



This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Dimethyl fumarate decreases short-term but not long-term inflammation in a focal EAE model of neuroinflammation

Vainio, SK; Dickens, AM; Matilainen, M; Lopez-Picon, FR; Aarnio, R; Eskola, O; Solin, O; Anthony, DC; Rinne, JO; Airas, L; Haaparanta-Solin, M

Published in: EJNMMI Research

DOI: 10.1186/s13550-022-00878-y

Published: 02/02/2022

Document Version Final published version

Document License CC BY

Link to publication

Please cite the original version:

Vainio, SK., Dickens, AM., Matilainen, M., Lopez-Picon, FR., Aarnio, R., Eskola, O., Solin, O., Anthony, DC., Rinne, JO., Airas, L., & Haaparanta-Solin, M. (2022). Dimethyl fumarate decreases short-term but not long-term inflammation in a focal EAE model of neuroinflammation. *EJNMMI Research*, *12*(1), Article 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13550-022-00878-y

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ORIGINAL RESEARCH





Dimethyl fumarate decreases short-term but not long-term inflammation in a focal EAE model of neuroinflammation

S. K. Vainio^{1,2*}, A. M. Dickens^{3,4}, M. Matilainen^{5,10}, F. R. López-Picón^{1,2}, R. Aarnio^{2,10}, O. Eskola⁷, O. Solin^{6,7}, D. C. Anthony⁸, J. O. Rinne^{9,10}, L. Airas^{9,11}, and M. Haaparanta-Solin^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: Dimethyl fumarate (DMF) is an oral immunomodulatory drug used in the treatment of autoimmune diseases. Here, we sought to study whether the effect of DMF can be detected using positron emission tomography (PET) targeting the 18-kDa translocator protein (TSPO) in the focal delayed-type hypersensitivity rat model of multiple sclerosis (*f*DTH-EAE). The rats were treated orally twice daily from lesion activation (day 0) with either vehicle (tap water with 0.08% Methocel, 200 µL; control group n = 4 (3 after week four)) or 15 mg/kg DMF (n = 4) in 0.08% aqueous Methocel (200 µL) for 8 weeks. The animals were imaged by PET using the TSPO tracer [¹⁸F]GE-180 in weeks 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, and 18 following lesion activation, and the non-displaceable binding potential (BP_{ND}) was calculated. Immunohistochemical staining for Iba1, CD4, and CD8 was performed in week 18, and in separate cohorts of animals, following 2 or 4 weeks of treatment.

Results: Using the *f*DTH-EAE model, DMF reduced the [¹⁸F]GE-180 BP_{ND} in the DMF-treated animals compared to control animals after 1 week of treatment (two-tailed unpaired *t* test, p = 0.031), but not in weeks 2, 4, 8, or 18 when imaged in vivo by PET. Immunostaining for Iba1 showed that DMF had no effect on the perilesional volume or the core lesion volume after 2 or 4 weeks of treatment, or at 18 weeks. However, the optical density (OD) measurements of CD4⁺ staining showed reduced OD in the lesions of the treated rats.

Conclusions: DMF reduced the microglial activation in the *f*DTH-EAE model after 1 week of treatment, as detected by PET imaging of the TSPO ligand [¹⁸F]GE-180. However, over an extended time course, reduced microglial activation was not observed using [¹⁸F]GE-180 or by immunohistochemistry for Iba1⁺ microglia/macrophages. Additionally, DMF did affect the infiltration of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-lymphocytes at the *f*DTH-EAE lesion.

Highlights

In a focal rat DTH-EAE model of neuroinflammation, dimethyl fumarate decreases the uptake of TSPO PET tracer [18F] GE-180 in the short term.

Long-term [¹⁸F]GE-180 follow-up did not indicate a treatment effect.

Decreased neuroinflammation, CD4⁺ T cell infiltration, and CD8⁺ T cell infiltration were detected using immunohistochemistry.

*Correspondence: sukakoi@utu.fi

¹ Turku PET Centre, Preclinical PET Imaging, Preclinical Imaging

Laboratory, University of Turku, Tykistökatu 6 A, 20520 Turku, Finland

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Keywords: Multiple sclerosis, fDTH-EAE, Dimethyl fumarate, BG-12, [¹⁸F]GE-180

Background

Dimethyl fumarate (DMF, BG-12) is an oral first-line therapy for multiple sclerosis (MS) [1, 2] that received FDA approval in 2013, but the precise mode of action in MS remains unclear. In human studies, DMF reduces relapse rate and radiological signs of disease activity [3]. Its primary metabolite, monomethyl fumarate, also appears to contribute to the therapeutic effect, which may be both immunomodulatory and neuroprotective [4]. It has been shown that DMF activates the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2), and therefore, it can be argued to have antioxidant effects. However, the impact of DMF on microglial and lymphocyte function in vivo is less well studied [5, 6]. DMF has been shown to reduce T cell and macrophage infiltration into the spinal cord of a mouse EAE model [7] and across human brain endothelial cells in vitro [8].

Within active MS lesions, the presence of 'activated' microglia is a characteristic feature, and their presence contributes to demyelination, axonal injury, and neuronal loss. Activated microglia in MS lesions can be visualised using PET- or SPECT-based molecular imaging with ligands that bind to the mitochondrial 18-kDa translocator protein (TSPO).

In the central nervous system, microglia acquire dysfunctional phenotypes when preserving brain homeostasis [9]. DMF has been shown to reduce the T cell migration potential in MS patients [10], whereas the effect of DMF on microglial functions is unclear [11]. Thus, we wanted to study the effect of oral DMF on microglial activation using positron emission tomography (PET) and immunohistochemistry (IHC). Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) is widely used in animal studies of MS. We decided to use a focal delayed-type hypersensitivity (fDTH)-EAE rat model of MS [12] because of its focal nature and usability in imaging studies [13]. Disseminated EAE models have previously been treated with DMF, with preventive use reducing disease activity [14]. Here, the treatment was started on the day of lesion activation.

In this study, we investigated whether a treatment effect of DMF can be detected by TSPO PET imaging using the tracer [¹⁸F]GE-180 and by IHC. We sought to determine whether DMF would reduce microglial activation and the number of T lymphocytes in the lesions of the *f*DTH-EAE rat model of MS. In addition, we wanted to determine whether a rebound effect occurs after withdrawing the treatment, as a report of rebound after discontinuation of DMF has been described [15].

Methods

Animals

Animal experiments were carried out according to ARRIVE guidelines 2.0 [16]; the United Kingdom Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986; and EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments. The experiment received ethics approval from the Finnish National Animal Experiment Board (ESAVI/6360/04.10.03/2011). Male Lewis rats (n=24) were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Sulzfeld, Germany) and acclimatised for 7 days before the start of the experiments. Animals were housed in accordance with the Treaty of Amsterdam protocol for animal welfare, in pairs in individually ventilated cages with a consistent temperature of 21 (1.2)°C and consistent humidity of 55 (5)% with a 12-h light/dark cycle. Food (CRM(E) Expanded, Special Diet Services, UK) and tap water were provided ad libitum. Values are indicated as mean (SD).

The animals were randomly assigned to the treatment groups (Fig. 1). The *f*DTH model of MS was induced in the same manner as described previously [13]. Briefly, to induce the fDTH lesion, heat-killed bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG, 10⁵ organisms in 2 µL of phosphate-buffered saline) was injected in the right striatum (RC + 1.0 mm, ML + 3.0 mm, DV-4.0 mm from bregma).The injection was performed in four 0.5 μ L volumes by using a Hamilton syringe (Sigma-Aldrich) within the dorsal ventral depth -4.0-2.5 mm over 10 min to avoid back-flow of the thick BCG. Four weeks after the intracranial injection of BCG (i.e. at week 0), the animals were peripherally sensitised by intradermal injection of heatkilled mycobacterium tuberculosis (TB, 1.5 mg, Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) in an emulsion of complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA, 50:50 v/v FA/saline, 100 μL, Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA) into the flank of the rat, which initiates an *f*DTH lesion at the site of the intracranial injection of heat-killed BCG. This lesion activation step, at 4 weeks after the intracranial microinjection of BCG, is recorded as experimental day 0. The study animals were divided into three sets of eight rats each (n=4 treated and n=4 control): Set A was imaged by PET and euthanised for IHC after the last PET study, Set B was used for IHC after 2 weeks of treatment, and Set C used for IHC after 4 weeks of treatment. These time points were chosen to correspond with the time points of PET imaging in Set A. The time points for PET imaging were chosen for baseline imaging, acute phase of inflammation (at 1-2 weeks) and chronic phase (at 4-8 weeks) and to evaluate the potential rebound effect after halting



phosphate-buffered saline) was injected stereotaxically in the right striatum over 10 min. At week 0, the animals were intradermally injected with heat-killed mycobacterium tuberculosis (TB; 1,5 mg) in complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) to activate the brain lesion peripherally. Dosing of dimethyl fumarate (DMF; 15 mg/kg) or vehicle (tap water with 0.08% Methocel) using oral gavage was started on day 0 in week 0. Set A animals had a baseline PET study on the same day. Set A animals were imaged during the treatment regimen in weeks 1, 2, 4, and 8, as well as 10 weeks after the treatment finished (i.e. week 18). One Set A animals from the control group died after imaging in week 4. Set B animals were euthanised for immunohistochemistry (IHC) in week 2 and set C animals were euthanised for IHC in week 4



the treatment for 10 weeks (at 18 weeks). Weight gain was measured throughout the imaging period (Fig. 2).

Starting on day 0, the rats were treated twice daily *per* os with 15 mg/kg of DMF (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Steinheim, Germany) diluted in 0.08% aqueous Methocel (200 μ L, Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Steinheim, Germany), or vehicle (tap water with 0.08% Methocel) for controls, using an oral gavage. Dosing of DMF was based on previous reports [7, 17]. Methocel was used because of the poor solubility of DMF in water [7]. To aid the dissolution of DMF, the solution was sonicated for 15 min.

Radiochemistry

 $[{}^{18}\text{F}]\text{GE-180}$ ((S)-*N*,*N*-diethyl-9-(2- $[{}^{18}\text{F}]$ fluoroethyl)-5-methoxy-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1*H*-carbazole-4-carboxamide) was synthesised at the Radiopharmaceutical Chemistry Laboratory of Turku PET Centre as described previously [18]. The molar activity of $[{}^{18}\text{F}]\text{GE-180}$ was 1.6(0.2) TBq/µmol at the end of syntheses (*n*=6). The radiochemical purity was ≥ 97.8%.

PET

Animal PET imaging was performed using an Inveon Siemens multimodality PET/computed tomography (CT) scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Knoxville, TN) designed for small laboratory animals. The rats were anaesthetised with isoflurane/air (4% isoflurane with 700 mL/min air for induction and 2-3.0% isoflurane with 400-500 mL/min air for maintenance on the table, until the animal was placed in the PET scanner) 20 min before the [¹⁸F]GE-180 injection. During the PET scan, the rats were under anaesthesia in 2-2.5% isoflurane/700 mL oxygen. Body temperature was maintained during imaging using a heating pad on which the rats slept. Two animals were imaged at once. Following transmission scans for attenuation correction using the CT modality, static PET images (5 \times 300 s frames) were obtained 25–50 min after the injection of [¹⁸F]GE-180 with an energy window of 350-650 keV. The injected activity for DMF-treated animals was 32.23(1.27) MBq and the injected mass 28.4(13.4) ng/kg. The injected activity for the control

animals was 32.56(1.18) MBq and the injected mass 29.2(12.9) ng/kg.

The PET data were reconstructed using the orderedsubsets expectation maximisation algorithm in three dimensions (OSEM3D) twice and MAP iterative reconstruction protocols 18 times in the InveonTM acquisition software (Siemens Medical Solutions, USA).

Images were analysed using PMOD analysis software (v3.4 PMOD Technologies Ltd., Zürich, Switzerland). Images were divided and aligned to the Schiffer MR template that is inbuilt into the software by first aligning the PET to the CT space, after which the CT was aligned to the MR space. Alignment of the PET image to the MR space was achieved by combining the transformations, applying manual supervision and motion correction whenever needed. The images were summed to standard-ised uptake value (SUV) maps (25–50 min).

The volume of interest (VOI) was drawn with the Automatic Isocontour Detection tool for each animal individually by choosing the stage of the lesion at its largest (varied between individuals from week 1 to week 2) and applying this VOI to all other time points within one individual. In addition, a contralateral, spherical VOI was drawn on the contralateral striatum. The non-displaceable binding potential (BP_{ND}) was calculated as: (ipsilateral uptake – contralateral uptake) / contralateral uptake.

Immunohistochemistry

Animals were perfuse-fixed with periodate-lysineparaformaldehyde fixative with 0.1 vol% glutaraldehyde and cryoprotected with sucrose. The method has been described in more detail previously [13, 19]. Staining was performed in a semiautomatic LabVision autostainer (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). Sections were pre-heated in citrate buffer (pH 6, Genmed), blocked with hydrogen peroxide and pre-protein block (Draco antibody diluent; WellMed), and incubated with either Iba1-Ab (Wako, 1:2000 dilution, RT), anti-CD4 (Abcam, ab33775, dilution 1:50), or anti-CD8 (Abcam, ab33786, dilution 1:200) for 60 min at room temperature (RT). For the anti-Iba1 staining, the Orion 1 step detection system (Goat antirabbit HRP; WellMed) was used as a secondary antibody (Ab) for 30 min at RT. For anti-CD4 and anti-CD8 staining, the Bright vision 1 step detection system (Goat antimouse HRP) was applied. The sections were stained with DAB (Taurus; WellMed) and Mayer's haematoxylin.

Anti-Iba1 staining was performed to detect activated microglia within and around the lesion core. Ten-micrometre-thick brain sections were obtained with a gap of a 100 μ m through the *f*DTH lesion area of 750 μ m from the lesion centre on either side. The Iba1-immunopositive area of the perilesional area or the hypercellular core (depicted in Fig. 4d) was assessed using CaseViewer 2.1 software (3DHISTECH Ltd., Budapest, Hungary). The semi-quantitative volume calculation was performed by drawing the area of activated microglia for each brain section and extrapolating the area in between using the trapezoidal rule. The perilesional volume (Fig. 4a) and the lesion core volume (Fig. 4b) were calculated for both the control and the DMF-treated animals.

To detect T lymphocytes, brain sections (n=3) adjacent to the lesion core with anti-Iba1 staining were selected. Because individual CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. 5) could not be calculated, quantitation was performed by measuring the optical density (OD) of a region of interest (ROI) at the lesion core, in the perilesional area, and at the contralateral site corresponding to the lesion core on the ipsilateral side of the coronal brain section. The image was deconvolved to an 8-bit image, which corresponds to the DAB staining, using Fiji software (ImageJ v1.52p). It is, on this image, that the ROIs were drawn. OD_{ROI} was calculated using the formula log(maximum intensity/mean intensity_{ROI}), where maximum intensity is 255 that corresponds to white in an 8-bit image, and mean intensity_{ROI} is the mean intensity from the lesional or perilesional area obtained from three brain sections within one individual [20, 21]. The function is logarithmic since the signal from a microscopy image is nonlinear. The final OD count was obtained by reducing the contralateral OD_{ROI} from the lesion or perilesional OD_{ROI} , i.e. $OD = OD_{ROIlesion or perilesion} - OD_{ROIcontra}$.

Statistical analyses

The statistical analysis for the weight gain in Set A animals (Fig. 2) at all studied time points (weeks—4, 0, 1, 2, 4, 8, 11, 14, 15, and 18) was performed using a linear mixed model with a compound symmetry covariance structure. The model included a time factor, a group factor, and their interaction. The interaction term was used to assess whether the change over time was significantly different in the DMF-treated group compared to the control group. In case the interaction term was significant, the differences in changes at all time points were checked in a post hoc analysis. The logarithm of the response was used instead of the original values for the model to fulfil the normality assumption. With the original values, the assumptions were violated due to non-normal distribution of the values.

Statistical analysis of the change in BP_{ND} compared to the baseline was analysed using a two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test for each time point separately (Graph-Pad Prism 9, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA), and additionally, in the same manner as the weight gain analysis, by using a linear mixed model with a compound symmetry covariance structure. However, the logarithm of the response was used instead of the original values for the model to fulfil the normality assumption.

Anti-CD4 and anti-CD8 staining data, with OD as the response, were analysed separately using linear mixed models with compound symmetry covariance structures. The models included an OD_{ROI} factor (lesion or perilesional area), a group factor (DMF-treated or control), week as a group factor with a separate set of subjects for weeks 2 and 4, and the interaction term between OD_{ROI} and group, with possible post hoc analysis in case of significance. The square root of the response was used instead of the original values for the model to fulfil the normality assumption in both models.

In post hoc analyses, *p*-values were adjusted using the Tukey–Kramer method. The normality assumption was checked using the studentised residuals. The linear mixed model analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). All statistical tests were two-sided with the significance level set at 0.05. Values for animal weight data, injected radiochemical masses, BP_{ND} and OD are reported as means (SDs).

Results

Animals

All animals (n=24) recovered from the *f*DTH modelinduction procedures and showed no clinical manifestation of neuroinflammation, when observed daily for signs of neurological symptoms. During the week 4 PET study, one control animal from Set A died in the PET scanner, owing possibly to an overdose of anaesthesia, but we retained the early time points, i.e. week 0, 1, and 2 results, in the PET analysis. A focal lesion was detected by IHC in all but one animal.

Body weight increased significantly (Fig. 2) in both the control and DMF-treated groups (p < 0.001) throughout the study period and between almost all time points. We found no significant differences in weight change over time between the DMF-treated group and the control group (p=0.356) at each time point. In addition, weight did not differ between the groups (p=0.158).

PET

The $[{}^{18}F]$ GE-180 images were analysed by comparing the BP_{ND} of the specific week (W=1/2/4/8/18) to the baseline BP_{ND} at week 0 (BP_{ND} $\Delta_{weekW-week0}$). The analysis revealed that the BP_{ND} of $[{}^{18}F]$ GE-180 at week 1 (BP_{ND} $\Delta_{week1-week0}$) was reduced in the DMF-treated group compared to the control group in the *f*DTH model (*p*=0.031; Fig. 3a, b, Additional file 1: Fig. 3). However, we found no significant differences in the changes in BP_{ND} $\Delta_{weekW-week0}$ over time between the DMF-treated group and control group (*p*=0.142) in weeks 1, 2, 4, and 8 (Fig. 3c). Furthermore, we did not detect a rebound effect in week 18 after halting the DMF treatment in week 8 (Fig. 3c). The values in Fig. 3a, c represent the changes compared to baseline and not the original values to aid comparability of the BP_{ND} between different individuals. However, the original values were applied in the statistical analyses.

The BP_{ND} values did not differ between the groups overall (p=0.614). However, we did find significant changes in BP_{ND} $\Delta_{\text{weekW-week0}}$ over time among all individuals (p=0.024), especially between the baseline imaging and 1-week follow-up (p=0.018), as well as baseline and 2-week follow-up (p=0.030) when looking at unadjusted p-values (Fig. 3c). A similar significance was also detected between week 2 and week 4 follow-up (p=0.035), week 2 and week 8 follow-up (p=0.014), as well as week 2 and week 18 follow-up (p=0.032) when looking at unadjusted p-values.

Immunohistochemistry

The semi-quantitative analysis performed against Iba1, indicating microglial activation and infiltrated macrophages (Fig. 4c), showed no differences in lesion size between the control and DMF-treated animals when calculating the perilesional volume (Fig. 4a) or the lesion core volume (Fig. 4b).

To detect lymphocyte infiltration, staining was performed against CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. 5). Anti-CD4⁺ and anti-CD8⁺ T lymphocytes were present at the lesion core in both control and DMF-treated animals in week 2 (i.e. Set B) and week 4 (i.e. Set C; Fig. 5c). For anti-CD4 staining, the group and OD_{ROI} interaction were significant, i.e. the effect of OD_{ROI} differs significantly in different groups (p < 0.001). The control animals had significantly higher OD values at the lesion core (Fig. 5a) compared to the perilesional area (Fig. 5b; p < 0.001), but such differences were not found in the DMF-treated group (p=1) in the post hoc analysis. Furthermore, the control animals had significantly higher OD values at the lesion core (Fig. 5a) compared to the DMF-treated group (p=0.041). We found no differences between weeks 2 and 4 (p = 0.3), and no differences in OD were detected in the perilesional area (Fig. 5b).

For anti-CD8 staining, the group and OD_{ROI} interaction was significant, i.e. the effect of OD_{ROI} differs significantly in different groups (p = 0.012). The control animals had significantly higher OD values at the focal lesion core (Fig. 5a) compared to the perilesional area (Fig. 5b; p < 0.001), whereas such differences were not found in the DMF-treated group (p=0.2) in post hoc analysis. The difference in lesion OD values between the control and DMF-treated groups was not significant (p=0.120). In addition, there was no difference between weeks 2 and



 (BP_{ND}) (ipsilateral uptake – contralateral uptake)/ contralateral uptake. After this, $BP_{ND}\Delta_{weekW-week0}$ was calculated by reducing the BP_{ND} of the specific week (W = 1/2/4/8/18) from the baseline image of an individual using a constant VOI for each animal through the different time points. The treatment period for Set A animals is indicated as grey background in the graph

4 (p = 0.9), and no differences in OD in the perilesional area (Fig. 5b).

Discussion

In this study, the effect of DMF on a *f*DTH-EAE rat model was studied using the TSPO PET tracer [¹⁸F]GE-180 and IHC. [¹⁸F]GE-180 has been shown to detect neuroin-flammation in animal models [22] and can be used as a marker of neuropathological changes in animal models of MS [23]. By using [¹⁸F]GE-180, we were able to detect a reduced uptake in the *f*DTH-EAE rats after 1 week of

twice daily treatment with DMF *per os* compared to the vehicle-treated control group. However, no therapeutic effect was seen after the first week of treatment with DMF compared to the groups by PET using [¹⁸F]GE-180. Clearly, at the later time points, more power would have been needed to confirm the result. Student's t-test was performed initially to see whether there is a difference between the changes from baseline to a specific week. In the mixed model, all the differences between all the time points were also considered, and thus, important changes from baseline that we are actually interested in



may have lost their significance after multiple comparison corrections. In addition, [¹⁸F]GE-180 may not be specific enough to detect the cellular changes in inflammation in this model, which include infiltration of T cells, monocyte recruitment, axonal and myelin damage, upregulation of matrix metalloproteinases, and microglial activation. DMF is affecting mostly the lymphocyte composition; thus, the changes in TSPO expression may be moderate.

As a limitation of the study, we can mention high variability between subjects when studying TSPO expression. There is individual variation in the animal's response to lesion induction, despite the efforts to standardise the surgical procedure. This has been detected in our previous studies as well [24]. Furthermore, due to the thickness of BCG, there is always backflow of the substance through the injection track. If the BCG reaches the cortical surface of the brain, spontaneous lesions may occur and cause variation in the lesions [25].

Our analyses also showed that radiometabolites were present in the rat brain (Additional file 1: Supplemental data), which has the consequence that the radioactivity signal is derived, not only from the tracer, but also from its radioactive metabolites. Previous studies have reported the presence of radiometabolites in the rat brain [26]. Analysis of the binding of plasma radioactive components to plasma proteins (Additional file 1: Supplemental data) indicated that the radioactive metabolites



would be less bound to plasma proteins than the tracer $[^{18}F]$ GE-180. Only the free fractions of the parent and radiometabolites are free to cross the BBB [27-29]; thus, even the less lipophilic radiometabolites may transport across the BBB due to their elevated free fraction.

In clinical studies, [¹⁸F]GE-180 has been shown to detect active lesions in relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) due to tracer penetration through the disturbed BBB [30], though the tracer distribution volume is low [31], and its BBB penetration through an intact BBB in humans is poor [32]. Importantly, TSPO expression in rodents reflects the activation phenotype of microglia after pro-inflammatory activation and the infiltration of macrophages, whereas in humans it may reflect microglia and macrophage density [33].

Although rebound after discontinuation of DMF therapy has been reported previously [15], we observed no changes in $[^{18}F]$ GE-180 uptake 10 weeks after the discontinuation of treatment, indicating no rebound effect. However, we cannot rule out a rebound effect prior to study week 18, which was the time point used in this study for practical reasons.

Clinical use of oral DMF in RRMS has demonstrated the efficacy and ease of use with tolerable adverse effects, which is why it is a first-line treatment [34, 35], even though the mechanism of action of the drug is not fully understood [36]. Here, we were not able to detect a reduced lesion size in the *f*DTH-EAE model using anti-Iba1 staining against activated microglia/macrophages after 2 or 4 weeks of DMF treatment.

DMF has been shown to reduce both the CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ lymphocyte counts in patients with RRMS [37]. Therefore, IHC was performed against CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T lymphocytes. Interestingly, both $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ stainings were detected not only at the lesion core at the site of lymphocyte infiltration, but also as a halo in the perilesional area. Therefore, we calculated the OD for both the lesion core and the perilesional area. The control group had significantly higher OD values in the lesion core (Additional file 1: Fig. 2), which may be due to the presence of lymphocytes with high expression of CD4 and CD8 compared to the microglia in the perilesional area. In addition, DMF treatment reduced the staining of CD4 at the lesion core, which indicates that DMF reduced the infiltration of T cells at the lesion core but did not affect the perilesional staining.

The halo of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ cells corresponds to the anti-Iba1-staining of microglia, possibly indicating the expression of CD4 and CD8 in the activated microglia in addition to the T cells. Microglia were previously reported to express CD4 [38, 39]. In addition, increased CD8 signalling has been shown to be associated with microglial activation and macrophages in post-stroke

brain damage [40]. While expressing both CD4 and CD8, the neuroinflammatory damage in the *f*DTH lesion seems to promote polarisation of the microglia into the pro-inflammatory subtype. The IHC data were collected from samples 2 and 4 weeks after treatment, but unfortunately not at week 1, which would correspond with the PET data.

Studies of the pharmacokinetic properties of DMF have reported that the pharmacokinetics are quick, but dosing with DMF twice or thrice a day does not alter the therapeutic outcome in humans [41]. When this experiment was performed, preventive dosing with 15 mg/kg twice a day in EAE mice had been reported to affect the clinical symptoms of EAE [7]. Thus, we started dosing with DMF on day 0, the day of peripheral activation with CFA and TB, to aim for the acute period before lesion activation in the CNS. However, a study by Lin and colleagues (2016) using DMF doses of 25 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg showed dose-dependence of DMF efficacy when treating EAE [42] and thus recommended a higher dose of medication.

DMF has been reported to potentially have gastrointestinal effects [43], which are possibly mediated by hydroxy-carboxylic acid receptor 2 (HCA₂) [44] and manifest as less weight gain. We followed the weight gain of the rats (Fig. 2) and did not observe this effect with the *f*DTH-EAE Lewis rats treated with DMF during weeks 0–8. The treated and control rats did not differ in weight at any of the measurement time points during the 18-week follow-up. Therefore, we do not expect any gastrointestinal effects in rats during DMF treatment.

Conclusions

DMF reduces the uptake of TSPO PET tracer [18 F] GE-180 in the *f*DTH model after 1 week of treatment, but *f*DTH lesion formation is not affected by the DMF treatment in the long term when imaged with [18 F]GE-180 and IHC staining against Iba1. However, a reduction of infiltrating CD4⁺ T lymphocytes can be detected at the lesion core in the DMF-treated group. In addition, the control group had higher CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ OD in the lesion core when compared to the perilesional area.

Abbreviations

[1⁸F]GE-180: (*S*)-*N*,*N*-Diethyl-9-(2-[¹⁸F]fluoroethyl)-5-methoxy-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1*H*-carbazole-4-carboxamide; Ab: Antibody; ANOVA: Analysis of variance; BP_{ND}: Non-displaceable binding potential; BBB: Blood–brain barrier; BCG: Bacillus Calmette–Guérin; CD4: Cluster of differentiation 4; CD8: Cluster of differentiation 8; DMF: Dimethyl fumarate; fDTH-EAE: Focal delayed-type hypersensitivity experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; MS: Multiple sclerosis; Nrf2: Nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2; OD: Optical density; OSEM3D: Ordered-subsets expectation maximisation algorithm in three dimensions; PET: Positron emission tomography; ROI: Region of interest; RRMS: Relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis; SUV: Standardised uptake value; TSPO: 18-KDa Translocator protein; VOI: VoIume of interest.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13550-022-00878-y.

Additional file 1. Supplemental data.

Acknowledgements

University of Turku Central Animal Laboratory (UTUCAL) is gratefully acknowledged for maintaining the welfare of the animals during this experiment. We thank Histocore for their services in immunohistochemical staining. We would like to thank Elnaz Fazeli and Laura Mairinoja from Turku Bioimaging for providing their help in the quantification of the immunohistochemistry. The authors also gratefully acknowledge Marko Vehmanen, Elisa Riuttala, and Aake Honkaniemi for technical help at the PET Medicity Preclinical Laboratory. GE Healthcare the precursors and references for the radiotracer.

Authors' contributions

Each author contributed significantly to this work. SKV and AMD acquired the data. SKV analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. AD revised the text. OE produced the radiotracer, and MM performed the statistical analyses of the manuscript, and both critically revised the manuscript. FRLP contributed to the IHC analysis and revised the manuscript. RA described the methods, analysed, and wrote the metabolite analysis and protein binding data. DCA, MH-S, LA, OS, and JOR contributed to the conception and design of the study and critically contributed to and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° HEALTH-F2-2011-278850 (INMiND). Funding for the present study was partially provided by an investigator-initiated trial grant from Biogen and the Academy of Finland. Susanne Vainio was supported by The Swedish Cultural Foundation in Finland, Turku University Foundation, and The Finnish Concordia Fund. Dr. Markus Matilainen is financially supported by InFLAMES Flagship Programme of the Academy of Finland (decision number: 337530).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets of this article are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Declarations

Competing interests

Vainio S K, Matilainen M, Aarnio R, López-Picón F R, Eskola O, Solin O, Anthony D C, Airas L and Haaparanta-Solin M have no competing interests to declare. Dr. Dickens has worked part time for Orion Pharma (Finland). This study is not involved with his work at this company. Dr. Rinne reports grants from Sigrid Juselius Foundation, from the Academy of Finland and from the Finnish governmental research funding (VTR) during the conduct of the study. He also serves as a neurology consultant for CRST Oy (Clinical Research Services Turku).

Author details

¹Turku PET Centre, Preclinical PET Imaging, Preclinical Imaging Laboratory, University of Turku, Tykistökatu 6 A, 20520 Turku, Finland. ²MediCity Research Laboratory, University of Turku, Turku, Finland. ³Department of Chemistry, University of Turku, Turku, Finland. ⁴Turku Bioscience, Turku, Finland. ⁵Faculty of Science and Engineering, Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland. ⁶Accelerator Laboratory, Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland. ⁷Turku PET Centre, Radiopharmaceutical Chemistry Laboratory, University of Turku, Turku, Finland. ⁸Department of Pharmacology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ⁹Division of Clinical Neurosciences, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland. ¹⁰Turku PET Centre, University of Turku, Turku, Finland. ¹¹Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Turku, Turku, Finland. Received: 25 September 2021 Accepted: 27 December 2021 Published online: 02 February 2022

References

- Li H, Hu F, Zhang Y, Li K. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of disease-modifying therapies in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. J Neurol. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-019-09395-w.
- Goldschmidt C, McGinley MP. Advances in the treatment of multiple sclerosis. Neurol Clin. 2021;39:21–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2020. 09.002.
- Prosperini L, Pontecorvo S. Dimethyl fumarate in the management of multiple sclerosis: appropriate patient selection and special considerations. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2016;12:339–50. https://doi.org/10.2147/tcrm. s85099.
- Prosperini L, Lucchini M, Haggiag S, Bellantonio P, Bianco A, Buscarinu MC, et al. Fingolimod vs dimethyl fumarate in multiple sclerosis: a real-world propensity score-matched study. Neurology. 2018;91:e153–61. https:// doi.org/10.1212/wnl.00000000005772.
- Mills EA, Ogrodnik MA, Plave A, Mao-Draayer Y. Emerging understanding of the mechanism of action for dimethyl fumarate in the treatment of multiple sclerosis. Front Neurol. 2018. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2018. 00005.
- Herrmann AK, Wüllner V, Moos S, Graf J, Chen J, Kieseier B, et al. Dimethyl fumarate alters intracellular Ca(2+) handling in immune cells by redoxmediated pleiotropic effects. Free Radic Biol Med. 2019;141:338–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.07.005.
- Schilling S, Goelz S, Linker R, Luehder F, Gold R. Fumaric acid esters are effective in chronic experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and suppress macrophage infiltration. Clin Exp Immunol. 2006;145:101–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2006.03094.x.
- Lim JL, van der Pol SMA, Di Dio F, van het Hof B, Kooij G, de Vries HE, et al. Protective effects of monomethyl fumarate at the inflamed blood–brain barrier. Microvasc Res. 2016;105:61–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mvr.2015. 12.003.
- 9. Galloway DA, Phillips AEM, Owen DRJ, Moore CS. Phagocytosis in the brain: homeostasis and disease. Front Immunol. 2019;10:790.
- Holm Hansen R, Højsgaard Chow H, Christensen JR, Sellebjerg F, von Essen MR. Dimethyl fumarate therapy reduces memory T cells and the CNS migration potential in patients with multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis Relat Disord. 2020;37: 101451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msard. 2019.101451.
- Pagani F, Testi C, Grimaldi A, Corsi G, Cortese B, Basilico B, et al. Dimethyl fumarate reduces microglia functional response to tissue damage and favors brain iron homeostasis. Neuroscience. 2019. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.neuroscience.2019.10.041.
- 12. Matyszak MK, Perry VH. Demyelination in the central nervous system following a delayed-type hypersensitivity response to bacillus Calmette–Guerin. Neuroscience. 1995;64:967–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(94)00448-e.
- Vainio SK, Dickens AM, Tuisku J, Eskola O, Solin O, Loyttyniemi E, et al. Cessation of anti-VLA-4 therapy in a focal rat model of multiple sclerosis causes an increase in neuroinflammation. EJNMMI Res. 2019;9:38. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13550-019-0508-7.
- de Bruin NM, Schmitz K, Schiffmann S, Tafferner N, Schmidt M, Jordan H, et al. Multiple rodent models and behavioral measures reveal unexpected responses to FTY720 and DMF in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Behav Brain Res. 2016;300:160–74. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.bbr.2015.12.006.
- Harmel P, Schlunk F, Harms L. Fulminant rebound of relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis after discontinuation of dimethyl fumarate: a case report. Mult Scler J. 2018;24:1131–3. https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458517 741191.
- Percie du Sert N, Hurst V, Ahluwalia A, Alam S, Avey MT, Baker M, et al. The ARRIVE guidelines 20: Updated guidelines for reporting animal research. Exp Physiol. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1113/ep088870.
- 17. Linker RA, Lee DH, Ryan S, van Dam AM, Conrad R, Bista P, et al. Fumaric acid esters exert neuroprotective effects in neuroinflammation via

activation of the Nrf2 antioxidant pathway. Brain. 2011;134:678–92. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awq386.

- Wickstrøm T, Clarke A, Gausemel I, Horn E, Jørgensen K, Khan I, et al. The development of an automated and GMP compliant FASTlab[™] Synthesis of 18-F-GE-180; a radiotracer for imaging translocator protein (TSPO). J Label Compd Radiopharm. 2014;57:42–8.
- Airas L, Dickens AM, Elo P, Marjamäki P, Johansson J, Vainio S, et al. In vivo PET imaging demonstrates diminished microglial activation after fingolimod treatment in an animal model of multiple sclerosis. J Nucl Med. 2015;56:305–10.
- Ruifrok AC, Johnston DA. Quantification of histochemical staining by color deconvolution. Anal Quant Cytol Histol. 2001;23:291–9.
- 21. NIH. ImageJ. NIH; 2021.
- Dickens AM, Vainio S, Marjamäki P, Johansson J, Lehtiniemi P, Rokka J, et al. Detection of microglial activation in an acute model of neuroinflammation using PET and radiotracers 11C-(R)-PK11195 and 18F-GE-180. J Nucl Med. 2014;55:1–7.
- Nack A, Brendel M, Nedelcu J, Daerr M, Nyamoya S, Beyer C, et al. Expression of translocator protein and [18F]-GE180 ligand uptake in multiple sclerosis animal models. Cells. 2019. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells80200 94.
- Vainio SK, Dickens AM, Tuisku J, Eskola O, Solin O, Löyttyniemi E, et al. Cessation of anti-VLA-4 therapy in a focal rat model of multiple sclerosis causes an increase in neuroinflammation. EJNMMI Res. 2019;9:38. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13550-019-0508-7.
- Serres S, Anthony DC, Jiabg Y, Broom KA, Campbell SJ, Tyler DJ, et al. Systemic inflammatory response reactivates immune-mediated lesions in rat brain. J Neurosci. 2009;29:4820–8.
- Boutin H, Murray K, Pradillo J, Maroy R, Smigova A, Gerhard A, et al. 18F-GE-180: a novel TSPO radiotracer compared to 11C-R-PK11195 in a preclinical model of stroke. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2015;42:503–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-014-2939-8.
- Vuignier K, Schappler J, Veuthey JL, Carrupt PA, Martel S. Drug-protein binding: a critical review of analytical tools. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2010;398:53–66. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-3737-1.
- Pike VW. Considerations in the development of reversibly binding PET radioligands for brain imaging. Curr Med Chem. 2016;23:1818–69.
- Ghosh KK, Padmanabhan P, Yang C-T, Mishra S, Halldin C, Gulyás B. Dealing with PETradiometabolites. EJNMMI Res. 2020;10:109. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13550-020-00692-4.
- Unterrainer M, Mahler C, Vomacka L, Lindner S, Havla J, Brendel M, et al. TSPO PET with [(18)F]GE-180 sensitively detects focal neuroinflammation in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2018;45:1423–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-018-3974-7.
- Fan Z, Calsolaro V, Atkinson RA, Femminella GD, Waldman A, Buckley C, et al. Flutriciclamide (18F-GE180) PET: first-in-human PET study of novel third-generation in vivo marker of human translocator protein. J Nucl Med. 2016;57:1753–9. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.115.169078.
- Zanotti-Fregonara P, Pascual B, Rostomily RC, Rizzo G, Veronese M, Masdeu JC, et al. Anatomy of 18F-GE180, a failed radioligand for the TSPO protein. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2020;47:2233–6. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00259-020-04732-y.
- Owen DR, Narayan N, Wells L, Healy L, Smyth E, Rabiner EA, et al. Proinflammatory activation of primary microglia and macrophages increases 18 kDa translocator protein expression in rodents but not humans. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2017;37:2679–90. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0271678x17710182.
- Valencia-Sanchez C, Carter JL. An evaluation of dimethyl fumarate for the treatment of relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis. Expert Opin Pharmacother. 2020;21:1399–405. https://doi.org/10.1080/14656566.2020.17633 04.
- 35. Montes Diaz G, Fraussen J, Van Wijmeersch B, Hupperts R, Somers V. Dimethyl fumarate induces a persistent change in the composition of the innate and adaptive immune system in multiple sclerosis patients. Sci Rep. 2018;8:8194. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26519-w.
- 36. Blair HA. Dimethyl fumarate: a review in relapsing-remitting MS. Drugs. 2019;79:1965–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-019-01229-3.
- Mehta D, Miller C, Arnold DL, Bame E, Bar-Or A, Gold R, et al. Effect of dimethyl fumarate on lymphocytes in RRMS: implications for clinical practice. Neurology. 2019;92:e1724–38. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl. 0000000000007262.

- Sawada M, Suzumura A, Marunouchi T. Down regulation of CD4 expression in cultured microglia by immunosuppressants and lipopolysaccharide. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1992;189:869–76. https://doi.org/10. 1016/0006-291x(92)92284-5.
- Almolda B, Costa M, Montoya M, González B, Castellano B. CD4 microglial expression correlates with spontaneous clinical improvement in the acute Lewis rat EAE model. J Neuroimmunol. 2009;209:65–80. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2009.01.026.
- Boddaert J, Bielen K, s Jongers B, Manocha E, Yperzeele L, Cras P, et al. CD8 signaling in microglia/macrophage M1 polarization in a rat model of cerebral ischemia. PLoS ONE. 2018;13:e0186937. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0186937.
- Dubey D, Kieseier BC, Hartung HP, Hemmer B, Warnke C, Menge T, et al. Dimethyl fumarate in relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis: rationale, mechanisms of action, pharmacokinetics, efficacy and safety. Expert Rev Neurother. 2015;15:339–46. https://doi.org/10.1586/14737175.2015. 1025755.
- 42. Lin R, Cai J, Kostuk EW, Rosenwasser R, lacovitti L. Fumarate modulates the immune/inflammatory response and rescues nerve cells and neurological function after stroke in rats. J Neuroinflammation. 2016;13:269. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-016-0733-1.
- 43. Deeks ED. Dimethyl fumarate: a review in relapsing-remitting MS. Drugs. 2016;76:243–54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40265-015-0528-1.
- Dubrall D, Pflock R, Kosinska J, Schmid M, Bleich M, Himmerkus N, et al. Do dimethyl fumarate and nicotinic acid elicit common, potentially HCA2-mediated adverse reactions? A combined epidemiological-experimental approach. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcp. 14787.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com