

This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

---

## A facultative mutualism facilitates European seagrass meadows

Fouw, Jimmy de; Holmer, Marianne; Beca-Carretero, Pedro; Boström, Christoffer; Brice, Jessica; Brun, Fernando G.; Cruijisen, Peter M. J. M.; Govers, Laura L.; Garmendia, Joxe Mikel; Meysick, Lukas; Pajusalu, Liina; Richir, Jonathan; Robroek, Bjorn; Valle, Mireia; Ven, Paul van der; Eklöf, Johan S.; Heide, Tjisse van der

*Published in:*  
Ecography

*DOI:*  
[10.1111/ecog.06636](https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.06636)

Published: 01/01/2023

*Document Version*  
Final published version

*Document License*  
CC BY

[Link to publication](#)

### *Please cite the original version:*

Fouw, J. D., Holmer, M., Beca-Carretero, P., Boström, C., Brice, J., Brun, F. G., Cruijisen, P. M. J. M., Govers, L. L., Garmendia, J. M., Meysick, L., Pajusalu, L., Richir, J., Robroek, B., Valle, M., Ven, P. V. D., Eklöf, J. S., & Heide, T. V. D. (2023). A facultative mutualism facilitates European seagrass meadows. *Ecography*, 2023(5). <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.06636>

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# ECOGRAPHY

## Research article

## A facultative mutualism facilitates European seagrass meadows

Jimmy de Fouw<sup>1,2</sup>, Marianne Holmer<sup>3</sup>, Pedro Beca-Carretero<sup>4</sup>, Christoffer Boström<sup>5</sup>, Jessica Brice<sup>6</sup>, Fernando G. Brun<sup>7</sup>, Peter M. J. M. Cruijsen<sup>2</sup>, Laura L. Govers<sup>1,8</sup>, Joxe Mikel Garmendia<sup>9</sup>, Lukas Meysick<sup>10,11</sup>, Liina Pajusalu<sup>12</sup>, Jonathan Richir<sup>13,14</sup>, Bjorn Robroek<sup>2,6</sup>, Mireia Valle<sup>15</sup>, Paul van der Ven<sup>16</sup>, Johan S. Eklöf<sup>17</sup> and Tjisse van der Heide<sup>1,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept of Coastal systems. NIOZ Royal Netherlands Inst. for Sea Research, Texel, the Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Dept of Aquatic Ecology and Environmental Biology, Radboud Inst. for Biological and Environmental Sciences, Radboud Univ. Nijmegen, Faculty of Science, the Netherlands.

<sup>3</sup>Dept of Biology, Univ. of Southern Denmark, Odense M, Denmark

<sup>4</sup>Dept of Oceanography, Inst. de Investigaciones Mariñas (IIM-CSIC), Vigo, Spain

<sup>5</sup>Environmental and Marine Biology, Åbo Akademi Univ., Finland

<sup>6</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Univ. of Southampton, UK

<sup>7</sup>Dept of Biology, Division of Ecology, Faculty of Marine and Environmental Sciences, Univ. of Cadiz, Cadiz, Spain

<sup>8</sup>Groningen Inst. for Evolutionary Life Sciences (GELIFES), Univ. of Groningen, the Netherlands

<sup>9</sup>AZTI, Marine Research, Basque Research and Technology Alliance (BRTA), Spain

<sup>10</sup>Helmholtz Inst. for Functional Marine Biodiversity at the Univ. of Oldenburg (HIFMB), Germany

<sup>11</sup>Alfred Wegener Inst. Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Germany

<sup>12</sup>Estonian Marine Inst., Univ. of Tartu, Estonia

<sup>13</sup>Chemical Oceanography Unit, FOCUS, Univ. of Liège, Liège, Belgium

<sup>14</sup>SciSca, Maillen, Belgium

<sup>15</sup>AZTI, Marine Research, Basque Research and Technology Alliance (BRTA), Spain

<sup>16</sup>General Instrumentation, Radboud Univ. Nijmegen, Faculty of Science, the Netherlands.

<sup>17</sup>Dept of Ecology, Environment and Plant Sciences, Stockholm Univ., Stockholm, Sweden

Correspondence: Jimmy de Fouw ([jim.defouw@ru.nl](mailto:jim.defouw@ru.nl))

### Ecography

2023: e06636

doi: [10.1111/ecog.06636](https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.06636)

Subject Editor: Julia Baum

Editor-in-Chief: Miguel Araújo

Accepted 01 February 2023



[www.ecography.org](http://www.ecography.org)

Coastal ecosystem functioning often hinges on habitat-forming foundation species that engage in positive interactions (e.g. facilitation and mutualism) to reduce environmental stress. Seagrasses are important foundation species in coastal zones but are rapidly declining with losses typically linked to intensifying global change-related environmental stress. There is growing evidence that loss or disruption of positive interactions can amplify coastal ecosystem degradation as it compromises its stress mitigating capacity. Multiple recent studies highlight that seagrass can engage in a facultative mutualistic relationship with lucinid bivalves that alleviate sulphide toxicity. So far, however, the generality of this mutualism, and how its strength and relative importance depend on environmental conditions, remains to be investigated. Here we study the importance of the seagrass-lucinid mutualistic interaction on a continental-scale using a field survey across Europe. We found that the lucinid bivalve *Loripes orbiculatus* is associated with the seagrasses *Zostera noltii* and *Zostera marina* across a large latitudinal range. At locations where the average minimum temperature was above 1 °C, *L. orbiculatus* was present in 79% of the *Zostera* meadows; whereas, it was absent below this temperature. At locations above this minimum temperature threshold, mud content was the second most important determinant explaining the presence or absence of

© 2023 The Authors. Ecography published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Nordic Society Oikos

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*L. orbiculatus*. Further analyses suggest that the presence of the lucinids have a positive effect on seagrass biomass by mitigating sulphide stress. Finally, results of a structural equation model (SEM) support the existence of a mutualistic feedback between *L. orbiculatus* and *Z. noltii*. We argue that this seagrass-lucinid mutualism should be more solidly integrated into management practices to improve seagrass ecosystem resilience to global change as well as the success of restoration efforts.

Keywords: conservation, European coastline, Lucinidae, mutualism, positive interactions, seagrass meadows

## Introduction

Coastal ecosystems make up only 4% of the Earth's surface but are of great social, economic and ecological importance (Costanza et al. 1997, Barbier et al. 2011). Because almost half of the human population lives in coastal areas, with numbers still increasing (Halpern et al. 2008), there is growing concern that coastal ecosystems are increasingly negatively affected by human-induced global change (e.g. eutrophication, climate change, infrastructure developments, fisheries). Indeed, numerous studies show that (human-induced) disturbance is associated with a rapid decline of coastal ecosystems such as seagrass meadows, coral reefs, salt-marshes and mangrove forests (Orth et al. 2006, Waycott et al. 2009, De los Santos et al. 2019, Halpern et al. 2019, Dunic et al. 2021). Despite many protection and restoration efforts, these decline continue (Bayraktarov et al. 2016, Saunders et al. 2020), which may suggest that certain fundamental processes or interactions may be overlooked.

Classically, declines of coastal ecosystems are often directly linked to intensifying environmental stressors. However, growing evidence suggests that environmental stressors may also drive or amplify degradation through disruption of positive biotic interactions between species (e.g. facilitation and mutualism) (Bruno et al. 2003, de Fouw et al. 2016a, Maxwell et al. 2017). Over the last two decades, the importance of positive interactions has become increasingly recognized in marine systems such as salt marshes, mangroves, coral reefs and seagrass meadows (Bruno et al. 2003, Maxwell et al. 2017, Gagnon et al. 2020, Valdez et al. 2020, van der Heide et al. 2021). Seagrass meadows are archetypical examples of ecosystems shaped by positive interactions (Maxwell et al. 2017, Valdez et al. 2020), which can be both intra- and interspecific. An example of the former, is the positive interaction in which seagrasses increase water clarity through suspended particle trapping and sediment stabilization, yielding a self-facilitating feedback on their own growth (van der Heide et al. 2007, Fourqurean et al. 2012, Hansen and Reidenbach 2012). An example of the latter is the engagement of seagrasses in positive interactions with bivalves, yielding for example increased nutrient availability, drought resistance, or alleviation of anoxia (Peterson and Heck Jr 2001, Gagnon et al. 2020, Meysick et al. 2020).

Over the last decade, several studies have shown the importance of a recently discovered positive interaction between seagrass and bivalve of the Lucinidae family for functioning and stability of seagrass meadows (van der Heide et al. 2012, Stanley 2014, de Fouw et al. 2018, Fales et al. 2020,

Martin et al. 2020, van der Geest et al. 2020, Chin et al. 2021). Although seagrasses generate a positive feedback by increasing light and nutrient availability through suspended particle trapping, this process can simultaneously create a negative feedback as accumulating organic matter in the sediment is decomposed anaerobically by sulphate-reducing bacteria to yield toxic sulphides (van der Heide et al. 2012, Lamers et al. 2013, Holmer and Hasler-Sheetal 2014). While seagrasses release oxygen through their roots in the surrounding rhizosphere (radial oxygen loss) to detoxify sulphide (Pedersen et al. 2004), its production can outpace oxygen release in organic matter-rich sediments, particularly under warmer temperature conditions. As a consequence, sulphide concentrations can reach toxic levels, reducing seagrass productivity and increasing mortality (Borum et al. 2014, Holmer and Hasler-Sheetal 2014, Hasler-Sheetal and Holmer 2015). To mitigate sulphide accumulation, however, seagrasses can engage in a facultative mutualistic interaction with lucinid bivalves and their gill-inhabiting, sulphide-oxidizing bacteria. In return, the bivalves and their endosymbionts profit not only from sulphide that is indirectly provided by the seagrasses, but also from oxygen released by seagrass roots and protection from predation due to its complex root and rhizome network (van der Heide et al. 2012, de Fouw et al. 2016b, de Fouw et al. 2018, van der Geest et al. 2020, Chin et al. 2021, de Fouw et al. 2022).

Current understanding is that the seagrass-lucinid mutualism could be a key interaction mediating both seagrass productivity and ecosystem stability (van der Heide et al. 2012, de Fouw et al. 2016a, Gagnon et al. 2020, Valdez et al. 2020, Chin et al. 2021). Even though lucinids occur in many seagrass meadows worldwide (van der Heide et al. 2012, Stanley 2014), it remains unknown how the strength and importance of the mutualism depends on abiotic conditions. As anaerobic degradation and related sulphide production are strongly temperature-dependent (Jørgensen 1977), it is likely that mutualism strength depends on temperature. Sediment characteristics may also affect the mutualism. For instance, particulate trapping by seagrass may increase mud content potentially negatively influencing lucinid occurrence (Anderson 2008, Ellis et al. 2017, Douglas et al. 2019). In addition, organic matter-rich sediments like those commonly found in eutrophic systems and in wave sheltered areas, may have an inherently higher sulphide production, increasing the importance of the detoxification mutualism. Furthermore, mutualism strength may also depend on the iron content of the sediment. In general, iron-rich sediments have a high chemical sulphide binding capacity by

forming non-toxic iron sulphide precipitates (e.g. FeS, FeS<sub>2</sub>). Therefore, compared to more iron-rich sand- or clay-based sediments, seagrasses growing on carbonate-based sediments may more often experience harmful sulphide levels as these sediments are typically iron-poor (Holmer et al. 2005, Ruiz-Halpern et al. 2008). Because it is currently unclear how the detoxification mutualism depends on these environmental conditions, it is also challenging to predict the consequences of future environmental changes for this mechanism and its importance for conservation and restoration.

To explore the conditional generality of this facultative mutualism for seagrass meadows, and hence its importance for conservation and restoration, we investigated 1) under what environmental conditions lucinid bivalves are associated with seagrass meadows (i.e. what determines their presence/absence), 2) if they facilitate seagrasses when present, and 3) if there is evidence for the generic mutualistic feedback at the continental scale. To this end, we conducted a continent-wide survey of seagrasses, lucinids, sediments and environmental conditions in seagrass meadows at 31 *Zostera* meadows along European coastal zones stretching 17 degrees latitude. We hypothesize that 1) sediment characteristics (e.g. mud content, organic matter, dissolved carbon, sulphur- and iron content), temperature and seagrass biomass will show a strong positive relation with lucinid presence/absence, 2) lucinids in turn will promote seagrass biomass by decreasing sulphide stress and thus 3) effectively result in a generic mutualistic feedback. Finally, we used structural equation modelling (SEM) to find evidence for the mutualistic seagrass-lucinid feedback (Fig. 1).

## Material and methods

### Study area and data collection

Sampling was done in 14 *Zostera noltii* and 17 *Zostera marina* meadows across western Europe during the growing season (August–October) in 2018–2019 (Fig. 2). At almost each location ten sampling points were randomly selected within the seagrass meadow about 5–10 m apart, however, due to logistic circumstances at some locations less than 10 samples were taken (Supporting information). The seagrass meadows covered a broad range of sediment types from low to high organic matter content (min–max: 0.6–8.5%) and mud content (particles < 63 µm; min–max: 2.5–66.3%), which was representative for general sediment conditions in seagrass meadows (Kennedy et al. 2010). Sampling followed a strict protocol to standardize data collection across all locations. Lucinid bivalves and seagrasses were sampled with sediment cores (ø: 10–15 cm) to a depth of about 20 cm and then sieved over a 1 mm mesh. Live seagrass and lucinids were sorted and frozen for further determination in the laboratory. In the laboratory lucinids were counted and total dry weight (above- and belowground) of the seagrass was determined after drying (48 h at 60°C). Sediment samples were taken with a small core (ø: 1.5–3.6 cm) to a depth of 5 cm, frozen after




Figure 1. Conceptual diagram for the structural equation model describing the feedback between lucinids and seagrass and other variables which potentially influence the feedback. Numbered lines represent the potential effect of the direct effect between seagrass on lucinids (1) or indirect by the influence of seagrass on sediment characteristics (2), and the effect of lucinids on seagrass biomass, either direct or indirect through sediment stress indicator (SSI) in the plant (3).

collection and weighed in the laboratory. Temperature variables were derived from the monthly values from WorldClim (Hijmans et al. 2005). For the analyses we used annual minimum and maximum air temperature for each location (temperature of the coldest and warmest month). Air temperature is expected to be the most biologically meaningful variable as the seagrass meadows in our study are intertidal and shallow subtidal, potentially showing latitudinal limits for lucinid bivalves and effects on seagrass growth (Larkum et al. 2006, van der Heide et al. 2012).

### Sample analysis

Dried above ground seagrass tissue was analysed for sulphur isotope ratio ( $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ ) and total sulphur (TS) using dynamic flash combustion ratio mass spectroscopy. The stable isotope signatures were reported relative to the international Vienna Cañon Diablo Troilite standard for  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ . Indication for sulphide sediment stress in the plant was calculated as sediment stress index (SSI) with the ratio of  $\delta^{34}\text{S}+30/\text{TS}$ , where 30 was added for each  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  to ensure positive values, following Kilminster et al. (2014). Reduced sulphate by bacteria results in fractionated sulphide with more negative  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  values in



the sediment porewater. As a consequence, plant tissues also exhibit more negative  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  value under sulphide stress with assimilation of sulphur (TS) (Holmer and Kendrick 2013, Kilminster et al. 2014). SSI positively correlates with seagrass growth as the high proportion of TS and high  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  in seagrass leaves results in high SSI, which indicates low sulphide intrusion and increased plant growth (Kilminster et al. 2014, Timbs and Durako 2021).

Sediment samples were freeze-dried, after which mud content (particles < 63  $\mu\text{m}$ ; Wentworth 1922) was determined using a particle size analyser with auto sampler (Coulter LS 13 320), and organic matter as loss on ignition (LOI; 5 h at 550°C). Total iron (Fe) and sulphur (S) was determined after nitric acid digestion of dried sediment and analysed using an inductively coupled plasma emission spectrophotometer (ICP-OES iCAP 6000). The fraction of labile carbon in the organic matter pool relates to the sulphate reduction rate which increases the sulphide concentrations in the sediment (Holmer and Nielsen 1997, Frederiksen et al. 2007). As a proxy of labile carbon, we measured dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in the sediment. DOC was determined in a two-step water extraction procedure following Ghani et al. (2003) on 3 mg of dried sediment in 50 ml polypropylene centrifuge tubes by adding 30 ml distilled water. The first step involved a cold-water extraction at 20°C (DOC-c) for the removal of the readily soluble carbon from the sediment. The second step involved a warm-water extraction of labile components of sediment carbon at 80°C for 16 h (DOC-w) (Ghani et al. 2003). After the extraction procedure the tubes were centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 15 min and supernatant was filtered through a 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$  filter and transferred to separate vials for DOC analyses. DOC for both cold and warm water extraction was determined on a Shimadzu total organic carbon (TOC-L) analyser.

## Statistics

To investigate the first hypothesis – i.e. the occurrence (presence/absence) of lucinid bivalves in seagrass meadows in relation to environmental variables (seagrass biomass, temperature, DOC, mud- and organic matter content, sediment sulphur and -iron) – we fitted mixed logistic regression models (i.e. binary generalized linear mixed models). We used these models with a stepwise backward elimination of variables procedure to predict the presence or absence of lucinid bivalves. We included the explanatory variables as fixed effects and sampling location as random effect. To detect multicollinearity between explanatory variables, variance inflation factors (VIF) were computed with the car R package (www.r-project.org) (Fox and Weisberg 2019) in combination with Pearson correlation coefficient. Total sediment sulphur content was highly correlated with mud content (Pearson  $\rho=0.78$ ) and was therefore dropped before starting the stepwise procedure. This resulted in a VIF of 2.8, indicating that we successfully reduced collinearity (Zuur et al. 2009). Logistic regression models in the stepwise procedure were compared according Akaike's information criterion (AIC). The model with all

variables being significant and the lowest AIC (Burnham and Anderson 2002) was considered as best support. As a proxy for reliability, we determined the explanatory potential by calculating the predicted probability of presence or absence for the final model and compared this with observed presence or absence (Jongman et al. 1995). Next, we calculated percentage of correct model predictions (following van der Heide et al. 2009).

To investigate the second hypothesis – i.e. the potential facilitating effect of lucinids on seagrass – we used a linear mixed effect model with a stepwise backward procedure, with all explanatory variables as fixed effect and sampling location as random effect. To detect multicollinearity between explanatory variables we followed the same procedure as above. Mud content was highly correlated with DOC-w (Pearson  $\rho=0.88$ ) and organic matter (Pearson  $\rho=0.92$ ) in the data for *Z. noltii*. Dropping mud content and DOC-w before starting the stepwise procedure for the *Z. noltii* analysis resulted in VIF 2.2. *Z. noltii* biomass and lucinid densities were transformed with the Box-Cox procedure to achieve normality and homoscedasticity (Box and Cox 1964). Sediment sulphur was highly correlated with mud content (Pearson  $\rho=0.91$ ) in the data for *Z. marina* and dropping sulphur resulted in VIF 2.3. The effect of location and seagrass species on plant tissue sulphur parameters (TS and  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ ) were tested in two-way analyses of variance. We used Nakagawa and Schielzeth's (2013) method to obtain the conditional  $R^2$  for linear mixed-effect models. We tested if the independent variables had a significant effect at a significance level of  $p=0.05$ .  $p$ -values of linear mixed effect models with gaussian distribution were computed by using Satterthwaite approximation for denominator degrees of freedom from the lmerTest R package (www.r-project.org) (Kuznetsova et al. 2017). All statistical analysis were performed with R statistical and programming environment (www.r-project.org).

Finally, we used structural equation modelling (SEM) with the AMOS software (Arbuckle 2011) to explore the generality and significance of the mutualistic seagrass-lucinid feedback, taking the abiotic environmental variables into account. Based on the outcomes of the analyses in this study and our previous work, we constructed a conceptual model showing the potential relationships in our study system (Fig. 1). We expect a positive effect of seagrass on the lucinid bivalves by oxygen release and protection for predation both from the seagrass roots (van der Heide et al. 2012, de Fouw et al. 2016b). In addition, the bivalves (and their endosymbionts) profit from the sulphide that is indirectly provided by the seagrasses due to the accumulation of organic matter (Fig. 1). Apart from a positive effect, seagrass may also increase mud content potentially negatively influencing lucinid bivalve densities (Anderson 2008, Ellis et al. 2017, Douglas et al. 2019). In turn, we expect the lucinids positively affect seagrass health due to sulphide detoxification (van der Heide et al. 2012, de Fouw et al. 2022). However, as we do not have data of porewater sulphide levels and such measurements typically only reflect recent conditions, we used biomass and SSI as long-term proxy for seagrass health for

*Z. noltii* and *Z. marina*, respectively. Furthermore, we expect temperature and sediment characteristics (organic matter and DOC) to affect lucinid densities (van der Heide et al. 2012), seagrass growth (Terrados et al. 1999, Olivé et al. 2009) and/or sediment sulphide production which in turn effect SSI or plant biomass (Fig. 1). As a SEM with direct feedbacks cannot cope with random effects (Lefcheck 2015), we averaged variables per location for *Z. noltii* and *Z. marina* separately. These averaged sediment characteristics (organic matter, mud content and DOC) were highly collinear and therefore tested in three separate SEMs including the feedback between *L. orbiculatus* and *Z. noltii* or *Z. marina* (Supporting information). For each SEM we used the option 'specification search' in AMOS to optimise each separate SEM and choose best model according Akaike's information criterion (AIC) and  $\chi^2$  test. Next, we ranked the three optimised SEMs based on  $R^2$  and significant relations ( $p < 0.05$ ).

## Results

### Under what conditions do lucinids occur in European seagrass meadows?

In our field survey of 14 locations with *Z. noltii* and 17 with *Z. marina* we found on that total biomass per location on average varied from 10.1 to 397.4 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> and 46.9 to 835.9 g DW m<sup>-2</sup> for *Z. noltii* and *Z. marina*, respectively. We found lucinids in 54% of the seagrass meadows; with a strong latitudinal shift in presence/absence at 53° (Fig. 2, Supporting information). Densities were up to 3096 m<sup>-2</sup>, all of the species *Loripes orbiculatus* (Supporting information). When explanatory variables were included in the stepwise backward logistic regression procedure, the occurrence

of *L. orbiculatus* was best explained by a model including minimum temperature ( $\chi^2 = 11.48$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), mud content ( $\chi^2 = 12.37$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and total seagrass biomass ( $\chi^2 = 4.19$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). This model correctly predicted 86% of all presence-absence data (Fig. 3a, c). None of the other variables (e.g. DOC, organic matter and sediment iron content) had any significant explanatory value regarding the occurrence of *L. orbiculatus*. When comparing seagrass meadows where the minimum temperature remains above 1°C throughout the year, mud content was on average 66% lower in the meadows where *L. orbiculatus* was present (Fig. 3b). Total seagrass biomass was on average 63% higher in the meadows where *L. orbiculatus* was present (Fig. 3d).

### Do lucinids facilitate seagrass?

To investigate the facilitative effect of *L. orbiculatus* on seagrass we analysed the relationship with seagrass total biomass, and SSI, as proxy for sulphide intrusion in the plant. Results of the stepwise backward linear regression procedure showed that for *Z. noltii* total biomass there were significant positive effects of *L. orbiculatus* density ( $F_{1,68.88} = 9.00$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , Fig. 4a) and maximum air temperature ( $F_{1,13.92} = 16.33$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). For *Z. marina* biomass, however, we found no significant effect of *L. orbiculatus* density or of the other variables (Fig. 4b). Analysis of plant tissue revealed that leaf TS differed significantly between locations ( $F_{1,27} = 36.13$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and seagrass species ( $F_{1,28} = 15.08$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Mean  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  also differed significant between locations ( $F_{1,27} = 68.09$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and seagrass species ( $F_{1,27} = 33.78$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) (Supporting information). SSI for *Z. noltii* – which was calculated from the above sulphur parameters – was positively and significantly related to *L. orbiculatus* density ( $F_{1,128.93} = 7.24$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ; Fig. 4c). For *Z. marina* SSI, we also found a positive




Figure 2. Latitudinal pattern for minimum temperature for locations (a) where bivalves of the family Lucinidae occurred in European survey and map of the 28 studied locations (b).




Figure 3. Probability of absence (0) or presence (1) of *L. orbiculatus* plotted against minimum temperature with dots filled according to a low and high percentage mud content (a) and total seagrass biomass (d). Percentage of mud content on locations with and without *L. orbiculatus* at the studied locations with minimum temperature above 1 °C (b) and total seagrass with and without lucinids at the studied locations (d). Orange line at 1 °C. Bars represent mean and standard errors.

relationship with *L. orbiculatus* density ( $F_{1,145.35} = 4.00$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) and maximum air temperature ( $F_{1,13.53} = 10.90$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ; Fig. 4d), indicating reduced sulphide intrusion in plant tissues with increasing *L. orbiculatus* densities for both *Zostera* species.

### Is there evidence for a generic mutualistic feedback?

Based on the outcomes of the linear analyses, we used biomass and SSI as the strongest proxies for *Z. noltii* and *Z. marina* health, respectively. The best SEM for *Z. noltii* explained 80% of the variation of *Z. noltii* biomass and 85% of *L. orbiculatus* density (Fig. 5, Supporting information). We found support for a direct positive feedback between *Z. noltii* biomass and *L. orbiculatus* density. The feedback was mediated by temperature, with a positive effect of minimum temperature on *L. orbiculatus* density and of maximum temperature on *Z. noltii* biomass. In addition to this temperature effect, organic matter negatively influenced *L. orbiculatus* density. We did not

find evidence for an indirect effect of *Z. noltii* on *L. orbiculatus* through organic matter, indicating that other unknown variables influenced organic matter (Fig. 5, Supporting information). In contrast to *Z. noltii*, our SEM analyses did not find support for a feedback between *Z. marina* SSI and *L. orbiculatus* density (Supporting information).

### Discussion

Our field study provides the first continental-scale evidence that the lucinid bivalve *L. orbiculatus* occurs in *Zostera* meadows across a large latitudinal gradient. Specifically, we found *L. orbiculatus* to be present in 79% of the *Zostera* meadows at locations where the annual minimum air temperature remains above 1 °C and mud content is relatively low. Simultaneously, *Zostera* biomass had an overall positive relationship with *L. orbiculatus* presence (Fig. 3c, d). Furthermore, our analyses suggest that *L. orbiculatus* mitigates sediment sulphide stress in *Zostera* meadows, but that the strength of this association




Figure 4. Total seagrass biomass versus *L. orbiculatus* densities, mean values per location for *Z. noltii* (a) and *Z. marina* (b). Relation between the sulphide sediment stress indicator (SSI) versus *L. orbiculatus* densities for *Z. noltii* (c) and *Z. marina* (d). p-values reflect significance level of *L. orbiculatus* and the conditional  $R^2$  for linear mixed effect model. Grey points are all individual samples for all locations. Error bars depict standard errors.

is conditional to temperature and sediment characteristics as *L. orbiculatus* presence depends on these variables. Finally, our SEM analysis supports the existence of the mutualistic feedback between the *L. orbiculatus* and *Z. noltii*, showing the potential importance of the mutualistic seagrass-lucinid interaction for seagrass systems.

### Lucinid occurrence

Our data indicates that the occurrence of *L. orbiculatus* is temperature dependent; no bivalves were found below an annual minimum temperature of 1°C. Hence, the distribution of *L. orbiculatus* seems to be physiologically limited to minimum temperatures below 1°C. Temperature-driven limitation of the distribution is observed in many bivalve species, particularly species originating from (sub)tropical regions as

these typically have a lower cold-tolerance compared to boreal and temperate species (Stevens 1989, Compton et al. 2007). As the Lucinidae have greatly diversified during the warmer Cretaceous–Eocene period, and still have the highest diversity in the tropics (Stanley 2014, Taylor and Glover 2021), it is likely that lucinids also have such a limited cold-tolerance.

A second potential explanation could be that *L. orbiculatus* becomes sulphide-limited in colder regions. Although lucinids can be mixotrophic, they depend to a large extent on sulphide that serves as the energy source for their endosymbionts. The bacterial endosymbionts in turn provide sugars to the bivalves (Rossi et al. 2013, van der Geest et al. 2014). In marine sediments, bacterial sulphate reduction rates increase with higher temperatures (Jørgensen 1977, Koch et al. 2007), with higher sediment sulphide concentrations as a result. Hence, it is possible that lucinids become sulphide starved






Figure 5. Best structural equation model for *Z. noltii* including a positive feedback with *L. orbiculatus*. Temperature positively affects the feedback and organic matter had negative effect on *L. orbiculatus*. Values besides the arrows indicate the standardised regression weights, all positive (blue) and negative (red) solid lines are significant, and dotted grey line represent non-significant path.  $R^2$  values indicate the explained variance.

in colder sediments at higher latitudes in temperate and boreal regions. The exact mechanism behind the temperature effect on the occurrence of *L. orbiculatus* in European *Zostera* meadows remains to be tested experimentally.

Mud content was the second most important determinant for the occurrence of *L. orbiculatus* in European *Zostera* meadows. It is well-known that sediment mud content plays an important role in the distribution of macro zoobenthos (Anderson 2008, Ellis et al. 2017, Douglas et al. 2019). In our study, we found that *L. orbiculatus* presence correlates negatively with mud content. We argue that in the case of *L. orbiculatus*, and lucinids in general, this is determined by thixotrophicity (i.e. soupiness) and/or the instability of finer sediments (Dashtgard et al. 2008, Dufour 2018). Specifically, we hypothesize that in muddy sediments, similar to findings for the lugworms *Arenicola* spp. (Longbottom 1970), the

bivalves may be unable to keep their delicate mucous-lined inhalant tube intact, which they need to obtain oxygen-rich surface water (Taylor and Glover 2000).

Apart from mud content and minimum temperature, we also found a positive association with seagrass biomass. The combination of these biotic and abiotic variables best predicts the optimal niche for *L. orbiculatus*. Their regulating role is supported by the fact that the four locations above the average annual minimum temperature of 1°C where *L. orbiculatus* was absent, have low seagrass biomass and high mud content (Fig. 3). There are also two locations with high mud content where *L. orbiculatus* is present in low densities, but at these locations *Z. noltii* biomass is relatively high. This suggests that by providing enough oxygen through their roots, seagrass can extend the realised niche of *L. orbiculatus* beyond the predicted fundamental niche towards higher mud content as suggested by the stress gradient hypothesis (Bertness and Callaway 1994, Bruno et al. 2003).

Notably, other sediment characteristics (OM, iron content, DOC) did not correlate with the occurrence of the lucinids. For example, organic matter and DOC are known to relate to sulphate reduction increasing sulphide concentrations in the sediment (Holmer and Nielsen 1997, Frederiksen et al. 2007, Jørgensen et al. 2019). However, sulphide concentration in the sediment depends on several interacting variables (e.g. microbial community, sediment oxygen permeability) making the relationship with organic matter and/or labile carbon not sufficiently straightforward. In its oxidized form, iron(III) can buffer sulphide toxicity via oxidation and subsequently also by forming iron(II)-sulphide precipitates (Chambers et al. 2001, Holmer et al. 2005, Jørgensen et al. 2019). However, iron is predominately already present in its reduced sulphide-bound form in anoxic marine sediments. In such cases, sulphide iron-mediated buffering can only take place when iron is oxidized first, for instance by oxygen released into the rhizosphere by seagrass roots. In this study, however, we did not find any support for an iron sulphide buffering mechanism.

### Effects of lucinids on seagrass

Our analyses show that when lucinids are present in *Zostera* meadows, they promote plant growth. For *Z. noltii*, there was a positive relationship with *L. orbiculatus*, resulting in a plant biomass increase of 84% with a density increase of 540 lucinids bivalves  $m^{-2}$ , the average densities in *Z. noltii* meadows (Fig. 4a). The nature and strength of this association is in line with experimental mesocosm results from other studies showing a positive effect on seagrass growth due to sulphide detoxification by the lucinids (van der Heide et al. 2012, Chin et al. 2021, de Fouw et al. 2022). In addition, we found a positive effect of higher temperatures (i.e. annual maximum temperature) on *Z. noltii* biomass. A possible, indirect explanation for this temperature effect is that southern *Z. noltii* meadows can reach higher photosynthetic production due to higher year round solar irradiation (Touchette and Burkholder 2000). Increased photosynthetic production also increases the

capacity to release oxygen through the roots into the surrounding rhizosphere where it detoxifies sulphide (Pedersen et al. 2004, Hasler-Sheetal and Holmer 2015). For *Z. marina* we did not find a significant relation, however there was a positive trend of 12% biomass increase with a density increase of 465 lucinids bivalves m<sup>-2</sup>, the average densities in a *Z. marina* meadow (Fig. 4b). Interestingly, for *Z. marina* there was a significant effect of *L. orbiculatus* densities on SSI, indicating that *L. orbiculatus* reduced plant sulphide intrusion.

### Evidence for a generic mutualistic feedback

Our SEM analyses supports the hypothesis that the observed linear correlation between *L. orbiculatus* and *Z. noltii* in this continent-wide study result from a generic facultative mutualistic feedback. These findings support previous experimental laboratory studies that found a positive effect of *L. orbiculatus* on seagrass biomass (van der Heide et al. 2012, de Fouw et al. 2022). Based on the logistic regression analysis on the presence-absence of the bivalves, we expected a negative effect of mud content on *L. orbiculatus* density in our SEM. However, the feedback was not supported by the model (Supporting information). Instead, we found a significant negative effect of organic matter content (Fig. 5, Supporting information), which is counterintuitive as organic matter is important for anaerobic sulphide production and thus for sulphide consuming lucinids. We suggest that this is due to the high correlation between mud content and organic matter (Supporting information). Overall, the model containing organic matter performed slightly better when predicting the feedback between *L. orbiculatus* density and *Z. noltii* biomass.

We found no evidence for a mutualistic feedback in our SEM analysis between *L. orbiculatus* and *Z. marina* SSI. However, our linear models supported a facilitative (one-way) positive influence of *L. orbiculatus* on *Z. marina* SSI. There may be several reasons why we did not find evidence for the feedback and why sulphide alleviation by lucinids is less apparent in *Z. marina*. First, *Z. marina* has substantially larger leave biomass and therefore a larger photosynthetic capacity to release oxygen through their roots in the surrounding rhizosphere to sufficiently detoxify sulphide without the help from lucinids (Pedersen et al. 2004, Hasler-Sheetal and Holmer 2015). Second, contrary to the intertidal *Z. noltii*, *Z. marina* grows mostly subtidal where sediments are less influenced by high temperatures; a factor strongly influencing porewater sulphide concentrations.

Overall, mutualism dependency could potentially increase under stress conditions (e.g. eutrophication, temperature increase). For example, the facilitation strength between *Thalassia testudinum* and *Codakia orbicularis* which became more important under a combination of reduced light and sulphide stress conditions (Chin et al. 2021). This is consistent with the stress gradient hypothesis, which predicts that facilitation strength becomes more important under stress conditions (Bertness and Callaway 1994, He et al. 2013).

Although our observational, correlative approach was not designed to test facilitation strength *per se*, our study adds to

the understanding of the context-dependency of the lucinid-seagrass interaction. We suggest that future studies could further elucidate this context dependency – also in relation to the stress gradient hypothesis – by including field experiments in which lucinid densities are manipulated at several locations along the latitudinal gradient based on our field study results (Bertness and Leonard 1997).

### Implications

Our results show that lucinids naturally occur in the majority of the southern *Zostera* meadows with a warmer climate where the risk of toxic sulphide levels and thus the potential importance of the mutualism are the highest. In the future, both the occurrence of the lucinids and the importance of the mutualism may expand towards regions that will become warmer due to climate change. In the intertidal, desiccation is one of the major factors responsible for the depth zonation of seagrass meadows (Leuschner et al. 1998, van der Heide et al. 2010). Increased temperatures due to climate change may thus lower the upper limits of intertidal seagrass. Decreased seagrass health caused by desiccation stress may lead to decreased photosynthesis-driven oxygen release by the roots, required to mitigate sulphide production (de Fouw et al. 2016a). This may imply an increase vulnerability of the mutualism, while their importance may also increase. Recent studies in North American salt marshes have shown the importance of a positive interaction on a large scale where cordgrass *Spartina alterniflora* and ribbed mussels *Geukensia demissa* are mutualistically associated (Angelini et al. 2016). In this mutualistic association, cordgrass serves as a settlement substrate for mussels and reduces heat stress by providing shading (Angelini et al. 2016). In return, mussel aggregations deposit nutrients and decrease sulphide concentrations (Derksen-Hooijberg et al. 2018a,b). During recent heat waves, the mussels were found to prevent cordgrass die-off by lowering salinity levels and generally increasing plant health (Angelini et al. 2016), highlighting its increasing importance as temperature extremes are globally predicted to increase in frequency and intensity. At the same time, however, mutualisms may also become more vulnerable to collapse as a result of an increase in climatic extremes. This phenomenon has been observed in a west-African intertidal seagrass meadows where drought disrupted the seagrass-lucinid mutualism, which in turn amplified ecosystem degradation (de Fouw et al. 2016a, de Fouw et al. 2018). Overall, recent findings suggest that facultative mutualisms supporting coastal foundation species may increase in importance in the face of global warming, but simultaneously become more vulnerable, increasing the risk of ecosystem collapse (van der Heide et al. 2021).

Our results and those from other recent studies suggest that facultative mutualistic interactions can be key interactions for the functioning of in coastal ecosystems and should thus be integrated into conservation and restoration management approaches (Derksen-Hooijberg et al. 2018a, Gagnon et al. 2020, Valdez et al. 2020, Donaher et al. 2021). In a broader perspective, it is increasingly recognised that mutualisms in

general are indispensable for maintaining biodiversity and ecosystem functioning (see [Bronstein 2015](#)). For example, inoculation of plant-specific microbes, adding mycorrhizal fungi, reintroducing seed dispersers and pollinators has been very successful to restore biodiversity or even whole ecosystems ([Holguin et al. 2001](#), [Bashan and Holguin 2002](#), [Swarts and Dixon 2009](#), [Winfree 2010](#), [Bustamante-Sánchez and Armesto 2012](#)). For seagrass conservation, an important first step would be to include the seagrass-lucinid mutualism more routinely into monitoring schemes by assessing Lucinidae abundance as an indicator for seagrass ecosystem health. In restoration projects, it may be beneficial to consider co-planting lucinids with seagrasses, at least in warmer areas with sulphide-rich sediments, an approach that has been successfully employed for the cordgrass-ribbed mussel mutualism in salt marshes ([Derksen-Hooijberg et al. 2018](#)).

**Acknowledgements** – We are grateful for technical assistance provided by Roy Peters, Germa Verheggen, Fleur Boelens and Jolieke Siepmann for nutrient and elemental analysis.

**Funding** – JdF was supported by NWO Open Competition #ALWOP.203 and TvdH by NWO-Vidi Career no. 16588.

## Author contributions

**Jim de Fouw**: Conceptualization (lead); Data curation (lead); Formal analysis (lead); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (lead); Methodology (equal); Project administration (lead); Resources (lead); Software (lead); Supervision (lead); Validation (equal); Visualization (lead); Writing – original draft (lead); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Marianne Holmer**: Methodology (supporting), Supervision (supporting), Writing – review and editing (supporting). **Pedro Beca-Carretero**: Data curation (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Christoffer Boström**: Data curation (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Jessica Brice**: Data curation (equal); Investigation (supporting), Writing – review and editing (equal). **Fernando Brun**: Data curation (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Peter M. J. M. Cruijsen**: Data curation (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Project administration (equal). **Laura Govers**: Data curation (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Joxe Garmendia**: Data curation (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Lukas Meysick**: Data curation (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Liia Pajusalu**: Data curation (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Jonathan Richer**: Data curation (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Bjorn Robroek**: Data curation (equal); Investigation (supporting), Writing – review and editing (equal). **Mireia Valle**: Data curation (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal). **Paul van der Ven**: Formal analysis (supporting), Investigation (supporting), Methodology (supporting), Writing – review and editing (supporting). **Johan Eklöf**: Formal analysis (supporting), Methodology (supporting), Writing – review and editing (equal). **Tjisse van der Heide**: Conceptualization (equal);

Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Supervision (lead); Writing – original draft (equal); Writing – review and editing (equal).

## Transparent peer review

The peer review history for this article is available at <https://publons.com/publon/10.1111/ecog.06636>.

## Data availability statement

Data are available from the Dryad Digital Repository: <https://doi.org/doi:10.5061/dryad.7sqv9s4wr> (de Fouw et al. 2023).

## Supporting information

The Supporting information associated with this article is available with the online version.

## References

- Anderson, M. J. 2008. Animal-sediment relationships re-visited: characterising species' distributions along an environmental gradient using canonical analysis and quantile regression splines. – *J. Exp. Marine Biol. Ecol.* 366: 16–27.
- Angelini, C., Griffin, J. N., van de Koppel, J., Lamers, L. P. M., Smolders, A. J. P., Derksen-Hooijberg, M., van der Heide, T. and Silliman, B. R. 2016. A keystone mutualism underpins resilience of a coastal ecosystem to drought. – *Nat. Commun.* 7: 12473.
- Arbuckle, J. L. 2011. Amos (Version 20.0) [Computer Program]. – SPSS.
- Barbier, E. B., Hacker, S. D., Kennedy, C., Koch, E. W., Stier, A. C. and Silliman, B. R. 2011. The value of estuarine and coastal ecosystem services. – *Ecol. Monogr.* 81: 169–193.
- Bashan, Y. and Holguin, G. 2002. Plant growth-promoting bacteria: a potential tool for arid mangrove reforestation. – *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 16: 159–166.
- Bayraktarov, E., Saunders, M. I., Abdullah, S., Mills, M., Behr, J., Possingham, H. P., Mumby, P. J. and Lovelock, C. E. 2016. The cost and feasibility of marine coastal restoration. – *Ecol. Appl.* 26: 1055–1074.
- Bertness, M. D. and Callaway, R. 1994. Positive interactions in communities. – *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 9: 191–193.
- Bertness, M. and Leonard, G. 1997. The role of positive interactions in communities: lessons from intertidal habitats. – *Ecology* 78: 1976–1989.
- Borum, J., Raun, A. L., Hasler-Sheetal, H., Pedersen, M. O., Pedersen, O. and Holmer, M. 2014. Eelgrass fairy rings: sulfide as inhibiting agent. – *Marine Biol.* 161: 351–358.
- Box, G. E. P. and Cox, D. R. 1964. An analysis of transformations. – *J. R. Stat. Soc. B* 26: 211–252.
- Bronstein, J. L. 2015. Mutualism. – Oxford Univ. Press.
- Bruno, J. F., Stachowicz, J. J. and Bertness, M. D. 2003. Inclusion of facilitation into ecological theory. – *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 18: 119–125.
- Burnham, K. P. and Anderson, D. R. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach. – Springer.



- Bustamante-Sánchez, M. A. and Armesto, J. J. 2012. Seed limitation during early forest succession in a rural landscape on Chiloé Island, Chile: implications for temperate forest restoration. – *J. Appl. Ecol.* 49: 1103–1112.
- Chambers, R. A., Fourqurean, J. W., Macko, S. A. and Hoppenot, R. 2001. Biogeochemical effects of iron availability on primary producers in a shallow marine carbonate environment. – *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 46: 1278–1286.
- Chin, D. W., de Fouw, J., van der Heide, T., Cahill, B. V., Katcher, K., Paul, V. J., Campbell, J. E. and Peterson, B. J. 2021. Facilitation of a tropical seagrass by a chemosymbiotic bivalve increases with environmental stress. – *J. Ecol.* 109: 204–217.
- Compton, T. J., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Drent, J. and Piersma, T. 2007. Thermal tolerance ranges and climate variability: a comparison between bivalves from differing climates. – *J. Exp. Marine Biol. Ecol.* 352: 200–211.
- Costanza, R., Darge, R., deGroot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., Oneill, R. V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R. G., Sutton, P. and vandenBelt, M. 1997. The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. – *Nature* 387: 253–260.
- Dashtgard, S., Gingras, M. and Pemberton, G. 2008. Grain-size controls on the occurrence of bioturbation. – *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 257: 224–243.
- de Fouw, J., Govers, L. L., Van Belzen, J., van de Koppel, J., Dorigo, W., Christianen, M. J. A., van der Reijden, K. J., van der Geest, M., Piersma, T., Smolders, A. J. P., Olf, H., Lamers, L. P. M., van Gils, J. A. and van der Heide, T. 2016a. Drought, mutualism breakdown and landscape-scale degradation of seagrass beds. – *Curr. Biol.* 26: 1051–1056.
- de Fouw, J., van der Heide, T., Oudman, T., Maas, L. R. M., Piersma, T. and van Gils, J. A. 2016b. Structurally complex seagrass obstructs the sixth sense of a specialized avian molluscivore. – *Anim. Behav.* 115: 55–67.
- de Fouw, J., van der Heide, T., van Belzen, J., Govers, L. L., Cheikh, M. A. S., Olf, H., van de Koppel, J. and van Gils, J. A. 2018. A facultative mutualistic feedback enhances the stability of tropical intertidal seagrass beds. – *Sci. Rep.* 8: 12988.
- de Fouw, J., Rehlmeyer, K., van der Geest, H. G., Smolders, A. and van der Heide, T. 2022. Increased temperature reduces the positive effect of sulfide-detoxification mutualism on *Zostera noltii* nutrient uptake and growth. – *Marine Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 962: 43–52.
- de Fouw, J., Holmer, M., Beca-Carretero, P., Boström, C., Brice, J., Brun, F. G., Cuijsen, P., Govers, L. L., Mikel Garmendia, J., Meysick, L., Pajusalu, L., Richir, J., Robroek, B., Valle, M., van der Ven, P., Eklöf, J. S. and van der Heide, T. 2023. Data from: A facultative mutualism facilitates European seagrass meadows. – Dryad Digital Repository, <https://doi.org/doi:10.5061/dryad.7sq9s4wr>
- De los Santos, C., Krause-Jensen, D., Alcoverro, T., Marba, N., Duarte, C., Katwijk, M., Pérez, M., Romero, J., Sánchez Lizaso, J., Roca, G., Jankowska, E., Pérez-Lloréns, J. L., J. Fournier, Montefalcone, M., Pergent, G., Ruiz, J. M., Cabaço, S., Cook, K., Wilkes, R. and Santos, R. 2019. Recent trend reversal for declining European seagrass meadows. – *Nat. Commun.* 10: 3356.
- Derksen-Hooijberg, M., Angelini, C., Lamers, L. P. M., Borst, A. C. W., Smolders, A. J. P., Hoogveld, J. R. H., Paoli, H., van de Koppel, J., Silliman, B. R. and Van der Heide, T. 2018a. Mutualistic interactions amplify saltmarsh restoration success. – *J. Appl. Ecol.* 55: 405–414.
- Derksen-Hooijberg, M., van der Heide, T., Lamers, L. P. M., Borst, A. C. W., Smolders, A. J. P., Govers, L. L., Hoogveld, J. R. H. and Angelini, C. 2018b. Burrowing crabs weaken mutualism between foundation species. – *Ecosystems* 22: 767–780.
- Donaher, S. E., Baillie, C. J., Smith, C. S., Zhang, Y. S., Albright, A., Trackenberg, S. N., Wellman, E. H., Woodard, N. and Gittman, R. K. 2021. Bivalve facilitation mediates seagrass recovery from physical disturbance in a temperate estuary. – *Ecosphere* 12: e03804.
- Douglas, E. J., Lohrer, A. M. and Pilditch, C. A. 2019. Biodiversity breakpoints along stress gradients in estuaries and associated shifts in ecosystem interactions. – *Sci. Rep.* 9: 17567.
- Dufour, S. C. 2018. Bivalve chemosymbioses on mudflats. – In: Beninger, P. G., (ed.). *Mudflat ecology*. Springer. pp. 170–180.
- Dunic, J. C., Brown, C. J., Connolly, R. M., Turschwell, M. P. and Côté, I. M. 2021. Long-term declines and recovery of meadow area across the world's seagrass bioregions. – *Global Change Biol.* 27: 4096–4109.
- Ellis, J. I., Clark, D., Atalah, J., Jiang, W., Taiapa, C., Patterson, M., Sinner, J. and Hewitt, J. 2017. Multiple stressor effects on marine infauna: responses of estuarine taxa and functional traits to sedimentation, nutrient and metal loading. – *Sci. Rep.* 7: 12013.
- Fales, R. J., Boardman, F. C. and Ruesink, J. L. 2020. Reciprocal interactions between *Bivalve* Molluscs and Seagrass: a review and meta-analysis. – *J. Shellfish. Res.* 39: 547–562, 516.
- Fourqurean, J. W., Duarte, C. M., Kennedy, H., Marba, N., Holmer, M., Mateo, M. A., Apostolaki, E. T., Kendrick, G. A., Krause-Jensen, D., McGlathery, K. J. and Serrano, O. 2012. Seagrass ecosystems as a globally significant carbon stock. – *Nat. Geosci.* 5: 505–509.
- Fox, J. and Weisberg, S. 2019. An R companion to applied regression. – Sage.
- Frederiksen, M. S., Holmer, M., Díaz-Almela, E., Marba, N. and Duarte, C. M. 2007. Sulfide invasion in the seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* at Mediterranean fish farms: assessment using stable sulfur isotopes. – *Marine Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 345: 93–104.
- Gagnon, K., Rinde, E., Bengil, E. G. T., Carugati, L., Christianen, M. J. A., Danovaro, R., Gambi, C., Govers, L. L., Kipson, S., Meysick, L., Pajusalu, L., Tüney Kızılkaya, İ., van de Koppel, J., van der Heide, T., van Katwijk, M. M. and Boström, C. 2020. Facilitating foundation species: the potential for plant-bivalve interactions to improve habitat restoration success. – *J. Appl. Ecol.* 57: 1161–1179.
- Ghani, A., Dexter, M. and Perrott, K. W. 2003. Hot-water extractable carbon in soils: a sensitive measurement for determining impacts of fertilisation, grazing and cultivation. – *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 35: 1231–1243.
- Halpern, B. S., Frazier, M., Afflerbach, J., Lowndes, J. S., Micheli, F., O'Hara, C., Scarborough, C. and Selkoe, K. A. 2019. Recent pace of change in human impact on the world's ocean. – *Sci. Rep.* 9: 11609.
- Halpern, B. S. et al. 2008. A global map of human impact on marine ecosystems. – *Science* 319: 948–952.
- Hansen, J. C. R. and Reidenbach, M. A. 2012. Wave and tidally driven flows in eelgrass beds and their effect on sediment suspension. – *Marine Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 448: 271–287.
- Hasler-Sheetal, H. and Holmer, M. 2015. Sulfide intrusion and detoxification in the seagrass *Zostera marina*. – *PLoS One* 10: e0129136.
- He, Q., Bertness, M. D. and Altieri, A. H. 2013. Global shifts towards positive species interactions with increasing environmental stress. – *Ecol. Lett.* 16: 695–706.



- Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G. and Jarvis, A. 2005. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. – *Inter. J. Climatol.* 25: 1965–1978.
- Holguin, G., Vazquez, P. and Bashan, Y. 2001. The role of sediment microorganisms in the productivity, conservation, and rehabilitation of mangrove ecosystems: an overview. – *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 33: 265–278.
- Holmer, M. and Nielsen, S. L. 1997. Sediment sulfur dynamics related to biomass-density patterns in *Zostera marina* (eelgrass) beds. – *Marine Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 146: 163–171.
- Holmer, M. and Kendrick, G. A. 2013. High sulfide intrusion in five temperate seagrasses growing under contrasting sediment conditions. – *Estuaries Coasts* 36: 116–126.
- Holmer, M., Duarte, C. M. and Marbà, N. 2005. Iron additions reduce sulfur reduction rates and improve seagrass growth on organic-rnriched carbonate sediments. – *Ecosystems* 8: 721–730.
- Holmer, M. and Hasler-Sheetal, H. 2014. Sulfide intrusion in seagrasses assessed by stable sulfur isotopes – a synthesis of current results. – *Front. Marine Sci.* 1: 64.
- Jongman, R. H. G., Ter Braak, C. J. F. and van Tongeren, O. F. R. 1995. Data analysis in community and landscape ecology. – Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Jørgensen, B. B. 1977. The sulfur cycle of a coastal marine sediment (Limfjorden, Denmark)1. – *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 22: 814–832.
- Jørgensen, B. B., Findlay, A. J. and Pellerin, A. 2019. The biogeochemical sulfur cycle of marine sediments. – *Front. Microbiol.* 10: 849–849.
- Kennedy, H., Beggins, J., Duarte, C. M., Fourqurean, J. W., Holmer, M., Marbà, N. and Middelburg, J. J. 2010. Seagrass sediments as a global carbon sink: isotopic constraints. – *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 24: GB4026.
- Kilminster, K., Forbes, V. and Holmer, M. 2014. Development of a 'sediment-stress' functional-level indicator for the seagrass *Halophila ovalis*. – *Ecol. Indicat.* 36: 280–289.
- Koch, M. S., Schopmeyer, S., Kyhn-Hansen, C. and Madden, C. J. 2007. Synergistic effects of high temperature and sulfide on tropical seagrass. – *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 341: 91–101.
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B. and Christensen, R. H. 2017. lmerTest package: tests in linear mixed effects models. – *J. Stat. Softw.* 82: 1–26.
- Lamers, L. P. M., Govers, L. L., Janssen, I. C. J. M., Geurts, J. J. M., van der Welle, M. E. W., van Katwijk, M. M., van der Heide, T., Roelofs, J. G. M. and Smolders, A. J. P. 2013. Sulfide as a soil phytotoxin – a review. – *Front. Plant. Sci.* 4: 268.
- Larkum, A. W. D., Orth, R. J. and Duarte, C. M. 2006. Seagrasses: biology, ecology and conservation. – Springer.
- Lefcheck, J. S. 2015. PIECEWISESEM: Piecewise structural equation modelling in R for ecology, evolution, and systematics. – *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 5: 573–579.
- Leuschner, C., Landwehr, S. and Mehlig, U. 1998. Limitation of carbon assimilation of intertidal *Zostera noltii* and *Z. marina* by desiccation at low tide. – *Aquat. Bot.* 62: 171–176.
- Longbottom, M. R. 1970. The distribution of *Arenicola marina* (L.) with particular reference to the effects of particle size and organic matter of the sediments. – *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 5: 138–157.
- Martin, B. C., Middleton, J. A., Fraser, M. W., Marshall, I. P. G., Scholz, V. V., Hausl, B. and Schmidt, H. 2020. Cutting out the middle clam: Lucinid endosymbiotic bacteria are also associated with seagrass roots worldwide. – *ISME J.* 14: 2901–2905.
- Maxwell, P. S., Eklöf, J. S., Katwijk, M. M., O'Brien, K., de la Torre-Castro, M., Boström, C., Bouma, T. J., Krause-Jensen, D., Unsworth, R. K. F., van Tussenbroek, B. I. and van der Heide, T. 2017. The fundamental role of ecological feedback mechanisms for the adaptive management of seagrass ecosystems – a review. – *Biol. Rev.* 92: 1521–1538.
- Meysick, L., Norkko, A., Gagnon, K., Gräfnings, M. and Boström, C. 2020. Context-dependency of eelgrass-clam interactions: implications for coastal restoration. – *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 647: 93–108.
- Nakagawa, S. and Schielzeth, H. 2013. A general and simple method for obtaining  $R^2$  from generalized linear mixed-effects models. – *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 4: 133–142.
- Olivé, I., García-Sánchez, M. P., Brun, F. G., Vergara, J. J. and Pérez-Lloréns, J. L. 2009. Interactions of light and organic matter under contrasting resource simulated environments: the importance of clonal traits in the seagrass *Zostera noltii*. – *Hydrobiologia* 629: 199–208.
- Orth, R. J., Carruthers, T. J. B., Dennison, W. C., Duarte, C. M., Fourqurean, J. W., Heck, K. L., Hughes, A. R., Kendrick, G. A., Kenworthy, W. J., Olyarnik, S., Short, F. T., Waycott, M. and Williams, S. L. 2006. A global crisis for seagrass ecosystems. – *Bioscience* 56: 987–996.
- Pedersen, O., Binzer, T. and Borum, J. 2004. Sulphide intrusion in eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.). – *Plant Cell. Environ.* 27: 595–602.
- Peterson, B. J. and Heck, K. L., Jr. 2001. Positive interactions between suspension-feeding bivalves and seagrass – a facultative mutualism. – *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 213: 143–155.
- Rossi, F., Colao, E., Martinez, M. J., Klein, J. C., Carcaillet, F., Callier, M. D., Wit, R. d. and Caro, A. 2013. Spatial distribution and nutritional requirements of the endosymbiont-bearing bivalve *Loripes lacteus* (sensu Poli, 1791) in a Mediterranean *Nanozostera noltii* (Hornemann) meadow. – *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 440: 108–115.
- Ruiz-Halpern, S., Macko, S. A. and Fourqurean, J. W. 2008. The effects of manipulation of sedimentary iron and organic matter on sediment biogeochemistry and seagrasses in a subtropical carbonate environment. – *Biogeochemistry* 87: 113–126.
- Saunders, M. I., Doropoulos, C., Bayraktarov, E., Babcock, R. C., Gorman, D., Eger, A. M., Vozzo, M. L., Gillies, C. L., Vanderklift, M. A., Steven, A. D. L., Bustamante, R. H. and Silliman, B. R. 2020. Bright spots in coastal marine ecosystem restoration. – *Curr. Biol.* 30: R1500–R1510.
- Stanley, S. M. 2014. Evolutionary radiation of shallow-water Lucinidae (Bivalvia with endosymbionts) as a result of the rise of seagrasses and mangroves. – *Geology* 42: 803–806.
- Stevens, G. C. 1989. The latitudinal gradient in geographical range: how so many species coexist in the tropics. – *Am. Nat.* 133: 240–256.
- Swarts, N. and Dixon, K. 2009. Terrestrial orchid conservation in the age of extinction. – *Ann. Bot.* 104: 543–556.
- Taylor, J. D. and Glover, E. A. 2000. Functional anatomy, chemosymbiosis and evolution of the *Lucinidae*. – In: *The evolutionary biology of the Bivalvia*. – Geological Society Special Publications, Geological Society. pp. 207–225.
- Taylor, J. and Glover, E. 2021. Biology, evolution and generic review of the chemosymbiotic bivalve family *Lucinidae*. – Ray Society.
- Terrados, J., Duarte, C., Kamp-Nielsen, L., Agawin, N. S., Gacia, E., Lacap, D., Fortes, M., Borum, J., Lubanski, M. and Greve, T. M. 1999. Are seagrass growth and survival constrained by reducing conditions of the sediment? – *Aquat. Bot.* 65: 175–197.

- Timbs, R. and Durako, M. J. 2021. Landscape-scale variation in a sulfur-based sediment stress indicator for the seagrass *Thalassia testudinum* in Florida Bay, USA. – *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 670: 33–47.
- Touchette, B. W. and Burkholder, J. M. 2000. Overview of the physiological ecology of carbon metabolism in seagrasses. – *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 250: 169–205.
- Valdez, S. R., Zhang, Y. S., van der Heide, T., Vanderklift, M. A., Tarquinio, F., Orth, R. J. and Silliman, B. R. 2020. Positive ecological interactions and the success of seagrass restoration. – *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7: 91.
- van der Geest, M., Sall, A. A., Ely, S. O., Nauta, R. W., van Gils, J. A. and Piersma, T. 2014. Nutritional and reproductive strategies in a chemosymbiotic bivalve living in a tropical intertidal seagrass bed. – *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 501: 113–126.
- van der Geest, M., van der Heide, T., Holmer, M. and de Wit, R. 2020. First field-based evidence that the seagrass-Lucinid mutualism can mitigate sulfide stress in seagrasses. – *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7: 11.
- van der Heide, T., van Nes, E. H., Geerling, G. W., Smolders, A. J. P., Bouma, T. J. and van Katwijk, M. 2007. Positive feedbacks in seagrass ecosystems: implications for success in conservation and restoration. – *Ecosystems* 10: 1311–1322.
- van der Heide, T., Peeters, E. T. H. M., Hermus, D. C. R., van Katwijk, M. M., Roelofs, J. G. M. and Smolders, A. J. P. 2009. Predicting habitat suitability in temperate seagrass ecosystems. – *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 54: 2018–2024.
- van der Heide, T., Bouma, T. J., van Nes, E. H., van de Koppel, J., Scheffer, M., Roelofs, J. G. M., van Katwijk, M. M. and Smolders, A. J. P. 2010. Spatial self-organized patterning in seagrasses along a depth gradient of an intertidal ecosystem. – *Ecology* 91: 362–369.
- van der Heide, T., Govers, L. L., de Fouw, J., Olff, H., van der Geest, M., van Katwijk, M. M., Piersma, T., van de Koppel, J., Silliman, B. R., Smolders, A. J. P. and van Gils, J. A. 2012. A three-stage symbiosis forms the foundation of seagrass ecosystems. – *Science* 336: 1432–1434.
- van der Heide, T., Angelini, C., de Fouw, J. and Eklöf, J. S. 2021. Facultative mutualisms: a double-edged sword for foundation species in the face of anthropogenic global change. – *Ecol. Evol.* 11: 29–44.
- Waycott, M., Duarte, C. M., Carruthers, T. J. B., Orth, R. J., Dennison, W. C., Olyarnik, S., Calladine, A., Fourqurean, J. W., Heck, K. L., Hughes, A. R., Kendrick, G. A., Kenworthy, W. J., Short, F. T. and Williams, S. L. 2009. Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. – *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 106: 12377–12381.
- Wentworth, C. K. 1922. A scale of grade and class terms for clastic sediments. – *J. Geol.* 30: 377–392.
- Winfree, R. 2010. The conservation and restoration of wild bees. – *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1195: 169–197.
- Zuur, A. F., Ieno, E. N., Walker, N. J., Saveliev, A. A. and Smith, G. M. 2009. Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R. – Springer.