-Revised (DS-R; Olatunji et al., 2007)
3	was used to measure individual differences in disgust sensitivity ($\alpha = .78$).

4 *Procedure*

The study was advertised as an experiment exploring the effects of different food 5 on individuals' emotions. The potential participants needed to answer a survey that 6 7 we used to assess their eligibility. In addition, to avoid any unexpected affects, the participants were asked not to drink/take alcohol, coffee, or other drugs and not to 8 9 have masturbated or engaged in any other sexual activities for at least 12 hours before 10 the experiment. Eligible participants were informed about the nature of the tasks 11 (taking 1.5g of one of the fifteen food items and finishing the behavior approach tasks) 12 and questionnaires they needed to complete.

The experiment took place in a quiet lab with a public working area and two small rooms. These two small rooms were equipped with computers and were used for the formal experiment. The lab is close to the East China Normal University hospital and all the research assistants as well as experimenters received CPR (cardiopulmonary resuscitation) training to avoid any unwanted health risks (e.g., allergic reactions against ginger).

After reading and signing the consent form, participants were assigned a participation ID and were administered the ginger pills or placebos randomly. This was a double-blind experiment. Ginger pills and placebo were put in two different bottles with different labels ("N.2" and "N.3") assigned by a person not involved in this experiment. Microsoft Excel created a random number for each participant, with participants having even numbers taking the pills in the bottle labelled "N.2" (ginger). Previous studies regarding the absorption of ginger as well as ginger's efficacy on nausea reduction suggested a delay of 30 min to 1 hour before testing its efficacy
(Jiang et al., 2008; Lien et al., 2003; Tracy et al., 2019). To allow the ginger pills to
be absorbed completely, each participant was asked to have a rest for 1 hour after
taking the pills. During this rest time, they were asked to watch a sample video
showing the process of the later formal experiment (around 10 mins) and a BBC
movie (around 50 mins).

7 One hour later after taking the pills, the participants went back to the lab and started the formal experiment. There were eight dishes and a laptop on the table in 8 9 each small room. Four dishes held the neutral fluids and had labels with numbers 10 $(1\sim4)$, while the other four dishes held body fluids and had labels with letters $(A\sim D)$. 11 All the processes in the lab were presented via Qualtrics[©] software 12 (www.qualtrics.com). The participants answered two background questions recording 13 their assigned participation ID and the label of the pills ("N.2" or "N.3") they had eaten and then were assigned to the sexual body fluids tasks group or the neutral 14 15 fluids tasks group randomly by *Qualtrics*. Next, the participants were asked to finish the corresponding four behavioral tasks in their group one by one. 16 17 For each behavioral task, the participants were first asked to complete the PANAS-R measuring their baseline emotion, and then they were informed of the type 18 19 of the fluid in this round and viewed the introductory video for the corresponding 20 fluid (e.g., "In this round, you need to smell and touch water; now watch the video 21 below and learn how we get this fluid"). Then, they were informed to open the corresponding dish and complete the task (e.g., "The dish with label "N.1" held water, 22 23 now please uncover the dish and finish the following tasks: 1) pick up the dish and

observe; 2) move the dish close to your nose and smell the fluid; 3) put the dish down,

25 wear a plastic glove and touch the fluid with your finger; 4) now please put the dish

1 back and take off the glove"). After touching the fluid, they were asked to complete 2 the PANAS-R again to measure their disgust and sexual arousal after receiving our manipulation. They were then asked to view a 1-min erotic stimulus aimed at eliciting 3 4 sexual arousal. After viewing the erotic stimuli, they needed to answer the PANAS-R again as well as a one-item scale measuring their likelihood of sexual engagement. In 5 short, participants needed to complete one behavioral approach task and then view 6 7 one erotic stimulus in each behavior task. A flowchart of the experiment is presented 8 in Figure 1.

9

[Figure 1 near here]

10 Following this, all tasks in the lab were over and the participants were asked to

11 complete an online survey measuring their trait characters via *Wenjuanxing*

12 (www.wjx.cn; a popular Chinese online survey website) the next day. At the end of

the survey, we debriefed the participants and explained the purpose of the study and
 the deception used.

15 Data analyses

All data analyses using simple mixed linear model were conducted in IBM SPSS 16 17v25.0. In these mixed linear models, participants' IDs were used as Subjects variables and the different task types were used as Repeated variables. Random factors 18 19 measuring individual differences including trait characteristics were not included in 20 these models because we ran the exploratory moderation analyses to explore if and 21 how trait characteristics affect the effects of ginger. Bonferroni corrections were used 22 for multiple comparisons in mixed linear models and p-values less than .05 indicated 23 a nominal significance.

A series of 2 (Task type: Sexual body fluids tasks vs. Neutral fluids tasks) x 2
(Ginger: Yes vs. No) x 2 (Sex: Women vs. Men) mixed linear models were conducted

1 to explore 1) if the sexual body fluids tasks successfully induced feelings of disgust 2 and if sex and ginger would moderate this disgust-eliciting effect of sexual body 3 fluids tasks, 2) if the sexual body fluids tasks also induced feelings of sexual arousal and if sex and ginger would moderate this sexual arousal-eliciting effect of sexual 4 body fluids tasks, 3) if the sexual body fluids tasks would inhibit feelings of sexual 5 arousal and the likelihood of sexual engagement toward the subsequent erotic stimuli 6 7 and if sex and ginger would moderate this inhibiting effect of sexual body fluids tasks, 4) if the sexual body fluids tasks would enhance feelings of disgust toward subsequent 8 9 erotic stimuli and if sex would moderate this disgust-enhancing effect of sexual body fluids tasks. 10

11 The sexual body fluids tasks were expected to affect feelings and reactions toward 12 subsequent erotic stimuli. To eliminate the effects of sexual body fluids tasks on 13 feelings and reactions toward the erotic stimuli, a series of 2 (Ginger: Yes vs. No) x 2 (Sex: Women vs. Men) mixed linear models restricting the data only to participants 14 15 who completed the neutral fluids tasks were conducted to explore if ginger would increase sexual arousal, increase the likelihood of sexual engagement, and directly 16 17 decrease disgust elicited by erotic stimuli and if sex would moderate the effect of ginger. 18

19

Ethical approval and pre-registration

20 The current study received approval from the Institutional Review Board of New

21 York University Shanghai (Approval Number is 2021-019-NYUSH-Zhongbei).

22 Before the data collection began, a pre-registration was submitted to

23 ASPREDICTED.COM (https://aspredicted.org/ci59t.pdf).

24 **Results**

25 Attrition

1 None of the participants dropped out of the experiment.

2	H1: Do sexual body fluids induce feelings of disgust?
3	Table 2 presents the means and standard deviations of feelings and reactions
4	toward the fluids tasks and the erotic stimuli grouped by task type (Sexual body fluids
5	tasks vs. Neutral fluids tasks), ginger (Yes vs. No), and sex (Men vs. Women).
6	[Table 2 near here]
7	Table 3 presents the effects of task type and the moderating effects of sex and
8	ginger from the mixed linear models.
9	[Table 3 near here]
10	There was a main effect of task type on feelings of disgust toward the fluids tasks
11	($p < .001$). Participants who completed the sexual body fluids tasks reported more
12	disgust than those who completed the neutral fluids tasks. This was in line with our
13	Hypothesis 1.
14	There was also a significant interaction between sex and task type on feelings of
15	disgust ($p < .001$), which was in line with Hypothesis 1. Post hoc analyses showed
16	that both men ($p < .001$) and women ($p < .001$) who completed the sexual body fluids
17	tasks reported more disgust than those who completed the neutral fluids tasks.
18	However, women who completed the sexual body fluids tasks reported more disgust
19	than men who completed the sexual body fluids tasks ($p < .001$), while there was no
20	sex difference among participants who completed the neutral fluids tasks ($p = .629$).
21	H1b: Does ginger attenuate this disgust-eliciting effect of sexual body fluids?
22	There was no interaction between task type and ginger ($p = .893$) or between task
23	type, ginger, and sex ($p = .325$) on feelings of disgust, which did not support
24	Hypothesis 1b.
25	H2: Do sexual body fluids induce feelings of sexual arousal?

1 There was also a main effect of task type (p = .002) and a significant interaction 2 between task type and sex (p < .001) on feelings of sexual arousal toward the fluids 3 tasks, supporting Hypothesis 2. Men who completed the sexual body fluids tasks 4 reported stronger sexual arousal than men who completed the neutral fluids tasks (p = .001), while there was no task type difference in women (p = .723).

6 H2b: Does ginger enhance this sexual arousal-eliciting effect of sexual body

7 fluids?

8 There were significant interactions between task type and ginger (p = .036), and 9 between task type, ginger, and sex (p = .007). Men who completed the sexual body 10 fluids tasks reported stronger sexual arousal than men who completed the neutral 11 fluids tasks (p < .001) in the ginger group, while there was no task type difference in 12 men who consumed the placebo (p = .368). There was no task type difference in women who consumed the ginger (p = .575) or the placebo (p = .961). 13 H3: Do sexual body fluids inhibit feelings of sexual arousal and the likelihood of 14 15 sexual engagement toward the subsequent erotic stimuli? H3.1 Do sexual body fluids inhibit feelings of sexual arousal toward the erotic 16 17 stimuli? There was no main effect of task type on feelings of sexual arousal (p = .097) or 18

19 interaction between task type and sex (p = .142), which did not support Hypothesis 3,

20 although the means were in the expected direction. Participants who completed the

- sexual body fluids tasks showed a non-significant tendency to report weaker sexual
- arousal than those who completed the neutral fluids tasks.

23 H3.1b Does ginger attenuate this inhibiting effect of sexual body fluids on sexual

24 *arousal toward the erotic stimuli?*

25 There was no significant interaction between task type and ginger (p = .405),

1	whereas there was a significant interaction between task type, ginger, and sex (p
2	= .036), supporting Hypothesis H3b. Post hoc analyses showed that women who
3	completed the sexual body fluids tasks reported weaker sexual arousal toward the
4	erotic stimuli than women who completed the neutral fluids tasks ($p = .032$; see
5	Figure 2) in the placebo group, whereas there was no task type difference in women
6	who consumed ginger ($p = .345$). There was no task type difference in men who
7	consumed the placebo ($p = .167$) or ginger ($p = .118$).
8	[Figure 2 near here]
9	H3.2 Do sexual body fluids inhibit the likelihood of sexual engagement toward the
10	erotic stimuli?
11	There was no main effect of task type on the likelihood of sexual engagement with
12	the subsequent erotic stimuli ($p = .404$) or significant interaction between task type
13	and sex ($p = .562$), which did not support Hypothesis 3.
14	H3.2b Does ginger attenuate this inhibiting effect of sexual body fluids on the
15	likelihood of sexual engagement toward the erotic stimuli?
16	There was no significant interaction between task type and ginger ($p = .319$),
17	whereas there was a significant interaction between task type, ginger, and sex (p
18	< .001). Post hoc analyses showed that women who completed the sexual body fluids
19	tasks reported higher likelihood of sexual engagement than women who completed
20	the neutral fluids tasks ($p = .002$; see Figure 3) in the ginger group, whereas there was
21	no task type difference in women who consumed the placebo ($p = .114$). There was no
22	task type difference in men who consumed the placebo ($p = .141$) or ginger ($p = .228$).
23	[Figure 3 near here]
24	H4: Do sexual body fluids enhance feelings of disgust toward the erotic stimuli?
25	There was a main effect of task type on feelings of disgust toward the erotic

1	stimuli ($p = .001$), which supported Hypothesis 4. Participants who completed the
2	sexual body fluids tasks reported more disgust than those who completed the neutral
3	fluids tasks.
4	There was no significant interaction between task type and sex ($p = .101$),
5	between task type and ginger ($p = .731$), or between task type, ginger, and sex (p
6	= .607) on feelings of disgust toward the erotic stimuli.
7	H5: Does ginger affect feelings and reactions toward the subsequent erotic
8	stimuli?
9	Table 4 presents the effects of ginger and the moderating effects of sex on feelings
10	toward the erotic stimuli from the mixed linear models.
11	[Table 4 near here]
12	There was a main effect of ginger on feelings of sexual arousal toward the erotic
13	stimuli ($p = .04$), which was in line with Hypothesis 5. Participants who consumed
14	ginger reported stronger feelings of sexual arousal than those who consumed placebo
15	(see Figure 4). There was no significant interaction between ginger and sex ($p = .293$).
16	There was no main effect of ginger or interaction between ginger and sex on the
17	likelihood of sexual engagement ($p = .719$; $p = .122$) or disgust ($p = .230$; $p = .145$)
18	toward the erotic stimuli.
19	[Figure 4 near here]
20	Discussion
21	We employed a novel paradigm using (fake) sexual body fluids encountered
22	during sexual activities to explore if increases in feelings of disgust induced by such
23	fluids could decrease feelings of sexual arousal, decrease the likelihood of sexual
24	engagement, and increase disgust toward subsequent erotic stimuli. We also
25	investigated whether sex and administration of ginger could moderate these effects of

task type. Exploratory analyses were conducted to explore if ginger could affect
 feelings and reactions toward the erotic stimuli.

3 Disgust and sexual arousal induced by sexual body fluids

4 Participants who completed the sexual body fluids tasks reported higher levels of disgust, which was consistent with our expectations. The exchange of sexual body 5 6 fluids (e.g., saliva, sweat, semen, and vaginal fluids) carries contagion risk which 7 might activate the behavioral immune system, increasing feelings of disgust to activate avoidance (Crosby et al., 2019; Stevenson et al., 2011). Sexual body fluids 8 9 are also perceived as powerful disgust elicitors in non-sex-related situations (Borg & de Jong, 2012; Rozin et al., 1995). We found that this manipulation requiring the 10 participants to smell and touch the sexual body fluids also induced feelings of sexual 11 12 arousal in men. These results were in line with the behavioral immune system theory, 13 suggesting that sexual stimuli can induce both disgust and sexual arousal (Crosby et al., 2019; de Jong et al., 2013). 14

The effect of sexual body fluids on subsequent sexual arousal and the likelihood of sexual engagement

17 Although disgust induced by sexual body fluids did not reduce feelings of sexual arousal and the likelihood of sexual engagement toward the erotic stimuli as we had 18 19 expected, we did find that participants who completed the sexual body fluids task 20 reported non-significantly weaker sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli compared to those who completed the neutral fluid tasks (p = .097). The lack of significant 21 22 findings are surprising given that a series of studies have shown that sexual arousal 23 and disgust have a strong negative relationship with up-regulated disgust, inhibiting sexual arousal and increasing sexual avoidance behavior toward sexual stimuli 24 (Andrews et al., 2015; Borg & de Jong, 2012; Fleischman et al., 2015). Research has 25

found that women report less sexual arousal toward erotic stimuli if they have first
been exposed to disgusting pictures (Fleischman et al., 2015) and men also have a
lowered genital sexual arousal response after having been exposed to a highly
disgusting odor (Borg et al., 2019). One possible explanation for this failure would be
that the intensity of disgust induced by sexual body fluids was not strong enough to
down-regulate the sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli.

7 We also found that sex and ginger moderated the effect of task type on feelings of sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli. Women who completed the sexual body 8 9 fluids tasks reported weaker sexual arousal than women who completed the neutral 10 fluids task in the placebo group, as we had expected, whereas in women who 11 consumed ginger there was no task type difference. Contrary to our hypothesis, we 12 found that in the ginger group, women who completed the sexual body fluids tasks 13 reported higher likelihood of sexual engagement compared to women who completed the neutral fluids tasks, while in the placebo group there was no task type difference 14 15 in women. These findings indicated that ginger might affect the effect of sexual body fluids on sexual arousal and the likelihood of sexual engagement toward the erotic 16 17stimuli. Given that there was no effect of ginger on feelings of disgust or sexual arousal toward the sexual body fluids in either women or men, the possible 18 19 mechanism still remains unclear but it could possibly be that ginger affected the 20 process where disgust affected feelings and reactions toward the erotic stimuli rather 21 than directly decreasing experienced disgust induced by sexual body fluids. 22 In addition, sexual body fluids failed to affect the feelings of sexual arousal or

likelihood of sexual engagement toward erotic stimuli in men who consumed the
ginger or the placebo; sex differences in feelings toward sexual body fluids may be
one of the possible reasons. Parental investment theory suggests that when choosing

1 their potential partner, the sex that invests more in their offspring would be more 2 selective because of the higher potential costs from sexual activity (Bjorklund & Kipp, 3 1996; Trivers, 1972). As a result, women may have developed higher disgust and 4 sexually inhibiting tendencies, while men may have developed more sensitive reactions to sexual stimuli. In line with this, men were more likely to experience 5 higher sexual arousal and lower disgust when exposed to sexual body fluids. We 6 7 found that women experienced only increased feelings of disgust toward sexual body fluids, whereas men experienced both sexual arousal and disgust toward sexual body 8 9 fluids, which both would have an impact on feelings and reactions toward subsequent erotic stimuli. 10 Another reason why the effects of task type on men and women differed may be 11 12 that the erotic stimuli used for men and women in the current study were different. 13 Moderation by sex on erotic stimuli could be an artifact as a result of the different

stimuli sets, which limits the conclusions about sex differences that can be drawn.

15 The effect of sexual body fluids on subsequent disgust toward erotic stimuli

Results showed that participants who completed the sexual body fluids tasks 16 17reported more disgust toward the erotic stimuli than those who completed the neutral fluids tasks. The increase in disgust toward the erotic stimuli after receiving disgust 18 19 manipulation may indicate how the negative relationship between sexual arousal and 20 disgust works. Disgust induced by sexual body fluids increased feelings of disgust 21 toward the erotic stimuli, acting as a priming disgusting cue or the accumulative effect of disgust from sexual body fluids to the erotic stimuli. This increase in disgust 22 23 toward the erotic stimuli would reduce sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli. In other words, disgust caused by sexual body fluids could not only interfere with 24 feelings of sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli directly, but it could also reduce 25

1 sexual arousal indirectly via the mediating effect of disgust toward the erotic stimuli. 2 A corresponding pattern should exist when exploring the inhibiting effect of sexual arousal on sex-related disgust. Specifically, sexual arousal induced by erotic stimuli 3 4 would be expected to increase feelings of sexual arousal toward sexually disgusting stimuli because, similar to a priming effect, the prior sexual arousal would allow 5 people to extract sex-relevant information rapidly and easily. This increase in sexual 6 7 arousal toward the sexually disgusting stimuli would then reduce disgust toward the 8 sexually disgusting stimuli.

9 The effect of ginger on feelings and reactions toward the erotic stimuli

10 As expected, we found that ginger increased the feelings of sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli in both men and women who completed the neutral fluids tasks. 11 12 These findings were consistent with previous evidence suggesting that consumption 13 of ginger may have a positive effect on human sexual function, including improvement in self-reported erectile function and intercourse satisfaction in older 14 15 men (Stein et al., 2018) and better sexual function in depressed women with low sexual desire (Shabanian et al., 2018). However, ginger did not reduce feelings of 16 17disgust toward sexual body fluids or decreased disgust toward the erotic stimuli, which was not in line with our hypotheses. Although post hoc analyses showed that 18 19 women who consumed ginger showed a non-significant tendency to report less 20 disgust toward erotic stimuli than women who consumed placebo (p = .06), this decrease in disgust might be due to the disgust-inhibiting effects of elevated sexual 21 22 arousal caused by ginger consumption.

The mechanism of the effects of ginger on sexual function has not yet been
investigated systematically. Previous evidence has shown that ginger can reduce
physical vomiting and nausea as well as subjective feelings of disgust in humans (Li

1 et al., 2018; Thamlikitkul et al., 2017; Tracy et al., 2019). Thus, the consumption of 2 ginger was expected to reduce disgust elicited by sexual body fluids and erotic stimuli, 3 thereby increasing sexual arousal and sexual behaviors (D model). However, in 4 contrast to our hypothesis, ginger had no effect on feelings of disgust toward the erotic stimuli (only a non-significant reduction in women) and sexual body fluids. 5 6 One possible reason was that ginger acts on disgust in ways that are not reflected in 7 the conscious experience of disgust. Ginger has been proven to be an effective antiemetic and could directly suppress physical nausea and vomiting by interfering 8 9 with the 5-HT₃ signaling pathway and inhibiting activation of human 5-HT₃A and 5-10 HT₃AB receptors (resulting in physical nausea and vomiting; Marx et al., 2015; Toth et al., 2018; Walstab et al., 2013), which may, in turn, decrease subjective feelings of 11 12 disgust indirectly.

13 Given the increase in sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli as well as the lack of change in disgust, a more probable mechanism would be that ginger increased sexual 14 15 arousal and sexual behaviors via its enhancing effects on testosterone, which then inhibited the feelings of disgust during sexual activities due to the negative interaction 16 17 between sexual arousal and disgust. Testosterone has been associated with individuals' sexual behaviors, and it has been suggested that testosterone could 18 19 improve men's and women's sexual desire, sexual behaviors, and sexual functions (de 20 Jong et al., 2013; Lunenfeld et al., 2015; Panay et al., 2010). Despite the fact that the impact of ginger on testosterone has not been confirmed in humans, empirical 21 evidence has found a robust effect of ginger on testosterone in non-human mammals 22 23 (Banihani, 2018), which suggests the possibility of ginger's effect on human sexuality via its enhancing effects on testosterone. However, we did not measure testosterone in 24 the current study and therefore further investigation regarding the effect of ginger on 25

1 testosterone in humans is needed.

2 Another reason why the effects of ginger were weak could be that participants with sexual problems would benefit more from ginger compared to healthy 3 4 participants without sexual problems. The only two experiments focusing on the 5 effects of ginger on sexual functioning were conducted in men aged 50-68 years with 6 mild erectile dysfunction (Stein et al., 2018) and depressed women with decreased sexual desire (Shabanian et al., 2018). Our exploratory analyses (see non-peer-7 8 reviewed Appendix A in the online supplementary material; https://osf.io/89m2k/) 9 showed that participants with vulnerable traits (high disgust propensity and disgust 10 sensitivity and low sexual desire), which were closely related to sexual problems 11 (Crosby et al., 2019; de Jong et al., 2013; Nowosielski et al., 2021; Quinta-Gomes et 12 al., 2021), who consumed ginger were more likely to report less disgust, stronger 13 sexual arousal, and a higher likelihood of sexual engagement toward the erotic stimuli compared to participants who consumed the placebo. However, the lack of 14 15 recruitment of participants with sexual problems in this study means that further 16 research is needed.

17 Strengths and Limitations

The current experiment is the first to have used sex-related body fluids as disgustinducing stimuli to explore the suppressing effect of disgust on sexual arousal. We also measured both sexual arousal and disgust for disgust elicitors and erotic stimuli. This allowed us to explore how sexual arousal and disgust interact. In addition, the placebo-controlled design in healthy men and women also overcomes the limitations of previous studies. Further, we advanced two possible pathways on how ginger affects sexual behaviors and functioning of individuals.

25 The present study had some limitations. First, all participants in our experiment

1 were heterosexual, and most of them were university students, which limits our ability 2 to generalize the findings to other populations. Future experiments should include participants with a wider range of ages and sexual orientations. Second, the sexual 3 4 body fluids we used were fake. The authenticity of the body fluids may have been doubted by some participants, which may have reduced the effectiveness of the 5 6 manipulation. Further experiments should investigate whether the participants believe 7 the sexual body fluids in the tasks are real. Also, this was a repeated measurement design in which participants completed the tasks one by one. The feelings of sexual 8 9 arousal toward the erotic stimuli from a prior trial could affect feelings of disgust 10 toward the sexual body fluids in the next trial. Moreover, due to restrictions on the use 11 of sexual stimuli in China, the erotic stimuli we used only presented nude and semi-12 nude pictures of models, which might not induce sexual arousal in all participants. In 13 addition, men and women watched different erotic stimuli, which makes the interpretation of sex differences in feelings toward the erotic stimuli difficult. Future 14 15 experiments should consider using the same set and more sexually explicit stimuli 16 across sexes.

17 Conclusion

Sexual problems are common complaints for both men and women, negatively 18 19 affecting individuals' quality of life and well-being. The current study showed that 20 sexual body fluids successfully induced disgust and resulted in a stronger disgust 21 reaction toward the subsequent erotic stimuli. Sexual body fluids reduced sexual 22 arousal toward subsequent erotic stimuli presented to women who consumed placebo but increased the likelihood of sexual engagement in women who consumed ginger. 23 Administering ginger enhanced sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli in both men 24 25 and women. Ginger may be a potential treatment for sexual problems, while long-

- 1 term interventions are needed to test the effects of ginger on sexual function in people
- 2 with and without sexual difficulties.
- 3 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors report no conflicts of interest in this study.
- 4 **Funding:** This study is supported by New York University Shanghai Internal Funding
- 5 under Grant [Startup 10109STARTUPFUND NYU Shanghai].
- 6 **Data availability statement.** The authors confirm that the data supporting the
- 7 findings of this study are available in its supplementary materials.

8

1 References

2	Ackerman, J. M., Hill, S. E., & Murray, D. R. (2018). The behavioral immune system:
3	Current concerns and future directions. Social and Personality Psychology
4	Compass, 12(2), e12371. https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12371
5	Al-Shawaf, L., Lewis, D. M. G., Ghossainy, M. E., & Buss, D. M. (2019).
6	Experimentally inducing disgust reduces desire for short-term mating.
7	Evolutionary Psychological Science, 5(3), 267–275.
8	https://doi.org/10.1007/s40806-018-0179-z
9	Andrews, A. R., Crone, T., Cholka, C. B., Cooper, T. V., & Bridges, A. J. (2015).
10	Correlational and experimental analyses of the relation between disgust and
11	sexual arousal. Motivation and Emotion, 39(5), 766–779.
12	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-015-9485-y
13	Araujo, A. B., Mohr, B. A., & McKinlay, J. B. (2004). Changes in sexual function in
14	middle-aged and older men: Longitudinal data from the Massachusetts Male
15	Aging Study. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 52(9), 1502–1509.
16	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0002-8614.2004.52413.x
17	Bacon, C. G., Mittleman, M. A., Kawachi, I., Giovannucci, E., Glasser, D. B., &
18	Rimm, E. B. (2003). Sexual function in men older than 50 years of age:
19	Results from the health professionals follow-up study. Annals of Internal
20	Medicine, 139(3), 161–168. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-139-3-
21	200308050-00005
22	Banihani, S. A. (2018). Ginger and testosterone. <i>Biomolecules</i> , 8(4), 119.
23	https://doi.org/10.3390/biom8040119
24	Bjorklund, D. F., & Kipp, K. (1996). Parental investment theory and gender
25	differences in the evolution of inhibition mechanisms. Psychological Bulletin,

1

120(2), 163–188. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.120.2.163

2 Bonierbale, M., Lançon, C., & Tignol, J. (2003). The ELIXIR study: Evaluation of sexual dysfunction in 4557 depressed patients in France. Current Medical 3 4 *Research and Opinion*, 19(2), 114–124. https://doi.org/10.1185/030079902125001461 5 6 Borg, C., & de Jong, P. J. (2012). Feelings of disgust and disgust-induced avoidance weaken following induced sexual arousal in women. PLOS One, 7(9). 7 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044111 8 9 Borg, C., de Jong, P. J., & Schultz, W. W. (2010). Vaginismus and dyspareunia: Automatic vs. deliberate disgust responsivity. The Journal of Sexual Medicine, 10 7(6), 2149–2157. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-6109.2010.01800.x 11 12 Borg, C., de Jong, P. J., & Schultz, W. W. (2011). Vaginismus and dyspareunia: 13 Relationship with general and sex-related moral standards. The Journal of Sexual Medicine, 8(1), 223-231. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-14 15 6109.2010.02080.x Borg, C., Hinzmann, J., Heitmann, J., & de Jong, P. J. (2019). Disgust toward sex-16 relevant and sex-irrelevant stimuli in pre-, early, and middle adolescence. The 17*Journal of Sex Research*, *56*(1), 102–113. 18 19 https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2018.1445694 20 Borg, C., Oosterwijk, T. A., Lisy, D., Boesveldt, S., & de Jong, P. J. (2019). The influence of olfactory disgust on (genital) sexual arousal in men. PLOS One, 21 14(2). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213059 22 23 Borg, C., Pawlowska, A., van Stokkum, R., Georgiadis, J. R., & de Jong, P. J. (2020). The influence of sexual arousal on self-reported sexual willingness and 24 automatic approach to models of low, medium, and high prior attractiveness. 25

1 *The Journal of Sex Research*, *57*(7), 872–884.

2	https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2019.1687641
3	Brotto, L. A., Woo, J. S. T., & Gorzalka, B. B. (2012). Differences in sexual guilt and
4	desire in East Asian and Euro-Canadian men. The Journal of Sex Research,
5	49(6), 594-602. https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2011.618956
6	Coombs, R. W., Reichelderfer, P. S., & Landay, A. L. (2003). Recent observations on
7	HIV type-1 infection in the genital tract of men and women. AIDS, 17(4),
8	455-480. https://doi.org/10.1097/00002030-200303070-00001
9	Crosby, C. L., Buss, D. M., Cormack, L. K., & Meston, C. M. (2021). Sex, sexual
10	arousal, and sexual decision making: An evolutionary perspective. Personality
11	and Individual Differences, 177, 110826.
12	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2021.110826
13	Crosby, C. L., Buss, D. M., & Meston, C. M. (2019). Sexual disgust: Evolutionary
14	perspectives and relationship to female sexual function. Current Sexual Health
15	Reports, 11(4), 300-306. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11930-019-00219-6
16	Cui, Q., Wang, Z., Zhang, Z., & Li, Y. (2021). The East Asian erotic picture dataset
17	and gender differences in response to opposite-sex erotic stimuli in Chinese
18	college students. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 648271.
19	https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.648271
20	Dabaghzadeh, F., Khalili, H., Dashti-Khavidaki, S., Abbasian, L., & Moeinifard, A.
21	(2014). Ginger for prevention of antiretroviral-induced nausea and vomiting:
22	A randomized clinical trial. Expert Opinion on Drug Safety, 13(7), 859-866.
23	https://doi.org/10.1517/14740338.2014.914170
24	de Jong, P. J., van Overveld, M., & Borg, C. (2013). Giving in to arousal or staying
25	stuck in disgust? Disgust-based mechanisms in sex and sexual dysfunction.

1	<i>The Journal of Sex Research</i> , <i>50</i> (3–4), 247–262.
2	https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2012.746280
3	de Jong, P. J., van Overveld, M., Schultz, W. W., Peters, M. L., & Buwalda, F. M.
4	(2009). Disgust and contamination sensitivity in vaginismus and dyspareunia.
5	Archives of Sexual Behavior, 38(2), 244–252. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-</u>
6	<u>007-9240-x</u>
7	Duncan, L. A., Schaller, M., & Park, J. H. (2009). Perceived vulnerability to disease:
8	Development and validation of a 15-item self-report instrument. Personality
9	and Individual Differences, 47(6), 541–546.
10	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2009.05.001
11	Fleischman, D. S., Hamilton, L. D., Fessler, D. M. T., & Meston, C. M. (2015).
12	Disgust versus lust: Exploring the interactions of disgust and fear with sexual
13	arousal in women. PLOS One, 10(6).
14	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118151
15	Hendrickx, L., Gijs, L., & Enzlin, P. (2014). Prevalence rates of sexual difficulties
16	and associated distress in heterosexual men and women: Results from an
17	internet survey in Flanders. The Journal of Sex Research, 51(1), 1–12.
18	https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2013.819065
19	Hlay, J. K., Albert, G., Batres, C., Richardson, G., Placek, C., Arnocky, S.,
20	Lieberman, D., & Hodges-Simeon, C. R. (2021). The evolution of disgust for
21	pathogen detection and avoidance. Scientific Reports, 11(1), 13468.
22	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91712-3
23	Janssen, E., Carpenter, D., Graham, C., Vorst, H., & Wicherts, J. (2020). The Sexual
24	Inhibition/Sexual Excitation Scales-Short Form. In R. R. Milhausen, J. K.
25	Sakaluk, T. D. Fisher, C. M. Davis, & W. L. Yarber (Eds.), Handbook of

1

Sexuality-Related Measures (pp. 77-80). Routledge.

2 Jiang, S., Wang, N., & Mi, S. (2008). Plasma pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution of [6]-gingerol in rats. Biopharmaceutics & Drug Disposition, 29(9), 529-537. 3 4 https://doi.org/10.1002/bdd.638 Khani, S., Azizi, M., Elyasi, F., Kamali, M., & Moosazadeh, M. (2021). The 5 6 prevalence of sexual dysfunction in the different menopausal stages: A 7 systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Sexual Health, 33(3), 439–472. https://doi.org/10.1080/19317611.2021.1926039 8 9 Koleva, S. P., Graham, J., Iyer, R., Ditto, P. H., & Haidt, J. (2012). Tracing the threads: How five moral concerns (especially purity) help explain culture war 10 attitudes. Journal of Research in Personality, 46(2), 184–194. 11 12 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2012.01.006 13 Laumann, E. O., Nicolosi, A., Glasser, D. B., Paik, A., Gingell, C., Moreira, E., & Wang, T. (2005). Sexual problems among women and men aged 40-80y: 14 15 Prevalence and correlates identified in the Global Study of Sexual Attitudes and Behaviors. International Journal of Impotence Research, 17, 39-57. 16 17https://doi.org/10.1038=sj.ijir.3901250 Laurent, S. M., & Simons, A. D. (2009). Sexual dysfunction in depression and 18 19 anxiety: Conceptualizing sexual dysfunction as part of an internalizing 20 dimension. Clinical Psychology Review, 29(7), 573-585. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.06.007 21 22 Lete, I., & Allue, J. (2016). The effectiveness of ginger in the prevention of nausea 23 and vomiting during pregnancy and chemotherapy. Integrative Medicine Insights, 11, 11-17. https://doi.org/10.4137/IMI.S36273 24 Li, X., Qin, Y., Liu, W., Zhou, X., Li, Y., & Wang, L. (2018). Efficacy of ginger in 25

1	ameliorating acute and delayed chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting
2	among patients with lung cancer receiving cisplatin-based regimens: A
3	randomized controlled trial. Integrative Cancer Therapies, 17(3), 747–754.
4	https://doi.org/10.1177/1534735417753541
5	Lien, H. C., Sun, W. M., Chen, Y. H., Kim, H., Hasler, W., & Owyang, C. (2003).
6	Effects of ginger on motion sickness and gastric slow-wave dysrhythmias
7	induced by circular vection. American Journal of Physiology-Gastrointestinal
8	and Liver Physiology, 284(3), G481–G489.
9	https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00164.2002
10	Lunenfeld, B., Mskhalaya, G., Zitzmann, M., Arver, S., Kalinchenko, S., Tishova, Y.,
11	& Morgentaler, A. (2015). Recommendations on the diagnosis, treatment and
12	monitoring of hypogonadism in men. The Aging Male, 18(1), 5–15.
13	https://doi.org/10.3109/13685538.2015.1004049
14	Marx, W., Kiss, N., & Isenring, L. (2015). Is ginger beneficial for nausea and
15	vomiting? An update of the literature. Current Opinion in Supportive and
16	<i>Palliative Care</i> , <i>9</i> (2), 189–195.
17	https://doi.org/10.1097/SPC.00000000000135
18	Marx, W. M., Teleni, L., McCarthy, A. L., Vitetta, L., McKavanagh, D., Thomson,
19	D., & Isenring, E. (2013). Ginger (Zingiber officinale) and chemotherapy-
20	induced nausea and vomiting: A systematic literature review. Nutrition
21	Reviews, 71(4), 245–254. https://doi.org/10.1111/nure.12016
22	McCabe, M. P., & Connaughton, C. (2017). Sexual dysfunction and relationship
23	stress: How does this association vary for men and women? Current Opinion
24	in Psychology, 13, 81-84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2016.05.007
25	Mercer, C. H., Fenton, K. A., Johnson, A. M., Wellings, K., Macdowall, W.,

1	McManus, S., Nanchahal, K., & Erens, B. (2003). Sexual function problems
2	and help seeking behavior in Britain: National probability sample survey.
3	British Medical Journal (Clinical Research Ed.), 327(7412), 426–427.
4	https://doi.org/10.1136=bmj.327.7412.426
5	Mitchell, K. R., Jones, K. G., Wellings, K., Johnson, A. M., Graham, C. A., Datta, J.,
6	Copas, A. J., Bancroft, J., Sonnenberg, P., Macdowall, W., Field, N., &
7	Mercer, C. H. (2016). Estimating the prevalence of sexual function problems:
8	The impact of morbidity criteria. The Journal of Sex Research, 53(8), 955–967.
9	https://doi.org/10.1080/00224499.2015.1089214
10	Montazeri, A., Hamidzadeh, A., Raei, M., Mohammadiun, M., Montazeri, A. S.,
11	Mirshahi, R., & Rohani, H. (2013). Evaluation of oral ginger efficacy against
12	postoperative nausea and vomiting: A randomized, double - blinded clinical
13	trial. Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal, 15(12), e12268.
14	https://doi.org/10.5812/ircmj.12268
15	Nowosielski, K., Kurpisz, J., & Kowalczyk, R. (2021). Sexual inhibition and sexual
16	excitation in a sample of Polish women. PLOS One, 16(4), e0249560.
17	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249560
18	Oaten, M., Stevenson, R. J., & Case, T. I. (2009). Disgust as a disease-avoidance
19	mechanism. Psychological Bulletin, 135(2), 303-321.
20	https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014823
21	Oaten, M., Stevenson, R. J., Tapp, C., Case, T. I., & Cousins, A. (2019). The role of
22	disgust in male sexual decision-making. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, 2602.
23	https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02602
24	Olatunji, B. O., Haidt, J., McKay, D., & David, B. (2008). Core, animal reminder, and
25	contamination disgust: Three kinds of disgust with distinct personality,

1	behavioral, physiological, and clinical correlates. Journal of Research in
2	Personality, 42(5), 1243-1259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2008.03.009
3	Olatunji, B. O., Williams, N. L., Tolin, D. F., Abramowitz, J. S., Sawchuk, C. N.,
4	Lohr, J. M., & Elwood, L. S. (2007). The Disgust Scale: Item analysis, factor
5	structure, and suggestions for refinement. Psychological Assessment, 19(3),
6	281-297. http://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.19.3.281
7	Panay, N., Al-Azzawi, F., Bouchard, C., Davis, S. R., Eden, J., Lodhi, I., Rees, M.,
8	Rodenberg, C. A., Rymer, J., Schwenkhagen, A., & Sturdee, D. W. (2010).
9	Testosterone treatment of HSDD in naturally menopausal women: The
10	ADORE study. <i>Climacteric</i> , <i>13</i> (2), 121–131.
11	https://doi.org/10.3109/13697131003675922
12	Panchanadeswaran, S., Johnson, S. C., Mayer, K. H., Srikrishnan, A. K., Sivaram, S.,
13	Zelaya, C. E., Go, V. F., Solomon, S., Bentley, M. E., & Celentano, D. D.
14	(2006). Gender differences in the prevalence of sexually transmitted infections
15	and genital symptoms in an urban setting in southern India. Sexually
16	Transmitted Infections, 82(6), 491–495.
17	https://doi.org/10.1136/sti.2006.020768
18	Quinta-Gomes, A. L., Janssen, E., Adaikan, G., & Nobre, P. J. (2021). Sexual
19	inhibition and sexual excitation profiles in men with and without erectile
20	disorder. Urology, 161, 71-75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2021.12.004
21	Rosen, R., Brown, C., Heiman, J., Leiblum, S., Meston, C., Shabsigh, R., Ferguson,
22	D., & D'Agostino, R. (2000). The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): A
23	multidimensional self-report instrument for the assessment of female sexual
24	function. Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, 26(2), 191–208.
25	https://doi.org/10.1080/009262300278597

1	Rozin, P., Nemeroff, C., Horowitz, M., Gordon, B., & Voet, W. (1995). The borders
2	of the self: Contamination sensitivity and potency of the body apertures and
3	other body parts. Journal of Research in Personality, 29(3), 318-340.
4	https://doi.org/10.1006/jrpe.1995.1019
5	Sanchez-Zarza, S. C., Mezones-Holguin, E., Lopez-Baena, M. T., Soto-Bcerra, P.,
6	Perez-Lopez, F. R., Gavilanes, A. W. D., & Chedraui, P. (2020). Association
7	between depressed mood and sexual function among mid-aged Paraguayan
8	women. Climacteric, 23(6), 566–573.
9	https://doi.org/10.1080/13697137.2020.1742684
10	Santtila, P., Wager, I., Witting, K., Harlaar, N., Jern, P., Johansson, A., Varjonen, M.,
11	& Sandnabba, N. K. (2008). Discrepancies between sexual desire and sexual
12	activity: Gender differences and associations with relationship satisfaction.
13	Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, 34(1), 31–44.
14	https://doi.org/10.1080/00926230701620548
15	Schou-Bredal, I., Bonsaksen, T., Ekeberg, Ø., Skogstad, L., Grimholt, T. K., Lerdal,
16	A., & Heir, T. (2022). Sexual assault and the association with health, quality
17	of life, and self-efficacy in the general Norwegian population. Journal of
18	Interpersonal Violence, 37(3-4), 1878–1901.
19	https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260520926307
20	Shabanian, S., Ebrahimbabaei, M., Safavi, P., & Lotfizadeh, M. (2018). Comparing
21	the effect of rose drop, ginger, and cinnamon on sexual function in depressed
22	women with sexual dysfunction. <i>Pharmacognosy Research</i> , 10(3), 314–318.
23	https://doi.org/10.4103/pr.pr_150_17
24	Spector, I. P., Carey, M. P., & Steinberg, L. (1996). The Sexual Desire Inventory:
25	Development, factor structure, and evidence of reliability. Journal of Sex &

Marital Therapy, 22(3), 175–190.

2	https://doi.org/10.1080/00926239608414655
3	Stein, R. A., Schmid, K., Bolivar, J., Swick, A. G., Joyal, S. V., & Hirsh, S. P. (2018).
4	Kaempferia parviflora ethanol extract improves self-assessed sexual health in
5	men: A pilot study. Journal of Integrative Medicine, 16(4), 249-254.
6	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joim.2018.05.005
7	Stevenson, R. J., Case, T. I., & Oaten, M. J. (2011). Effect of self-reported sexual
8	arousal on responses to sex-related and non-sex-related disgust cues. Archives
9	of Sexual Behavior, 40(1), 79-85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-009-9529-z
10	Thamlikitkul, L., Srimuninnimit, V., Akewanlop, C., Ithimakin, S.,
11	Techawathanawanna, S., Korphaisarn, K., Chantharasamee, J., Danchaivijitr,
12	P., & Soparattanapaisarn, N. (2017). Efficacy of ginger for prophylaxis of
13	chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients receiving
14	adriamycin-cyclophosphamide regimen: A randomized, double-blind,
15	placebo-controlled, crossover study. Supportive Care in Cancer, 25(2), 459-
16	464. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-016-3423-8
17	Toth, B., Lantos, T., Hegyi, P., Viola, R., Vasas, A., Benko, R., Gyongyi, Z., Vincze,
18	A., Csecsei, P., Miko, A., Hegyi, D., Szentesi, A., Matu, M., & Csupor, D.
19	(2018). Ginger (Zingiber officinale): An alternative for the prevention of
20	postoperative nausea and vomiting. A meta-analysis. <i>Phytomedicine</i> , 50, 8–18.
21	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2018.09.007
22	Tracy, J. L., Steckler, C. M., & Heltzel, G. (2019). The physiological basis of
23	psychological disgust and moral judgments. Journal of Personality and Social
24	Psychology, 116(1), 15-32. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000141
25	Trivers, R. L. (1972). Parental investment and sexual selection. In B. Campbell (Ed.),

1	Sexual selection and the descent of man (pp. 136–179). Aldine.
2	Tybur, J. M., Bryan, A. D., Magnan, R. E., & Hooper, A. E. C. (2011). Smells like
3	safe sex: Olfactory pathogen primes increase intentions to use condoms.
4	Psychological Science, 22(4), 478–480.
5	https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797611400096
6	Tybur, J. M., Lieberman, D., & Griskevicius, V. (2009). Microbes, mating, and
7	morality: Individual differences in three functional domains of disgust.
8	Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 97(1), 103–122.
9	https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015474
10	van Overveld, W. J. M., de Jong, P. J., Peters, M. L., Cavanagh, K., & Davey, G. C.
11	L. (2006). Disgust propensity and disgust sensitivity: Separate constructs that
12	are differentially related to specific fears. Personality and Individual
13	Differences, 41(7), 1241-1252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2006.04.021
14	Walstab, J., Krüger, D., Stark, T., Hofmann, T., Demir, I. E., Ceyhan, G. O., Feistel,
15	B., Schemann, M., & Niesler, B. (2013). Ginger and its pungent constituents
16	non-competitively inhibit activation of human recombinant and native 5-HT3
17	receptors of enteric neurons. Neurogastroenterology and Motility, 25(5), 439-
18	447, e302. https://doi.org/10.1111/nmo.12107
19	Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief
20	measures of positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. Journal of
21	Personality and Social Psychology, 54(6), 1063–1070.
22	https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.54.6.1063
23	Zhang, J., Zheng, L., & Zheng, Y. (2017). Moral and sexual disgust suppress sexual
24	risk behaviors among men who have sex with men in China. Frontiers in
25	Psychology, 7, 2045. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.02045
26	



- **Figure 1**. Flowchart of the study procedures



2 **Figure 2**. The effects of task type on feelings of sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli and

- 3 the moderating effects of sex and ginger.
- 4 * *p* < .05
- 5
- 6



2 **Figure 3.** The effects of task type on the likelihood of sexual engagement toward the erotic

3 stimuli and the moderating effects of sex and ginger.



Table 1.

Demographic Variables of the Sample (N = 247)

			Wo	men		Men					
		Neutral fluids Sexual body fluids			ody fluids	Neutra	l fluids	Sexual be	ody fluids		
		Ginger	Placebo	Ginger	Placebo	Ginger	Placebo	Ginger	Placebo		
Age		20.87(1.80)	22.07(2.27)	21.28(1.73)	20.68(2.02)	21.76(3.23)	21.63(3.04)	21.94(2.56)	22.48(2.90)		
Lifetime number o	of sexual partners	0.55(0.81)	0.78(2.01)	1.56(3.44)	0.48(0.85)	0.86(0.92)	1.15(1.56)	1.00(1.25)	1.64(2.63)		
Sex	Women	31	28	32	31	_	_	_	_		
	Men	-	-	-	-	29	30	33	33		
Education	\leq Senior high school	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0		
	> Senior high school	31	27	31	29	29	27	33	33		
Occupation	Student	30	24	32	30	25	27	33	31		
	Employed worker	1	3	0	1	3	0	0	2		
	Other	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		
	Single	22	16	26	18	16	13	16	20		
	Less than 1 month	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	0		
	1-3 months	1	1	2	0	3	1	4	2		
Relationship	4-6 months	0	0	0	1	2	3	6	2		
length	7-12 months	1	4	2	1	1	2	4	4		
	1-2 years	3	5	1	6	4	3	2	2		
	3-5 years	3	0	1	4	1	2	0	2		
	6-10 years	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1		
Income (¥)	No	18	9	15	13	18	16	16	16		
	Less than 5k	12	14	16	16	6	9	14	15		
	5k-8k	1	3	1	1	3	2	2	1		
	9k-15k	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	1		
	Excellent	8	6	6	8	8	9	10	12		
Health condition	Very good	11	9	16	13	14	10	9	12		
	Good	8	6	7	5	6	7	11	6		

Fair	4	6	3	4	1	1	3	3
Poor	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

		Women							Men							
Outcomes		Neutral fluids			Sexual body fluids			Neutral fluids				Sexual body fluids			ds	
		Ginger Placebo		ebo	Ginger		Placebo		Ginger		Placebo		Ginger		Placebo	
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD
Disgust toward the fluids tasks	1.06	0.25	1.13	0.44	2.72	1.16	2.67	1.32	1.18	0.50	1.10	0.35	2.22	1.16	2.30	1.23
Sexual arousal toward the fluids tasks	1.07	0.29	1.03	0.16	1.05	0.26	1.07	0.29	1.08	0.27	1.08	0.33	1.45	0.78	1.24	0.57
Sexual arousal toward the erotic stimuli	1.82	0.82	1.73	0.70	1.71	0.78	1.49	0.73	2.53	1.00	2.28	1.04	2.38	0.96	2.43	0.93
Likelihood of sexual engagement	2.44	2.55	2.76	2.86	3.53	2.91	2.18	2.42	6.36	2.83	5.85	3.24	5.93	2.94	6.37	2.77
Disgust toward the erotic stimuli	1.84	0.94	2.04	1.13	2.09	1.04	2.35	1.18	1.26	0.62	1.24	0.50	1.37	0.71	1.33	0.64

Means for Participants	'Feelings and	Reactions toward	l the Fluids	Tasks and t	he Erotic Stimuli	Grouped by Sex.	Task Type, and Ginger
1	0					1 ,	

Table 2.

Table 3.

Mixed Linear Models Showing Effects of Task Type and the Moderating Effects of Sex and Ginger on Feelings and Reactions toward the Fluids Tasks and the Erotic Stimuli

Outcomes	Predictors	df	f	р
	Task type	948.93	505.51	<.001
Discust toward the tooks	Task type*Sex	948.93	15.49	< .001
Disgust toward the tasks	Task type*Ginger	948.93	0.02	.893
	Task type*Sex*Ginger	948.93	0.97	.325
	Task type	749.83	9.28	.002
Connel anounced toward the testing	Task type*Sex	749.83	12.60	< .001
Sexual arousal loward the tasks	Task type*Ginger	749.83	4.43	.036
	Task type*Sex*Ginger	749.83	7.34	.007
	Task type	968.09	2.76	.097
Connel anough toward the anotic stimuli	Task type*Sex	968.09	2.16	.142
Sexual arousal loward the erotic stimuli	Task type*Ginger	968.09	0.69	.405
	Task type*Sex*Ginger	968.09	4.39	.036
	Task type	979.08	0.70	.404
Likelihood of sexual engagement toward	Task type*Sex	979.08	0.34	.562
the erotic stimuli	Task type*Ginger	979.08	0.99	.319
	Task type*Sex*Ginger	979.08	13.38	< .001
	Task type	954.69	10.59	.001
Discust toward the anotic stimuli	Task type*Sex	954.69	2.70	.101
Disgust toward the erotic stimuli	Task type*Ginger	954.69	0.12	.731
	Task type*Sex*Ginger	954.69	0.27	.607

Table 4.

Mixed Linear Models Showing Effects of Ginger and the Moderating Effects of Sex on Feelings and Reactions toward the Erotic Stimuli

Outcomes	Predictors	df	f	р
Samuel arouged toward the exercise stimuli	Ginger	461.38	4.23	.040
Sexual arousal loward the erotic stilluin	Ginger*Sex	461.38	1.11	.293
Likelihood of sexual engagement toward the erotic	Ginger	465.09	0.13	.719
stimuli	Ginger*Sex	465.09	2.40	.122
Disgust toward the anotic stimuli	Ginger	462.16	1.45	.230
Disgust toward the crotic stillun	Ginger*Sex	462.16	$\begin{array}{c cccc} f & 1 \\ \hline 4.23 & .0 \\ 1.11 & .2 \\ 0.13 & .7 \\ 2.40 & .1 \\ 1.45 & .2 \\ 2.13 & .1 \end{array}$.145

Note: The analysis restricted data only to participants who completed the neutral fluids tasks.